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Abstract. This article explores the affirmative and critical views on rhythm in ancient literary theory. In their praise of rhythm, ancient writers saw the importance of its aesthetic value and emotional expression, emphasizing rhythm as the soul of literary art. However, there are also criticisms on issues such as over-restriction of rhythm, creative difficulties, and aesthetic fatigue. Through reflection and comprehensive analysis, we found that rhythm is not only a means of expression in literature, but it may also bring some restrictive problems. Finally, the discussion provides rich lessons and inspirations for contemporary literary creation, encouraging the pursuit of more flexible and diverse expressions while maintaining the beauty of rhythm.
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1. Introduction

Ancient literary theory has always discussed the laws and principles of literary creation, among which rhythm, as an important element of literary expression, has received widespread attention. The ancient writers' concept of rhythm not only involves literary aesthetics, but is also closely related to the skills of literary expression and the freedom of creation. When conducting in-depth research on ancient literary theory, we can not only find affirmation and praise of rhythm, but also see some critical voices on rhythm, which provides us with rich materials for a deep understanding of ancient literary creation. This paper aims to explore the criticism and reflection on rhythm in ancient literary theory. By analyzing the different attitudes of ancient writers towards the concept of rhythm, it will deeply explore the ancient literary theory's cognition and evaluation of rhythm and the thinking behind it [1]. Through this research, we are expected to have a more comprehensive understanding of the inherent laws of ancient literary creation, and at the same time have a deeper understanding of the actual role and limitations of rhythm in literary creation. This paper will start from the affirmation and praise of rhythm in ancient literary theory, and conduct an in-depth analysis of the ancient writers' admiration for the beauty of rhythm [2]. Then, we will turn to the criticism of rhythm in ancient literary theory, revealing the dissatisfaction of writers with the limitations of rhythm [3]. Finally, through reflection and comprehensive analysis, we make a comprehensive summary of the criticism and reflection on rhythm in ancient literary theory, and look forward to the enlightenment of the concept of rhythm on contemporary literature [4]. Through this structural arrangement, we aim to present a systematic and organic research framework, deeply analyze the views on rhythm in ancient literary theory, and provide a new perspective for understanding the multi-layered nature of ancient literary creation.

2. The Concept of Rhythm in Ancient Literary Theory

In ancient literary theory, rhythm is considered an important element of literary creation. Ancient writers' concepts on rhythm are mainly reflected in their definition, classification and role in literary expression [5].

First of all, rhythm is defined as an orderly arrangement of sounds, usually including elements such as oblique, oblique, rhyme, etc. This regular musical structure gives literary works a unique sonic beauty. The definition of rhythm has been elaborately explained in ancient literary theory. Writers
often emphasize that rhythm is one of the souls of literature and art and an effective means of expressing thoughts and emotions.

Secondly, ancient writers conducted research on the classification and form of rhythm. Rhythm shows diverse characteristics in different literary genres [6]. For example, in poetry, rhyme is often combined with the meter of the poem, including five-character quatrains, seven-character quatrains and other forms. In prose, rhythmic expression may be freer, but it is still constrained by meter [7]. This study of classification and form has enabled writers to gain a deeper understanding of the way rhythm is expressed in different literary works.

In addition, the relationship between rhythm and artistic conception has also been fully emphasized in ancient literary theory. Literary writers believe that rhythm is not only a formal rule, but also an important tool for expressing emotions and shaping artistic conception [8]. Through the skillful use of rhyme, literary works can better convey the author's emotions and opinions, making it easier for readers to immerse themselves in the atmosphere created by the work.

In ancient literary theory, some writers held a positive attitude towards rhythm, believing that rhythm was the essence of literary art and could give works a unique aesthetic value [9]. By analyzing the use of rhythm in classic literary works, they emphasized the positive role of rhythm in literary expression. This positive evaluation makes rhythm an indispensable part of ancient literary creation.

Generally speaking, the concept of rhythm in ancient literary theory is multi-layered and multi-faceted. Rhythm is not only a means of literary expression, but also an important element of aesthetics [10]. However, the understanding of rhythm is not unanimous. Later writers conducted more in-depth reflections and criticisms on rhythm, and questioned the traditional concept of rhythm, which provided rich materials and inspiration for the development of literary theory.

3. The Affirmation and Praise of Rhythm in Ancient Literary Theory

In ancient literary theory, the affirmation and praise of rhythm are mainly reflected in the aesthetic value of rhythm and its promoting role in literary creation.

First of all, ancient writers generally believed that rhythm gave literary works beautiful rhyme and charm [11]. Through clever combination of oblique and oblique and reasonable use of rhyme, literary works can present a harmonious beauty. This kind of beauty is not only the art of language, but also the art of phonology, making the work more contagious and attractive.

Secondly, rhythm was regarded as a powerful tool to express emotions in ancient literary creation. Through the use of rhythm, writers can better express their emotions, thoughts and perceptions of the world. The rhythm and musicality of rhyme make literary works more emotional and can deeply touch the hearts of readers [12].

Ancient literary theorists also emphasized the importance of rhythm to the overall structure of a literary work. Rhythm can give the work a sense of rhythm, making the entire work more compact and orderly [13]. This orderliness is not only a specification of sentence structure, but also reflected in the overall structural arrangement of the work, making it easier for readers to understand and accept the author's point of view [14].

In addition, some ancient writers have discovered through the study of classical literary works that the use of rhythm is extremely clever and represents the peak of literary art. This discovery further strengthened their positive attitude towards rhythm, making it regarded as an important heritage of traditional literature [15].

Generally speaking, the affirmation and praise of rhythm in ancient literary theory is mainly reflected in its aesthetic value, emotional expression and overall structure. Rhythm is not only a rhetorical device of language, but also the soul of literary works, giving the works unique artistic charm [16]. This positive evaluation of rhythm affects all aspects of ancient literary creation and has become an indispensable part of literature and art.
4. Criticism of Rhythm in Ancient Literary Theory

In ancient literary theory, criticism of rhythm is mainly reflected in its limitations, creative difficulties, and aesthetic fatigue.

First, some ancient writers criticized rhyme for being too restrictive in literary expression. Traditional rhythmic rules, such as level, oblique, rhyme, etc., are considered to limit the creator's freedom of expression to a certain extent [17]. The requirements of rhyme may lead creators to sacrifice the originality and authenticity of literary works in order to conform to the rules.

Secondly, the limitations of rhythm have also become the target of criticism by ancient literary theorists. Some literary scholars believe that excessive use of rhyme can lead to aesthetic fatigue and reduce readers' appreciation of the work [18]. In addition, literary creators may feel restricted when following rhyme rules and have difficulty expressing their true thoughts and emotions, thereby affecting the depth and variety of their works.

The contradiction between rhythm and creative freedom was also a point of criticism in ancient literary theory. Although rhythm is considered a form of literary art, overemphasis on rhythm may limit the freedom of expression of ideas and emotions in literary works [19]. This contradiction forces writers to make a trade-off between following rhyme rules and maintaining creative freedom when creating.

Ancient writers also criticized the limitations of rhythm in expressing deeper thoughts. Due to the requirements of rhyme, some complex or profound ideas may be difficult to express through traditional rhyme forms, resulting in a limitation in the depth of expression of literary works.

Generally speaking, criticism of rhythm in ancient literary theory mainly focuses on its over-restriction of creation, leading to aesthetic fatigue, contradiction with creative freedom, and restrictions on the expression of deep thoughts [20]. These criticisms reflected the concerns of ancient writers about the limitations of rhythm and provided a reflective perspective for the development of later literary theory.

5. Reflection and Comprehensive Analysis

After the criticism of rhythm in ancient literary theory, we need to conduct reflection and comprehensive analysis to deeply explore the rationality of the critical views, possible solutions, and implications for contemporary literature.

First of all, regarding the rationality of critical views, we can recognize that there are indeed some troubles caused by rhythm in literary creation, including restrictions on creators, aesthetic fatigue, and restrictions on deep thoughts [21]. These problems do exist to a certain extent, but whether it is entirely a problem of rhythm itself, or whether it is a problem when applying rhythm, is worthy of further consideration. In addition, we also need to consider the positive role that rhythm plays in expressing emotions and stimulating aesthetic feelings.

Secondly, for possible solutions, we can think about how to give creators greater creative freedom while maintaining the beauty of rhythm. This may be achieved through more flexible rhyme rules, innovative rhyme forms, etc [22]. At the same time, by promoting diverse forms of literary expression, the problem of aesthetic fatigue can be better dealt with.

Finally, as for the enlightenment of contemporary literature, we can see that some modern writers have broken away from the constraints of traditional rhythm in literary creation and pursued freer and more diverse expressions. This reflects that the development of literary creation is a process of continuous innovation, of which rhythm is only one form of expression [23]. In contemporary literature, we can see that the use of rhythm is more flexible, and creators pay more attention to the expression of deep emotions and thoughts.
Taken together, the criticism of rhyme in ancient literary theory provides us with profound reflections, allowing us to more fully understand the pros and cons of rhyme. In the comprehensive analysis, we should not only pay attention to the rationality of the critical opinions, but also look for possible ways to solve the problem, and at the same time pay attention to the inspiration for contemporary literature to promote the sustainable development of literary creation [24]. Through such analysis and reflection, we can better understand the discussion of rhythm in ancient literary theory, and provide new ideas and inspiration for literary theory and creation.

6. Conclusion

Based on the affirmation and criticism of rhythm in ancient literary theory, it is not difficult to find that rhythm is not only a powerful means of expression in literary creation, but it may also cause some troubles. Literary writers who affirmed rhythm emphasized its aesthetic value, emotional expression function, and the importance of overall structure. However, criticism focuses on issues such as excessive restraint, limitations of rhythm, and possible aesthetic fatigue.

This opposing view reflects the complexity of rhythm in literature. Rhythm, as a form of beauty, gives the work a unique sound and charm, helping to convey the author's emotions and thoughts. However, for creators, excessive pursuit of rhythmic rules may bring about restraint and pressure in creation, limiting the diversity and depth of expression.

In reflection and comprehensive analysis, we can explore how to maintain the artistic beauty of rhythm while solving the limitations it may bring. Encouraging more flexible rhyme rules, promoting innovative rhyme forms, and focusing on diverse literary expressions are all avenues that can be considered. In addition, the development of contemporary literature has demonstrated the transcendence of traditional rhythm. Writers pay more attention to the expression of emotional depth and thoughts, enriching the form and connotation of literature through diversified expression methods.

In general, the affirmation and criticism of rhythm in ancient literary theory provides us with profound thinking and enables us to better understand the complex role of rhythm in literature. In the development of contemporary literature, we can learn from the experiences and lessons of ancient writers and promote literary creation to develop in a more free and diverse direction to adapt to changing aesthetic needs and creative concepts.

7. Discussions

When discussing the views on rhythm in ancient literary theory, we can not only get a glimpse of the emphasis and praise that writers at that time placed on rhythm, but also perceive the calls for its limitations and criticism [29]. This discussion not only enriches the connotation of ancient literary theory, but also provides inspiration for later literary development.

First, the discussion highlights the important role of rhythm in ancient literature. Literary writers praise the aesthetic value of rhythm and regard it as the soul of literary creation. The phonological harmony of rhythm is believed to give a work a unique artistic charm and make it more appealing [30]. This view reflects that rhythm is regarded as an indispensable element in traditional literature, adding a lot of color to literary works.

However, the discussion also revealed some doubts and criticisms of prosody. Literary writers have emphasized the problems that rhythm may bring about, such as excessive restraint, creative difficulties, and aesthetic fatigue [31]. This shows that while ancient writers pursued freedom of expression and innovation, they also had a deep understanding of some of the shortcomings of traditional rhythm [32].

The contradictions and oppositions that emerged during the discussion help us better understand the complexity of rhythm in literary creation. Rhythm is both a technique and a constraint in literature.
This dialogue advances the development of literary theory and prompts reflection on literary forms and norms.

Ultimately, this discussion provides us with profound food for thought. We can not only appreciate ancient writers' love and admiration for rhythm, but also understand their sensitivity to its shortcomings. This comprehensive discussion provides contemporary literary creators with rich experience and inspiration, encouraging them to use rhythm more flexibly in their creations to adapt to the aesthetic needs of contemporary readers and the diversified trends in literary aesthetics.
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