WEP Warwick Evans Publishing

Transactions on Social Science, Education and Humanities Research
ISSN: 2960-1770, eISSN: 2960-2262 | Volume 2
ECSS 2023

Geopolitical Flashpoints: Potential Triggers for Escalation or De-escalation in U.S.-China Relations

Julia Ou

Barnard College, Columbia University, 3009 Broadway, New York, NY 10027, US

jo2716@barnard.edu

Abstract. The potential sources of friction between the United States and China that are examined in this paper. Also discussed are the two most prominent geopolitical flashpoints, the South China Sea dispute and the Taiwan problem. It assesses the risks of escalation, looks at the prospects of diplomatic solutions, and, examines the impact on U.S. policy towards China of these worries. The study concludes that these flashpoints significantly impact the dynamics of U.S.-China relations, making good management of them crucial for maintaining calm and promoting cooperation between the two giants.

Keywords: U.S.-China Relations; Economic Disagreements; Technological Competition; Human Rights Concerns; South China Sea Dispute.

1. Introduction

The relationship between the United States and China is significant since they are two of the world's superpowers. The complicated interplay of cooperation and competition between them is characterized in large part by geopolitical flashpoints [1].

The territorial disputes in the South China Sea and the issue of Taiwan are both potential flashpoints in U.S.-China relations. In addition to being a source of friction between the two countries, the war zones also serve as proving grounds for the global balance of power. The way these crises are addressed and resolved will have far-reaching consequences for U.S. policy toward China and the trajectory of bilateral relations going forward [1].

This article's goal is to analyze the present state of U.S.-Chinese relations, identify the most problematic geopolitical problems, evaluate the likelihood of escalation and the viability of diplomatic solutions to these conflicts, and propose a path forward. Its goal is to help its readers understand the complexities of U.S.-Chinese relations and find their own way through these geopolitical minefields.

2. Background

2.1. Strategic Flashpoints Shaping U.S.-China Relations

Strategic flashpoints that have shaped the dynamic between the United States and China as they dance with and against one another. Relations between these two countries have been up and down since their formal recognition in the 1970s, ranging from close collaboration to heated hostility on the strategic front [2].

2.2. Geopolitical Tensions: South China Sea and Taiwan

The United States and China have strained relations due to geopolitical tensions. Tension and possible escalation in the relationship have been caused by territorial disputes in the South China Sea and the issue of Taiwan. They have also been used by the United States and China as platforms for power projection and geopolitical competition, which has had an effect on the development of bilateral ties [1].

Content from this work may be used under the terms of CC BY-NC 4.0 licence (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).
Published by Warwick Evans Publishing.

2.3. Global Implications of U.S.-China Strategic Competition

Conflicts over international problems between the United States and China affect more than just those two countries. The global power dynamic and international order are influenced by the United States' and China's strategic competition, especially in relation to geopolitical flashpoints. What happens during and after these crises and how they are resolved may have far-reaching effects on international organizations and governments throughout the world [3].

The ongoing COVID-19 outbreak has further complicated U.S.-Chinese relations, increased the competitive dynamics between the two nations, and thrown the international system into disarray. The United States and China are both aiming to utilize the crisis to boost their international position, making the pandemic a potential geopolitical flashpoint as well [3].

3. Key Geopolitical Flashpoints: An Overview

Geopolitical flashpoints are areas where political tensions are very high and where war or a significant shift in the course of international relations is possible. Conflicts are prevalent due to territorial disputes, competitiveness for finite resources, and ideological disagreements, and their implications on the policies and strategic choices of the countries involved can be far-reaching [4].

Among the many geopolitical conflicts between the United States and China, the territorial problems in the South China Sea and the question of Taiwan are among the most contentious. The South China Sea is a strategic waterway abounding with natural resources, and it has been a source of contention between China and numerous Southeast Asian countries. The United States isn't one of the countries making a claim, but it has taken an interest in the dispute because of its strategic interests in the region and its ties to some of the countries making a claim [1].

Taiwan, on the other hand, is a highly unique tinderbox. The island has remained autonomous since the conclusion of the Chinese civil war in 1949, but China maintains that it is part of its territory and has not renounced the use of force to bring it under its control. While the United States maintains informal connections with Taiwan and supports the island with defensive weapons, it has never pledged to intervene militarily on Taiwan's behalf if it were attacked by China [5].

These flashpoints are an indicator of the current balance of power between the United States and China, and not just because they may be the sites of future conflicts. The way in which each nation handles these crises reveals what it values most, influences its relationships with other nations, and ultimately affects the course of international affairs [6].

4. South China Sea Dispute

Tensions have arisen between many countries due to their competing claims to the South China Sea. Countries like the PRC, M.R. China, M.P., and V.N. are all part of this group. The conflict stems from competing sovereignty claims over the Spratly and Paracel Islands, which are thought to be very resource-rich. The surrounding waterways and resources are also at stake, since the claimant governments will be able to stake their claim to them if they are successful in establishing sovereignty over the contested features [7].

Through the South China Sea Code of Conduct, ASEAN has sought to establish a conflict management framework with China. Competition between China and the United States in the region has hampered ASEAN's efforts to resolve the issue, and the situation in the disputed waters has worsened [7].

The situation in the South China Sea has a significant influence on the United States' ability to negotiate with China. Although it does not have formal claims to the area, the United States has significant strategic interests there due to its relationships with nations that do. In response to what it sees as China's extensive and unjustified territorial claims in the South China Sea, the United States has been undertaking "freedom of navigation" operations in the area [8].

There is a significant potential for this conflict to escalate. Tensions in the region have escalated as a result of China's development of artificial islands and the rising military presence of the United States. It would only take one little occurrence, like a collision between Chinese and American military vessels, to escalate tensions between the two countries to war. However, diplomacy has a chance of succeeding. An potential for de-escalation and peaceful management of the conflict exists in the form of ASEAN's attempts to draught a Code of Conduct for the South China Sea; however, these efforts are being hampered by current tensions [7].

5. Taiwan Issue

The tension between the United States and China has been exacerbated by the issue of Taiwan. The People's Republic of China (PRC) considers Taiwan, which has been an independent republic since 1949 under the name Republic of China (ROC), to be a renegade province that must be reunited with the mainland [9]. The relationship dynamics between the United States, China, and Taiwan have gotten worse as a result of this strategy.

The United States has not formally recognised Taiwan as a part of China, despite embracing the "One China" policy [9]. The United States has been able to maintain fruitful non-official ties with Taiwan in the fields of commerce, politics, and security because of this ambiguity. For a long time, relations between the United States and China have been tense since the United States is Taiwan's main supply of weapons.

The outcome of the Taiwan issue may have far-reaching implications for U.S. policy toward China in the future. The United States has a vested interest in maintaining peace in the Taiwan Strait since a conflict between China and Taiwan may draw in other regional powers and potentially spread to the rest of the world [9].

But there's still hope for diplomatic resolutions. A peaceful conclusion between China and Taiwan may be facilitated by the United States (U.S.). Diplomatic finesse is essential since China-U.S. ties might be strained by any indication of favouritism for Taiwan.

The Taiwan problem has ripple effects across U.S. diplomatic relations. Strong informal ties exist between Taiwan and Japan, a significant U.S. ally in the region, and Japan has been increasingly vocal about its concerns for Taiwan's security [10]. This might affect how the US deals with China and Taiwan.

Because of the issue of Taiwan, ties between the United States and China are frequently hot and tricky. An escalation is possible, which may significantly alter American policy toward China. However, peaceful resolutions may be possible through diplomatic means.

6. Other Potential Flashpoints

The United States and China should keep an eye on a number of other potential flashpoints in their ties besides the South China Sea and Taiwan. The trade war that has been going on for so long is one of these; by taxing each other's goods, it has created economic pressure and uncertainty [11]. In retaliation for U.S. tariffs, China has accused the U.S. of IP theft and coerced tech transfer, among other unfair trade practices. This trade war has the potential to escalate, further straining relations between the two countries.

Another potential flashpoint is the discussion of human rights. The United States has expressed concern over human rights breaches in China, such as the plight of the Uighurs in Xinjiang and the repression of pro-democracy protesters in Hong Kong [12]. As a result of these issues, tensions have grown between the two nations, and the United States has imposed fines on Chinese officials and companies implicated in these alleged abuses.

These worries might alter U.S. policy toward China in a variety of ways. The trade battle has the potential to alter U.S. economic policy toward China, potentially making closer commercial

connections less of a priority. Increased sanctions or diplomatic isolation on China may be another possible reaction to the country's human rights record.

However, dangers of escalation never disappear from flashpoints. A full-scale economic war as a result of the trade dispute would be terrible for both nations and may possibly cause a global economic crisis. Just as conflicts on human rights may lead to a diplomatic standoff, which in turn can disrupt global governance and impair international relations, so too can disagreements on human rights.

Despite the risks, a diplomatic solution is still possible. The economic dispute might be resolved via negotiations, and human rights in China could improve with external pressure. However, this would need deftly balancing the competing priorities of the two countries [12].

7. Conclusion

The South China Sea dispute, the Taiwan issue, and other potential causes of disagreement including trade disputes, technological competition, and human rights concerns all play a role in the ebb and flow of U.S.-China relations. These worries have an effect on policy decisions and might cause tensions to rise between the world's two major powers. However, disagreements may also be opportunities for cooperation and mutual understanding. The United States needs to make strategic decisions and approach these potential flashpoints with extreme prudence if it wants to achieve American interests while avoiding unnecessary war.

The future of U.S.-Chinese ties hinges on how these hot spots are resolved. The potential for escalation or de-escalation in these areas will influence the future of U.S.-China relations and the global political environment. Understanding these potential flashpoints and developing effective strategies for handling them is crucial for preserving peace and increasing cooperation in U.S.-China relations.

References

[1] Riddervold M, Rosén G. Unified in Response to Rising Powers? China, Russia and EU-US Relations[J]. Journal of European Integration, 2018, 40(5): 555-570.

[2] Zaidi S M S, Saud A. Future of US-China Relations: Conflict, Competition or Cooperation?[J]. Asian Social Science, 2020, 16(7).

[3] Bahi R. The Geopolitics of COVID-19: US-China Rivalry and the Imminent Kindleberger Trap[M]. Emerald Publishing Limited, 2021.

[4] Ferdinand P. Westward Ho--the China Dream and 'One Belt, One Road': Chinese Foreign Policy Under Xi Jinping[J]. International Affairs, 2016, 92(4): 941–957.

[5] Coffey J W. Strategic Vision: America and the Crisis of Global Power[J]. Choice Reviews Online, 2012, 51(01).

[6] Lai D. China's Strategic Moves and Counter-Moves[J]. Parameters, 2014, 44(4): 5–14.

[7] Emmers R. ASEAN's Search for Neutrality in the South China Sea[J]. Global Change, Peace & Security, 2014, 26(1): 109-126.

[8] Buszynski L, Roberts C. The South China Sea Maritime Dispute: Political, Legal and Regional Perspectives[M]. Routledge, 2014.

[9] Liff A P, Brown M. Taiwan and the 'One China' Principle in the Age of COVID-19: Assessing the Determinants and Limits of Chinese Influence[J]. The China Quarterly, 2021: 1-25.

[10] Liff A P, Lin Y. Japan, Taiwan, and the 'One China' Framework After 50 Years[J]. The China Quarterly, 2021: 1-25.

[11] Zhang Y. The U.S.-China Trade War: Causes, Processes, and Prospects[J]. World Economy and International Relations, 2023, 67(1): 52-66.

[12] Korolev A. The U.S.-China Relations in the Context of Global and Regional Changes[J]. RUDN Journal of World History, 2023, 15(1): 7-20.