

Research on the Participation and Role Positioning of Lawyers in China's Legal Aid System

Yuanlin Hu

College of Law, The Australian National University, Canberra, Australia

Abstract. As key participants in the legal aid system, lawyers' roles and practices in legal aid work have garnered significant attention. This article aims to explore the involvement of lawyers in China's legal aid system and their role positioning, analyze their functions and impacts on legal aid work, and address the issues and challenges of lawyer participation in legal aid by providing corresponding countermeasures and suggestions. The paper reviews the development of China's legal aid system, analyzes the status and function of lawyers within the system, and examines the basic conditions, work content, and practical impacts of lawyers' participation in legal aid. Finally, the article presents the existing problems and challenges and proposes relevant countermeasures and suggestions. It recommends strengthening the guidance and management of lawyers' participation in legal aid work, further improving legal aid systems and policies, enhancing the quality and level of legal aid services, and establishing a sound incentive mechanism and service guarantee system for lawyers' participation in legal aid to better harness their role in legal aid work.

Keywords: Lawyer Participation, Legal Aid, Role Positioning, Equity and Justice.

1. Introduction

Legal aid, as a critical social security measure, plays an indispensable role in safeguarding the basic rights of vulnerable groups and promoting social equity and justice. Lawyers, as the core force in legal aid work, significantly influence the quality and effectiveness of legal aid services through their level of participation, professional competence, and sense of social responsibility. However, the problems and challenges that lawyers face in practical legal aid work are becoming increasingly prominent. Issues such as stimulating lawyers' enthusiasm for legal aid participation, enhancing their professional skills within legal aid, and improving relevant policies and systems to ensure that lawyers can play a greater role in the legal aid field urgently need addressing. Accurately positioning the role of lawyers in the legal aid system, effectively increasing their passion and commitment to legal aid work, and optimizing legal aid policies and mechanisms to incentivize and ensure lawyers' more effective involvement in legal aid have become crucial issues in the current development and improvement of the legal aid system. This requires concerted efforts from government departments, legal service institutions, and all sectors of society, as well as continuous innovation and adjustment of legal aid strategies and methods to build a more open, efficient, and fair legal aid system.

2. The Status and Role of Lawyers in the Legal Aid System

2.1. The Development of China's Legal Aid System and the Evolution of the Lawyer's Role

The origins of China's legal aid system can be traced back to the 1980s when the country was undergoing profound social changes, and the construction of a rule of law became a vital task for national governance. To ensure citizens' legal rights and improve the fairness and accessibility of legal services, the Supreme People's Court of China first introduced the concept of legal aid in the "Organizational Law of the People's Courts" in 1982, marking the preliminary establishment of China's legal aid system. In 2003, China promulgated the "Regulations on Legal Aid," specifically aimed at providing legal support to the system's development. The 2017 "Opinions on the Work of Duty Lawyers" further reinforced the specific requirements for duty lawyers providing legal support. The 2018 amendment to the "Criminal Procedure Law" clarified the identity and role of duty lawyers,

establishing the basic criminal framework of China's legal aid system. In 2021, the "Law of the People's Republic of China on Legal Aid" was reviewed and approved, becoming an important part of China's socialist legal system [1].

Throughout the development of the legal aid system in China, the role of lawyers has undergone significant changes. Initially, lawyers mainly acted as legal consultants, providing basic legal services. As the legal aid system evolved, the positioning of lawyers became more diverse and profound. Lawyers gradually became the main executors of legal aid work. They not only provided legal consultation but also handled litigation representation, mediation, and arbitration. This change signifies a shift from a passive legal consultant to an active legal service provider. Additionally, lawyers' roles have expanded from being mere legal service providers to promoters and overseers of the legal aid system. Lawyers not only participate in handling legal aid cases but also engage in the design and reform of the legal aid system. They also ensure the quality and effectiveness of legal aid work through participation in its evaluation and supervision [2]. In dealing with legal aid cases, lawyers focus not only on the legal issues but also on whether the rights of the legal aid recipients are effectively protected. This evolution marks a transition from merely solving legal problems to becoming defenders of citizens' rights.

2.2. The Basic Situation and Role Positioning of Lawyers in Legal Aid

Legal aid is an essential component of a rule-of-law society, primarily implemented through the participation of lawyers, who are the main executors of legal aid. China's legal aid system is clearly stipulated in the "Law of the People's Republic of China on Legal Aid," which defines the subjects, contents, methods, and procedures of legal aid, providing a legal basis for lawyers' participation in legal aid. According to this law, legal aid targets mainly include economically disadvantaged citizens, specific social groups, and other designated entities. Through their involvement in legal aid, lawyers offer free legal services, including legal consultation, litigation representation, and other legal assistance.

According to judicial practice in China, legal aid is mainly provided by lawyers appointed by the courts, with a particular focus on major criminal cases. Most legal aid institutions in China function as government agencies with limited independent operational capacity and rely on state administrative funding, restricting the construction and progress of the legal aid mechanism. The enhancement of the lawyer profession's quality accompanies the growth of professional personnel and the increasing demand for legal aid, strengthening lawyers' sense of social responsibility [3]. This evolution reshapes the role of lawyers in providing legal aid institutions. Given the high demands for professional knowledge in legal aid, although some non-professionals possess basic legal knowledge, they still lack practical experience compared to professional lawyers. With the improvement and development of the legal aid system, lawyers have transformed from passively resolving cases to actively providing comprehensive consultative help. They actively participate in high-impact cases, effectively promoting harmonious operations across various aspects and contributing to the resolution process. Lawyers also act as mediators, ensuring that the legitimate rights and interests of disadvantaged groups are fully and fairly protected, offering comprehensive and all-around solutions to help parties resolve complex legal issues.

2.3. Practical Impact of Lawyers' Participation in Legal Aid

Against the backdrop of rapid economic development, the reality of polarized interest distribution and worsening wealth inequality has emerged. This situation not only erodes the foundation of liberty and equality but also exacerbates the economic disparity among social strata, placing additional pressure on already struggling marginalized groups such as migrant workers and the unemployed. To address this challenge, the legal aid system has been developed to provide necessary legal support to those who cannot afford expensive legal fees, ensuring their right to equal defense in court and promoting the realization of social justice. As the legal system becomes increasingly sophisticated and legislative techniques advance, the general public finds it harder to interpret the complex legal

provisions based solely on everyday experience, necessitating professional legal assistance to handle legal matters [4].

Law plays a crucial role in maintaining social order. However, in the pursuit of social justice, it sometimes conflicts with the need to maintain social order. Therefore, lawyers, as key figures in the implementation of the law, must seek a balance. They possess professional legal knowledge, are familiar with relevant legal means and procedures, and provide legal support to marginalized groups. This dual role facilitates the pursuit of social justice while promoting harmony and stability in social order. Within the legal aid framework, the active involvement of lawyers secures more equitable solutions for disadvantaged groups, preventing mass malign incidents in social disputes. Moreover, the flexibility provided by legal provisions allows room for defense strategies by lawyers and decisions by judges, further enhancing the adaptability and fairness of the legal system. The participation of lawyers significantly increases the professionalism and efficiency of legal aid. Their expertise and experience enable them to deliver high-quality legal services to legal aid recipients, effectively addressing their legal issues. This not only improves the efficiency of legal aid but also enhances its credibility.

3. Issues and Challenges in Lawyer Participation in Legal Aid

3.1. Legal Barriers and Limitations to Lawyer Participation in Legal Aid

Although lawyers' participation in legal aid within China's legal framework is granted some legal guarantees, several legal barriers and limitations hinder their involvement in practice. Firstly, the status of lawyers within the legal aid system has not been fully recognized and protected. The current legal aid framework does not clearly define the role of lawyers, which affects their enthusiasm and initiative in participating in legal aid. Consequently, the role of lawyers in legal aid is weakened, and in some instances, the rights of lawyers are not adequately protected, such as ensuring reasonable fees and safeguarding their labor rights.

The legal provisions concerning legal aid are somewhat incomplete. In specific areas, such as application conditions, approval procedures, and the scope of services, there are ambiguities and inadequacies that pose challenges to lawyers' participation in legal aid. For example, the overly complicated application conditions require lawyers to invest substantial time and effort when handling legal aid applications. Moreover, the narrow scope of legal aid services restricts lawyers' ability to provide assistance. This is evident in scenarios such as summary procedure hearings, where defendants may not be able to access relevant legal aid, and the absence of designated defense rights for defendants in death penalty review cases.

The legal responsibility system for legal aid is not well established. Under the current legal aid framework, the legal responsibilities of lawyers during the provision of legal aid are not clearly defined, which increases the risks associated with lawyers' participation. For instance, if a lawyer's negligence or omission causes harm to the applicant's rights during the provision of legal aid services, the lawyer's liability is not clearly addressed in the existing legal aid framework. Furthermore, despite legal aid being a key responsibility, its execution involves low returns and high risks, further exacerbating disconnect between duties and rights [5].

3.2. Practical Challenges and Difficulties Faced by Lawyers in Legal Aid

In the practical field, lawyers face a series of difficulties and challenges while participating in legal aid. The primary issue is the evident shortage of legal aid resources. Given the limited total resources available for legal aid in China and the vast number of people needing legal assistance, there is a significant imbalance between supply and demand. This dilemma is primarily reflected in two aspects: the human resources of lawyers and the funding resources for legal aid. The enormous workload of legal aid necessitates the involvement of numerous lawyers, but the limited number of lawyers

available highlights the shortage of human resources. Additionally, the limited financial allocation from the government exacerbates the funding resource shortage.

Secondly, the workload pressure in legal aid is considerable. Due to the large number and complexity of cases involved in legal aid, lawyers must devote significant time and effort to handle these cases, which can result in substantial work pressure. This pressure primarily stems from the quantity and complexity of the cases. Additionally, the issue of compensation for legal aid work cannot be ignored. Lawyers are required to invest significant time and effort in providing legal aid services, yet the remuneration they receive is relatively low. This leads to some lawyers facing inadequate compensation issues when participating in legal aid. This compensation issue is primarily manifested in the low labor remuneration and the low social status of lawyers [6]. The limited financial allocation from the government results in low labor remuneration for lawyers. Moreover, the lack of societal awareness about legal aid contributes to the low social status of lawyers.

3.3. Inadequate Quality Monitoring and Evaluation Systems for Lawyer Participation in Legal Aid

In the current legal aid system, there are no clear stipulations for the quality evaluation standards of legal aid, which affects the control of legal aid quality to some extent. The ambiguity in these evaluation standards is evident in two levels: firstly, the unclear service quality standards of legal aid make it difficult for lawyers to determine the standards they should meet when providing legal aid services. Secondly, the unclear effectiveness evaluation standards of legal aid complicate the accurate assessment of legal aid outcomes. Moreover, the quality supervision mechanism for legal aid is not well established. Within the current legal aid framework, a comprehensive supervision system for lawyers' conduct during the provision of legal aid has not been established, which undermines the quality of legal aid to some extent. The lack of a comprehensive service supervision mechanism for legal aid may result in suboptimal service quality when lawyers provide legal aid services. On the other hand, the incomplete effectiveness supervision mechanism for legal aid also hinders the precise supervision of legal aid outcomes [7].

Currently, the legal aid system lacks a fully developed mechanism for evaluating the effectiveness of legal aid, which impacts the accurate assessment of its effectiveness to some extent. The inadequacy of this evaluation mechanism is evident in two aspects: firstly, the lack of scientific evaluation methods for legal aid effectiveness, and secondly, the accuracy of the evaluation data for legal aid effectiveness is problematic. The lack of scientific evaluation methods for legal aid effectiveness complicates the precise assessment of legal aid effectiveness. Additionally, the accuracy of the evaluation data for legal aid effectiveness poses challenges for understanding the actual effectiveness of legal aid.

4. Strategies and Recommendations for Enhancing Lawyer Participation in Legal Aid

4.1. Improving Relevant Laws and Regulations to Ensure Institutional Support for Lawyers' Participation in Legal Aid

While lawyers are obligated to provide legal aid to society, the specifics of their duties and scope are not clearly defined, resulting in significant operational flexibility and limitations in the effectiveness of legal aid implementation. It's crucial to ensure lawyers' rights while clarifying their legal responsibilities in providing legal aid. This will allow lawyers to participate in legal aid without fear of their rights being infringed upon and with a clear understanding of their duties and obligations [8].

Strengthening the protection of lawyers' defense rights and improving their professional security and assurance levels is central to enhancing the quality of defense. Expanding the scope of lawyers' inquiry rights, enhancing their evidence-gathering capabilities, and protecting their practice can effectively improve quality and facilitate supervision and evaluation. Currently, China's legal aid system is primarily government-led, with lawyers acting as executors of legal aid, without their

participation in the design of the legal aid system. This limits lawyers' ability to fully utilize their professional advantages and meet the needs of legal aid recipients. Therefore, improving the institutional design of lawyers' participation in legal aid is necessary to leverage their professional advantages and meet the needs of legal aid recipients.

Additionally, simplifying the application conditions and approval procedures for legal aid can reduce the difficulty and complexity of lawyers' participation. This approach can enhance the convenience of lawyers' participation in legal aid, thereby increasing their willingness to participate. Expanding the scope of legal aid services can also broaden and deepen lawyers' participation. This way, lawyers can help more people and improve their professional skills and competencies by handling various types of cases.

4.2. Strengthening Legal Aid Training and Professional Development for Lawyers

While undertaking a deep reform and improvement of the legal system, it's essential to recognize that practical reforms and improvements are equally important, supplementing and enhancing the legal system comprehensively. This requires the joint efforts and cooperation of the government, the legal community, and society as a whole [9]. Only through such collective efforts can the fundamental rights of citizens be better protected, achieving social justice and the rule of law.

First, for lawyers' legal aid training, more diversified teaching methods should be adopted, such as regular seminars, thematic lectures, and practical simulations, to enhance lawyers' legal aid skills and professional qualities. Such measures can improve the quality of legal aid provided by lawyers, enabling them to use legal knowledge more proficiently, and increase their efficiency in handling legal aid cases, allowing them to resolve more cases in a shorter time.

Second, improving lawyers' legal aid remuneration and working environment is vital. This can ensure that lawyers receive reasonable compensation, reflecting their labor value, and work in a conducive environment with sufficient workspace, comprehensive office equipment, and a comfortable atmosphere. Achieving this goal requires a fair, equitable, and transparent remuneration system, ensuring that every lawyer involved in legal aid receives the compensation they deserve.

Third, establishing an effective legal aid incentive mechanism to encourage more lawyers to participate in legal aid is essential. This can be achieved through initiatives like the Outstanding Legal Aid Lawyer Award, or material rewards for lawyers who excel in legal aid. Such measures can highlight the value of participating in legal aid to more lawyers and convey a positive message of support and importance to the public.

4.3. Establishing a Comprehensive Incentive Mechanism and Service Guarantee System for Lawyers Participating in Legal Aid

On one hand, we should explore diverse and comprehensive funding guarantee methods to alleviate the lack of incentives. The subsidy for lawyers handling legal aid cases varies greatly, from hundreds to thousands of yuan, which creates a conflict between interests and the public welfare nature of legal aid, inevitably affecting the quality of legal aid. Establishing a reward mechanism for outstanding legal aid lawyers can attract more social funds and encourage more lawyers to actively participate in legal aid work. This will not only provide material rewards for lawyers but also gain social recognition and respect. Establishing specific rules for the incentive mechanism can enhance fund supervision and management. Currently, most regions have not set up specific incentive mechanisms and corresponding management regulations, resulting in a chaotic situation regarding the funding for legal aid [10].

On the other hand, establishing a comprehensive legal aid service guarantee system is necessary to provide all-round support. This includes providing professional training, work guidance, and a conducive work environment. Such support ensures that lawyers do not have to worry about their professional inadequacies or poor working conditions when participating in legal aid. Furthermore, a comprehensive legal aid quality supervision and evaluation mechanism should be established to

ensure that lawyers provide high-quality legal aid services and explore linking social security with legal aid to increase more funding sources and guarantees, ensuring the quality of legal aid.

5. Conclusion

In China's legal aid system, lawyers not only serve as providers of legal aid services but also play a crucial role as leaders and promoters of legal aid work. They are pivotal in safeguarding citizens' legal rights, promoting the construction of the rule of law, and maintaining social stability. This study highlights the important role of lawyers in legal aid work and the challenges they face. Future research should delve deeper into improving the legal aid system, enhancing the quality and efficiency of legal aid services, and better utilizing lawyers in legal aid work. By optimizing China's legal aid system and encouraging more excellent lawyers to actively participate in legal aid work, we can further promote the advancement of the rule of law in China and play an indispensable role in maintaining social fairness and justice, thereby achieving the goal of comprehensive rule of law.

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to everyone who has contributed to the completion of this project. Firstly, I would like to thank my supervisor for her guidance and support throughout the research process. Their expertise and constructive feedback have been invaluable. I would also like to extend my thanks to my colleagues and friends who have provided me with their valuable insights and encouragement. Lastly, I would like to express my gratitude to my family for their unwavering support and love. Without their support, this project would not have been possible. Thank you all for your help and encouragement, and for making this project a success.

References

- [1] Wuguang Jia: "Reform and Development of the Legal Aid System", China Legal Publishing House, 2010, p46.
- [2] Xiangde Ji: "On the Characteristics of China's Legal Aid System", *Politics and Law*, 2022, Vol. 6, p143-145.
- [3] Hongxia Xing: "Research on the Legal Aid System", China University of Political Science and Law Press, 2021, p6-7.
- [4] Gu Yongzhong: "Opportunities, Challenges, and Countermeasures for China's Criminal Legal Aid (2013)", China University of Political Science and Law Press, 2015, p22-28.
- [5] Yang Yuguan, Chen Zinan: "Research on Improving Certain Issues in China's Legal Aid System", *Theoretical Journal*, 2015, Vol 6, p105.
- [6] Jiang Hongcui: "The Positioning and Role of Lawyers in the Context of the Legal Aid System", *Legal System and Society*, 2021, Vol 11, p88-89.
- [7] Wang Haiyan: "Threefold Deviations: The Predicament of the Duty Lawyer System in the Leniency System for Confessions", *Law Journal*, 2019, Vol 12, p132.
- [8] Zhu Kun, Guo Jie: "Major Problems and Countermeasures in the Setup of Legal Aid Institutions in China", *Government and Law*, 2013, Vol 5(1), p79.
- [9] Wu Yu: "On the Comprehensive Coverage of Criminal Legal Aid", *Journal of South-Central University for Nationalities (Humanities and Social Sciences)*, 2021, Vol 8, p6.
- [10] Yao Li: "The Role and Function of Duty Lawyers in the Leniency System for Confessions", *Journal of Law and Commerce*, 2017, Vol 6, p22-25.