

From Notes to Knowledge: A Comprehensive Review of Early Childhood Music Education

Huanran Zhou *

The School of Economics, Xiamen University Malaysia, Sepang, Malaysia

* Corresponding author: IBU2109409@xmu.edu.my

Abstract. Music education is widely acknowledged as a valuable instrument for fostering young children's creativity and development. Through a comprehensive literature review, this study evaluates various teaching methods, including the Carabo Cone, Dalcroze, Suzuki, Kodaly, and Orff methods. The study also examined external and internal factors that affect music education, such as the learning environment, parental engagement, and teacher influence. Although significant benefits were shown, the study fell short in distinguishing between three different areas (vocal, instrumental, and movement) of music education, as well as in addressing development between the ages of 0 and 6. This article encourages broadening the scope of research beyond Western music to include ethnic and world musics, as well as conducting additional empirical research to validate the benefits of music education in different dimensions. This article serves as an introduction guide for future instructors and lays the groundwork for future scholarly research into the various effects of early childhood music education.

Keywords: Music Education; literature review; pedagogy; early childhood.

1. Introduction

Music education is acknowledged as an important tool for developing creativity in early children, including a variety of learning modalities such as vocal, instrumental, and movement skills. It meets the different demands of learners through both formal education, which focuses on Western notation and music theory, and informal ways that encourage experiential learning and self-study, showing a shift toward learner-centered methodologies [1].

Research has consistently shown that music education improves cognitive and emotional development, literacy, language abilities, and self-regulation [2, 3]. However, there is a conspicuous gap in the literature for a complete review that combines these findings and techniques. Current research frequently focuses on discrete parts of music education without offering a comprehensive view of how various educational approaches influence developmental outcomes.

This study seeks to close this gap by undertaking a thorough analysis of existing research and case studies on five key teaching methods: the Karabo-Cone technique, the Dalcroze method, the Suzuki method, the Kodaly method, and the Orff method. Each approach provides unique strategies for improving children's musicianship and inventiveness. Furthermore, the research investigates both external and internal factors that influence music education, such as the learning environment, parental engagement, and instructor impact. The goal is to improve awareness of various educational approaches so that music instruction can be optimized while also developing comprehensive cognitive and social abilities in young learners.

2. Conceptual Framework of Early Childhood Music Education

2.1. Defining Early Childhood Music Education

Early childhood music education encompasses a wide range of learning areas, including the three abilities of vocal (singing), instrumental (playing instruments), and movement [2]. Music education can also be classified into formal and informal components. Formal education focuses on Western

notation and music theory, whereas informal education promotes self-study and experiential learning methods. This duality allows for a wide range of educational approaches that address the demands of various learners. Hess observes that the tendency in popular music education is toward informal learning, and that pedagogy is changing toward a more learner-centered approach, including activities of hearing and making music, such as music recording and production [1].

The goals and priorities of music education vary greatly among areas. In the United Kingdom, for example, the curriculum encourages pupils to explore a wide range of historical periods, genres, styles, and customs through music. This strategy tries to improve pupils' overall musical comprehension [4]. In contrast, adolescent learning programs in the United States place a premium on active engagement in musical activities such as singing and playing instruments in order to build basic musical vocabulary and early musical skills.

Early childhood music education has numerous developmental benefits. Váradi addresses how arts teaching, especially music, can promote personal growth and improve students' self-knowledge and self-esteem [3]. Furthermore, Barrett et al. show that musical activities, particularly singing, can aid in literacy development, language acquisition, phonological awareness, and self-regulation. These activities not only promote cognitive and emotional development, but also foster a sense of independence and interest in learning [2]. As a result, beginning music education at a young age is critical to providing a well-rounded educational experience that establishes the groundwork for lifetime musical involvement and appreciation.

2.2. Typologies and Characteristics of Music Education Program

In the area of music education, there currently exist several teaching philosophies that attempt to foster learners' musicality, creativity, and general development. The following paper will focus on five popular music instruction methods: the Karabo-Cone technique, Dalcroze prosody, Suzuki method, Kodaly method, and Orff method. Their fundamental ideas, instructional goals, and the distinct advantages they offer for children's musical growth will be briefly discussed below.

2.2.1. Carabo Cone Method.

The Carabo-Cone Method stresses music teaching through play, which fosters socialization and creativity in young learners. According to Tabuena, this strategy combines games, costumes, props, and toys to teach musical concepts such as note duration, staff, and piano keyboard familiarity [5]. It is mainly based on the concept of "play," which involves physical and imaginative exercises that encourage basic musical learning.

2.2.2. Dalcroze Rhythmology.

The Dalcroze Method, developed by Émile Jaques-Dalcroze, is a complete approach that includes eurhythmics, solfège (aural training), and improvisation. Eurhythmics, the main element, focuses on the embodiment of music through movement, improving muscle sensibility and connecting the physical and cerebral parts of music education [6]. Studies have demonstrated that active participation in the Dalcroze Method (Sinaga) improves motor abilities, cognitive grasp of rhythm and tempo, and emotional development significantly. Furthermore, its use in special education shows promise in providing equitable possibilities for musical participation for SEN kids [7].

2.2.3. Suzuki Method.

Shinichi Suzuki developed the Suzuki Method, which is based on the notion of "nurturing" musical qualities that have a substantial impact on music. It is based on five main principles: the belief that every child can learn, the importance of small group instruction, parent involvement, sensitive listening, and prioritizing character development over pure ability, which promotes an ongoing learning environment that fosters respect and kindness among students [8].

2.2.4. Kodály Method.

Kodaly's approach emphasizes the importance of music literacy, ear training, and folk music in developing musical skills [9]. It employs tools such as tonic solfa, gestures, and rhythmic syllables to facilitate music reading and composition [10]. Kodaly regarded music education as a carrier of humanistic education and cultural development, emphasizing the role of singing and musical imagination [11].

2.2.5. Orff Method.

Carl Orff's music learning method advocates a child-centered comprehensive music learning process that emphasizes rhythm, dynamics, timbre, melody and harmony. It promotes creativity and improvisation through acting, dancing, singing and the use of percussion instruments [5,11]. The Orff approach encourages musical independence and prioritizes connecting music education to students' prior experiences and knowledge [12].

These music education methodologies all propose unique philosophies and teaching methods to cultivate learners' musicality and creativity. From the fun and imaginative Carabo-Cone Method to the physically engaging Dalcroze Eurhythmics, the nourishing Suzuki Method, the culturally enriching Kodály Method, and the comprehensive Orff Schulwerk, educators are equipped with a variety of tools to Meet different learning needs and student preferences.

3. Analysis of Influential Factors on Early Childhood Music Education

The factors that influence music education range from the tangible learning environment and teaching strategies to the intangible motivation and self-perception, which can be described as intricate. What follows hopes to gain a deeper understanding of how these elements come together to shape the landscape of music education by synthesizing key insights from recent research.

3.1. External Factors Affecting Music Education

3.1.1. Learning Context.

Younger students' music participation is heavily influenced by their learning environment. Students in the American education system is not particularly engaged in school music classes, but they are quite involved in out-of-school music, indicating that there is still space for development in the American education system. McPherson and Hendricks stated that, while musical engagement is important, the existing structure of school music programs fails to appeal to a diverse variety of children. Policymakers and curriculum creators must assess how music education is offered in order to close the gap between students' in-school and out-of-school music experiences [13].

3.1.2. Parent Involvement.

Parental support plays an important role in molding pupils' musical journeys. Sichivitsa created an improved model based on Hallam's beliefs to thoroughly examine the factors influencing musical intentions among college non-music majors. This study quantifies the amount to which strong parental support, prior musical experience, and musical self-concept, as well as academic and social integration, explain variation in kids' decisions to continue participating in music [14]. Kong supported this finding, demonstrating that parental cultural capital and initiative had a favorable impact on students' motivation and participation in musical instrument learning, based on data from 1672 completed questionnaires and 15 individual interviews with music learners with balanced gender ratio. The questionnaires aimed to measure students' motivation and participation in musical instrument learning through four major sections (general student information, parental cultural background, parental support for students' instrument learning, students' perceptions of parental influence) [15]. Furthermore, Leu emphasized the importance of child, parent, and instructor contact in early childhood music instruction in Taiwan, demonstrating how a synergistic trio can significantly improve children's musical development using Bronfenbrenner's ecological systems theory. The

study revealed microsystem-level elements such as adult perceptions of children and child-adult interactions, as well as the quality of child-parent-teacher connections at the mesosystem level, which had a substantial impact on children's musical development [16].

3.1.3. Teacher Influence.

The role of a music teacher is multifaceted. Aróstegui and Kyakuwa conduct a thorough examination of the efficacy of generalist versus specialized music teachers in educational contexts across Uganda, South Africa, and Spain. They believe that both sorts of music teachers can be effective if well-prepared for syllabus requirements and student demands. Teachers who provide effective music instruction must not only master musical content and skills, but also engage in deliberate class preparation and culturally suitable repertoire selection. The complexity of musical content can overwhelm generalists, while specialists must endeavor to incorporate music into the overall curriculum [17]. As a result, whether they are generalists or specialists, music teachers must take a balanced approach to teaching that allows them to effectively mix music professionalism with other educational components. Furthermore, Julia et al. interviewed music educators from 11 districts representing urban, transitional, and rural settings to explore the difficulties of providing quality music education through capacity-building initiatives for non-specialist teachers, eventually finding that respondents were engaged. Promoting professional development and community integration can help teachers and learners improve their methods [18].

3.2. Internal Factors Affecting Music Education

3.2.1. Music Self-Concept and Motivation.

Internal characteristics like music self-concept, peer influence, and family music engagement are thought to be major predictors of music participation. Notably, music self-concept influences not only involvement but also students' musical achievement and self-perception. Furthermore, Asmus addressed the importance of motivation in music education, claiming that motivational factors account for a significant amount of musical achievement, emphasizing the need of assisting learners in building a good self-image and drive to support efficient music learning [19].

Factors such as the learning environment, parent involvement, teacher performance, and motivation and self-concept all influence early childhood music education. Initiatives such as creating supportive learning environments, providing parent support, empowering teachers and providing professional training, and improving students' self-concept and motivation can lead to more inclusive and engaging music learning experiences for learners.

4. Benefits of Early Childhood Music Education

4.1. Achieving Holistic Development through Music Education

4.1.1. Cognitive Skills, Language and Literacy Skills.

Music education in early childhood may have a positive effect on early cognitive development. Such positive effect is particularly evident in language and literacy skills. Lukács and Honbolygó researched the cognitive effects of music on speaking abilities in 30 second-grade students at a Hungarian elementary school, comparing those in an intensive Kodály-based music curriculum to those in a standard curriculum. They measured speech skills using activities from the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children, while assessed music talents using Arnold Bentley's Measures of Musical talents, which evaluated core musical perceptual skills. Despite extensive musical training, the study found no substantial gain in linguistic or musical ability after a year, while certain cognitive similarities like as phoneme deletion accuracy and tonal recall suggested shared processing pathways between music and language skills [20]. Furthermore, Pitt found that the SALT music curriculum, a music- play based curriculum designed to improve communication skills between children and caregivers through interactions, can enhance young children's nonverbal engagement. They

discovered that music education increases communication abilities in a unique method that is not dependent on speech, highlighting the relevance of musical activities in establishing basic nonverbal communication skills [21].

4.1.2. Mental Well-being and Social Skills.

Music education can improve mental health by increasing emotional intelligence and social skills. Váradi examines 100 international research in music psychology, education, therapy, and health to demonstrate how music education can aid in the identification and interpretation of communication cues and facial emotions [3]. The study emphasizes the importance of music education, particularly music education that incorporates Kodály principles, in enhancing emotional intelligence and developing socioemotional skills. Öztürk and Can found that music education activities, such as employing body movements as instruments and participating in value-based singing games, can positively influence preschool children's social values like respect and collaboration [22]. Davies et al. verified this, finding that singing activities greatly increased children's well-being, emphasizing the importance of music in promoting well-being and social cohesiveness [23]. Ruokonen et al. found that music activities in early childhood settings can increase children's engagement and positive emotional expression, proving the role of music in boosting emotional and social development in early schooling [24].

4.2. Professional Development Through Music Education

The path to a professional music career and the abilities imparted via music education are inextricably intertwined. Prior scholars studied the evolution of classically trained musicians, highlighting the impact of higher education on professional paths in music. Although there has been no empirical study to determine if preschool music instruction is directly related to career results, we may extend this argument and conclude that music education can prepare individuals for professional art careers.

5. Conclusion

This study has made substantial progress in clarifying the framework of early childhood music instruction, including an overview of its pedagogical approaches and benefits. While the benefits of music instruction are well-documented and large, this study has found significant shortcomings that should be addressed in future research.

One major shortcoming of this work is the absence of difference between the three categories of music education: vocal, instrumental, and movement. This study didn't look into the unique implications and procedures associated with each kind. Furthermore, while acknowledging that the preschool age range of 0-6 years is not uniform, this study did not divide the early childhood phase into more nuanced age groups, such as 0-3 years versus 3-6 years, which may have significant developmental differences that affect their interaction with and benefits from music education.

Despite these limitations, this study provides a clear, overarching framework for understanding early childhood music education. It serves as an introductory handbook for future educators, outlining both pedagogical practices and their associated benefits in a straightforward and accessible manner. Looking ahead, there is enormous opportunity for expanding studies beyond Western music to encompass ethnic and international music genres. Such studies could investigate whether these many musical traditions provide comparable benefits, widening the breadth of music education research.

Furthermore, the discussion about the benefits of music education begs for further empirical research to back up the reported benefits, particularly in non-musical academic disciplines such as literacy and mathematics. Previous research has cautioned against overestimating the transferability of these advantages, indicating a gap in causal linkages. This emphasizes the need for more methodological rigor in future studies to better define the influence of music education across domains and professional sectors.

In conclusion, while this study lays the framework for understanding early childhood music education, it also identifies crucial areas for future research that could improve our understanding and use of music education in supporting holistic development in young learners.

References

- [1] Hess, J. (2020). Finding the “both/and”: Balancing informal and formal music learning. *International Journal of Music Education*, 38 (3), 441 – 455.
- [2] Barrett, J. S., Schachter, R. E., Gilbert, D., & Fuerst, M. (2021). Best practices for preschool music education: Supporting music-making throughout the day. *Early Childhood Education Journal*, 50 (3), 385 – 397.
- [3] Váradi, J. (2022). A review of the literature on the relationship of music education to the development of socio-emotional learning. *SAGE Open*, 12 (1), 215824402110685.
- [4] Daubney, A., & Fautley, M. (2020). Editorial Research: Music Education in a time of pandemic. *British Journal of Music Education*, 37 (2), 107 – 114.
- [5] Tabuena, A. C. (2021). Carabo-cone, Dalcroze, Kodály, and Orff Schulwerk methods. *International Journal of Asian Education*, 2 (1), 9 – 16.
- [6] Kivijärvi, S., Sutela, K., & Ahokas, R. (2017). A conceptual discussion of embodiment in special music education: Dalcroze Eurhythmics as a case. *Approaches: Music Therapy & Special Music Education*, 8 (2), 169 - 178.
- [7] Sutela, K., Juntunen, M.-L., & Ojala, J. (2016). Inclusive music education: The potential of the Dalcroze approach for students with special educational needs. *Approaches: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Music Therapy*, 2 (8).
- [8] Hendricks, K. S., & Bucci, M. G. (2019). ‘Everyone is always learning’: Case study of a Suzuki-inspired preschool. *International Journal of Music in Early Childhood*, 14 (1), 89 – 109.
- [9] Anderson, W. T. (2011). The Dalcroze approach to music education. *General Music Today*, 26 (1), 27 – 33.
- [10] Göktürk Cary, D. (2012). Kodály and Orff: A Comparison of Two Approaches in Early Music Education. *ZKU Journal of Social Sciences*, 8 (15).
- [11] Dobszay, L. (1972). The Kodaly Method and its musical basis. *Studia Musicologica Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae*, 14 (1/4), 15.
- [12] Cicco, I. (2020). Reimagining Pedagogical Possibilities in the Schulwerk: Intersections of Critical Pedagogy for Music Education and Orff. *Visions of Research in Music Education*, 35.
- [13] McPherson, G. E., & Hendricks, K. S. (2010). Students’ motivation to study music: The United States of America. *Research Studies in Music Education*, 32 (2), 201 – 213.
- [14] Sichivitsa, V. O. (2007). The influences of parents, teachers, peers and other factors on students’ motivation in music. *Research Studies in Music Education*, 29 (1), 55 – 68.
- [15] Kong, S. hang. (2020). A study of students’ perceptions of parental influence on students’ musical instrument learning in Beijing, China. *Music Education Research*, 23 (3), 287 – 299.
- [16] Leu, J. C.-Y. (2008). Early childhood music education in Taiwan: An Ecological Systems Perspective. *Arts Education Policy Review*, 109 (3), 17 – 26.
- [17] J. L., & Kyakuwa, J. (2020). Generalist or specialist music teachers? lessons from Two Continents. *Arts Education Policy Review*, 122 (1), 19 – 31.
- [18] Julia, J., Supriyadi, T., & Iswara, P. D. (2020). Training the non-specialist music teacher: Insights from an Indonesian action research study. *Universal Journal of Educational Research*, 8 (2), 547 – 558.
- [19] Asmus, E. P. (1994). Motivation in music teaching and learning. *The Quarterly*, 5 (4).
- [20] Lukács, B., & Honbolygó, F. (2019). Task-dependent mechanisms in the perception of music and speech: Domain-specific transfer effects of elementary school music education. *Journal of Research in Music Education*, 67 (2), 153 – 170.
- [21] Pitt, J. (2019). Communicating through musical play: Combining speech and language therapy practices with those of early childhood music education – the SALT Music approach. *Music Education Research*, 22 (1), 68 – 86.
- [22] Öztürk, E., & Can, A. A. (2020). The effect of music education on the social values of preschool children. *Cypriot Journal of Educational Sciences*, 15 (5), 1053 – 1064.
- [23] Davies, J., Bentham, S., & Duah, F. (2023). The impact of group singing on children’s subjective well-being: Mixed methods research. *Children & Society*, 37 (4), 1252 – 1273.
- [24] Ruokonen, I., Tervaniemi, M., & Reunamo, J. (2021). The significance of music in early childhood education and care of toddlers in Finland: An extensive observational study. *Music Education Research*, 23 (5), 634 – 646.