Transactions on Materials, Biotechnology and Life Sciences
ISSN: 2960-1932, eISSN: 2960-2319 | Volume 7
MISBP 2024

The Early Intervention and Management of Complications After ACL Reconstruction Surgery

Junqi Xu *

St Andrew's College, Christchurch, 8052, New Zealand

* Corresponding Author Email: kxu3713@stacmail.net

Abstract. The anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) plays a very important role in maintaining the stability of the knee joint, which is easy to cause instability of the knee joint and seriously affect the motor function of patients. ACL reconstruction is a widely performed surgical procedure aimed at restoring knee stability and function following ACL injury. Despite its success, postoperative complications such as graft failure, arthrofibrosis, and post-traumatic osteoarthritis can significantly impact patient outcomes. Correct understanding of the complications of anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction, early detection and timely treatment are the key to obtain good surgical results. This paper provides a comprehensive review of early intervention and management strategies for these complications. It explores the underlying causes, clinical symptoms, and effective management approaches, emphasizing the importance of early detection and treatment to optimize recovery. The study contributes to the field of sports medicine by offering evidence-based guidelines to improve postoperative care and patient outcomes, thus enhancing the long-term success of ACL reconstruction surgeries.

Keywords: Anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction; complication; prevention and treatment.

1. Introduction

The anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) is a critical stabilizer of the knee joint, playing an essential role in maintaining the stability and proper function of the knee during dynamic activities. ACL injuries are among the most common knee injuries, particularly in athletes, with an estimated incidence of 68.6 per 100,000 person-years in the general population and a higher occurrence in those engaged in high-demand sports like football, basketball, and skiing [1]. ACL reconstruction (ACLR) is a widely performed surgical procedure aimed at restoring knee stability and preventing further joint damage. It is estimated that over 200,000 ACLR surgeries are performed annually in the United States alone [2]. The success rate of ACLR is generally high, with many patients returning to their pre-injury level of activity; however, the procedure is not without complications.

The primary complications associated with ACLR include graft failure, infection, arthrofibrosis, and donor site morbidity, among others. Graft failure occurs in approximately 3-10% of cases, often necessitating revision surgery [3]. Infection rates are low, typically less than 1%, but can have severe consequences, including prolonged recovery, joint stiffness, and even systemic complications [4]. Arthrofibrosis, characterized by excessive scar tissue formation, can significantly limit the range of motion in the knee and occurs in approximately 4-35% of cases, depending on various factors such as surgical technique and postoperative care [5]. These complications highlight the need for effective management and early intervention strategies to optimize patient outcomes.

Management strategies for these complications are multifaceted, involving both surgical and non-surgical approaches. For example, the use of continuous passive motion (CPM) machines has been shown to reduce the incidence of arthrofibrosis by promoting early knee motion postoperatively [6]. Infection prevention is primarily achieved through meticulous surgical technique and perioperative antibiotic administration [7]. Early identification and appropriate management of complications are crucial in preventing long-term sequelae and ensuring the successful recovery of knee function.

This paper aims to comprehensively review the early intervention and management strategies for complications following ACL reconstruction, with a focus on evidence-based practices that enhance patient outcomes. By exploring the most common complications and their respective management strategies, this paper seeks to provide a detailed guide for clinicians involved in the care of patients undergoing ACLR, ultimately aiming to improve the overall success and longevity of the surgical outcomes.

2. Graft Failure

2.1. Clinical Characteristics

Graft failure after ACL reconstruction remains one of the most common and serious complications that can undermine the success of the surgery. It can occur either early, typically within the first six months post-surgery, or later due to trauma or improper healing. Patients experiencing graft failure often present with persistent knee instability, difficulty regaining full function, and pain during physical activities [8]. In some cases, re-injury or improper graft integration can lead to an increase in the likelihood of graft failure. The failure can result from a variety of factors, including improper graft tensioning, poor surgical techniques, or even issues with the biological integration of the graft into the host tissue. Recent studies have suggested that graft choice plays a critical role in the success rate of ACL reconstructions [1]. Autografts, such as the patellar tendon or hamstring tendon, have demonstrated lower failure rates compared to allografts, which may be more prone to failure due to delayed revascularization. However, the choice of graft depends heavily on the patient's activity level, age, and overall health.

2.2. Prevention Strategy

Prevention of graft failure begins with meticulous surgical planning and execution. Preoperative planning should include a thorough assessment of the patient's anatomy and activity level to select the most appropriate graft type [2]. Proper graft placement within the anatomical footprint of the native ACL is critical to ensuring long-term stability and proper knee function. Additionally, graft tensioning must be performed with care to avoid over-tightening or under-tightening, both of which can contribute to mechanical failure. Postoperative rehabilitation is equally important. Patients must adhere to a structured rehabilitation protocol that gradually increases in intensity without placing undue stress on the newly reconstructed ligament. Avoiding high-impact activities, particularly in the early stages of recovery, is crucial to give the graft sufficient time to integrate with the host tissue [4]. According to several studies, early return to sports is one of the most significant risk factors for graft failure, with some suggesting that patients should avoid competitive sports for at least 9 to 12 months following surgery

2.3. Intervention Strategy

When graft failure occurs, it often necessitates revision ACL surgery. Revision surgeries are generally more complex due to the presence of scar tissue, altered anatomy, and previous bone tunnel positions. In revision cases, autografts such as the quadriceps tendon or patellar tendon are often favored over allografts due to their higher success rates [9]. A study demonstrated that patients who underwent revision ACL reconstruction with quadriceps tendon autografts experienced significantly lower failure rates compared to those who received allografts. In one case study involving a 25-year-old male athlete who experienced graft failure after returning to competitive soccer within six months post-surgery, a revision surgery was performed using a patellar tendon autograft. After adhering to a structured rehabilitation program, the patient regained full knee stability and returned to competitive sports nine months after the revision procedure. This case highlights the importance of proper rehabilitation and graft selection in revision surgeries.

2.4. Limitation

Graft failure remains a major challenge in ACL reconstruction surgery. While advances in surgical techniques, such as anatomical graft placement and improved tensioning methods, have reduced the overall incidence of graft failure, the risk remains, particularly for athletes who return to sports prematurely. Future research into biologic augmentation techniques, such as the use of growth factors or stem cells, may hold promise for improving graft integration and reducing failure rates [5]. Additionally, more personalized rehabilitation protocols that take into account the patient's specific risk factors, such as activity level and graft type, could further reduce the likelihood of graft failure.

3. Infection

3.1. Clinical Characteristics

Postoperative infection is a potentially devastating complication following ACL reconstruction, with a reported incidence of less than 1% in most large series [7]. Infections can be classified into superficial and deep infections, with the latter being more severe and potentially leading to joint sepsis, graft failure, or even permanent knee dysfunction. Clinical manifestations of infection include localized pain, erythema, swelling, fever, and purulent drainage from the surgical site [10]. While the incidence of infection is low, it remains a significant concern due to the potential for long-term complications. Studies have shown that certain patient factors, such as diabetes, obesity, and smoking, can increase the risk of infection. Additionally, intraoperative factors such as prolonged surgical time and contamination during surgery also play a role in increasing infection rates [10].

3.2. Prevention Strategy

The primary strategy is strict adherence to sterile surgical techniques. Preoperative measures include the use of prophylactic antibiotics, which are typically administered 30 to 60 minutes before the surgical incision to reduce bacterial load [6]. Maintaining a sterile surgical environment, limiting the number of people in the operating room, and reducing operative time are all critical factors in minimizing infection risk. Recent advances in surgical protocols, such as the use of minimally invasive techniques and the application of antiseptic solutions to the skin before surgery, have further reduced infection rates [11]. In addition, patients should be carefully screened preoperatively for modifiable risk factors, such as smoking and uncontrolled diabetes, which can be addressed to lower the risk of postoperative infection.

3.3. Intervention Strategy

When postoperative infection occurs, early identification and aggressive intervention are key to preventing graft loss and long-term joint damage [12]. Initial treatment typically involves intravenous antibiotics, tailored to the specific bacteria identified through cultures. In cases where infection is confined to the superficial tissues, this may be sufficient to resolve the infection. However, deep infections often require surgical debridement, where infected tissue is removed, and the graft may need to be salvaged or replaced. For instance, a case study of a patient who developed a deep infection following ACL reconstruction demonstrated that early intervention with arthroscopic lavage and debridement, combined with intravenous antibiotics, allowed for the retention of the graft and a favorable long-term outcome [13]. The patient was able to return to normal activities after a prolonged recovery period.

3.4. Limitation

Although infection is a rare complication following ACL reconstruction, its consequences may be severe. Preventive measures, including prophylactic antibiotics and sterile surgical techniques, have significantly reduced the incidence of infection, but certain patients remain at higher risk [14]. Further

research into the use of advanced materials for grafts, such as antimicrobial-treated allografts, may help reduce infection rates even further.

4. Arthrofibrosis

4.1. Clinical Characteristics

Arthrofibrosis is one of the most debilitating complications following ACL reconstruction, characterized by the excessive formation of scar tissue that limits the range of motion (ROM) in the knee joint. This condition can lead to significant loss of knee function, affecting both flexion and extension. Patients with arthrofibrosis typically present with pain, swelling, and difficulty achieving full knee extension or flexion [15]. In severe cases, the buildup of fibrotic tissue can cause the knee joint to become immobile, severely limiting the patient's ability to perform daily activities or participate in sports. Several risk factors have been identified for the development of arthrofibrosis, including a history of multiple knee surgeries, delayed rehabilitation, and overly aggressive early rehabilitation. Additionally, genetic factors and the individual's healing response may contribute to the excessive formation of scar tissue.

4.2. Prevention Strategy

Preventing arthrofibrosis involves a careful balance between early mobilization and avoiding excessive stress on the healing tissues. Controlled physical therapy, initiated early after surgery, is essential to maintain joint mobility without overstressing the reconstructed ligament. Surgeons often recommend a protocol that encourages gradual weight-bearing and ROM exercises within the first few weeks following surgery. Additionally, managing inflammation during the early postoperative period is critical to prevent excessive scar formation. Anti-inflammatory medications, ice therapy, and compression can help control swelling and reduce the risk of arthrofibrosis [16]. Surgeons may also opt for less invasive surgical techniques that minimize trauma to surrounding tissues, further reducing the likelihood of scar formation.

4.3. Intervention Strategy

Once arthrofibrosis develops, early intervention is crucial to restoring knee function. Treatment typically involves a combination of aggressive physical therapy and, in some cases, manipulation under anesthesia to break up the fibrotic tissue [17]. If these conservative measures fail to improve ROM, surgical intervention may be required to remove the scar tissue arthroscopically. One case study involved a patient who developed severe arthrofibrosis following ACL reconstruction. After several weeks of limited progress with physical therapy, the patient underwent arthroscopic debridement to remove the excessive scar tissue. Postoperatively, the patient followed an intensive rehabilitation program and regained nearly full ROM within six months. This case highlights the importance of early identification and intervention for arthrofibrosis.

4.4. Limitation

Arthrofibrosis remains a challenging complication to manage due to its impact on ROM and overall knee function. While early intervention can mitigate the effects of scar tissue formation, prevention remains the most effective strategy [18]. Ongoing research into pharmacological interventions, such as the use of anti-fibrotic agents, may offer new avenues for preventing and treating this condition. Additionally, optimizing rehabilitation protocols to account for individual risk factors could help reduce the incidence of this complication.

5. Donor Site Morbidity

5.1. Clinical Characteristics

Donor site morbidity is a common complication associated with the use of autografts, particularly when the patellar or hamstring tendons are harvested for ACL reconstruction. Patients often experience pain, weakness, and functional limitations at the donor site, with patellar tendon grafts being associated with anterior knee pain and difficulty kneeling [19]. Hamstring autografts, on the other hand, can result in hamstring weakness and impaired sprinting or acceleration. Donor site morbidity can significantly affect a patient's recovery and ability to return to pre-injury levels of activity, especially in sports requiring strong quadriceps or hamstring function. The choice of autograft versus allograft must therefore be carefully considered based on the patient's activity demands and individual risk factors.

5.2. Prevention Strategy

Preventing donor site morbidity starts with careful surgical techniques that minimize damage to the surrounding tissues during graft harvesting [20]. Surgeons should aim to harvest as little tissue as necessary to reduce the risk of postoperative pain and weakness. Additionally, postoperative rehabilitation protocols should include exercises designed to strengthen the donor site and restore muscle function. In recent years, allografts have gained popularity as a means of eliminating donor site morbidity, as they do not require tissue harvesting from the patient [21]. However, allografts carry other risks, such as delayed graft integration and a higher failure rate compared to autografts. Therefore, the choice between autograft and allograft must be tailored to the individual patient.

5.3. Intervention Strategy

For patients who develop donor site morbidity, rehabilitation is key to restoring strength and function at the donor site. Early rehabilitation training is emphasized, which is beneficial to relieve pain, prevent capsular contracture and facilitate articular cartilage metabolism. Physical therapy should focus on strengthening the affected muscle groups, such as the quadriceps for patellar tendon grafts or the hamstrings for hamstring tendon grafts [22]. In cases of persistent anterior knee pain following patellar tendon harvesting, interventions such as patellar mobilizations, taping, and bracing may be beneficial. A case study reported on a patient who experienced significant anterior knee pain and quadriceps weakness following ACL reconstruction with a patellar tendon autograft. After several months of physical therapy focusing on quadriceps strengthening and patellar mobilizations, the patient's pain diminished, and patients were able to return to full activity with minimal discomfort.

5.4. Limitation

Donor site morbidity continues to be a significant consideration in ACL reconstruction, particularly with the use of autografts. While autografts offer advantages in terms of graft strength and integration, the morbidity associated with graft harvesting can impact long-term outcomes [23]. Further research into minimally invasive graft harvesting techniques and alternative graft options may help reduce the incidence of donor site morbidity in the future.

6. Post-Traumatic Osteoarthritis

Post-traumatic osteoarthritis (PTOA) is a long-term complication of ACL injury and reconstruction, characterized by the gradual degeneration of the knee joint cartilage. PTOA typically develops years after the initial injury and is associated with pain, swelling, and reduced joint function [19]. The risk of developing PTOA is influenced by several factors, including improper knee alignment after surgery, graft failure, and repetitive microtrauma to the joint. Patients with PTOA often report increasing difficulty with activities that place stress on the knee joint, such as walking, running, or

climbing stairs. In advanced cases, PTOA can lead to the complete degeneration of the knee joint, ultimately requiring total knee replacement.

6.1. Prevention Strategy

Preventing PTOA requires a comprehensive approach that includes proper surgical techniques, postoperative alignment, and the use of low-impact rehabilitation exercises [20]. Ensuring that the knee heals in an anatomically correct position, particularly with regard to graft placement and tension, is essential for reducing the risk of long-term joint degeneration. Additionally, rehabilitation programs should focus on preserving joint health by incorporating exercises that minimize joint impact, such as swimming, cycling, or elliptical training [18]. Patients should be educated about the importance of maintaining a healthy weight and avoiding high-impact activities that can accelerate cartilage degeneration.

6.2. Intervention Strategy

Once PTOA has developed, treatment options are primarily aimed at managing symptoms and preserving joint function. Conservative treatments, such as physical therapy, weight management, and the use of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), can help alleviate pain and maintain mobility [22]. In more severe cases, surgical interventions such as arthroscopy or partial knee replacement may be necessary to remove damaged cartilage and improve joint function. A case study highlighted a middle-aged patient who developed PTOA 10 years after ACL reconstruction. The patient initially managed the condition with intra-articular corticosteroid injections and physical therapy, which provided temporary relief. However, due to the advanced stage of the disease, the patient eventually underwent a total knee replacement.

6.3. Limitation

PTOA is a significant long-term complication of ACL reconstruction, particularly for patients who are highly active or have experienced graft failure. While preventive measures can reduce the risk of PTOA, the condition remains challenging to treat once it develops [23]. Ongoing research into cartilage regeneration therapies and joint-preserving strategies may offer new hope for preventing and managing PTOA in the future.

7. Conclusion

This paper underscores the critical importance of early intervention and tailored management strategies in mitigating the complications associated with ACL reconstruction. The findings highlight that while ACL reconstruction is generally successful, the risk of complications such as graft failure, infection, arthrofibrosis, donor site morbidity, and post-traumatic osteoarthritis requires vigilant postoperative care. Each complication presents unique challenges that necessitate a personalized approach, informed by patient-specific factors and the latest evidence-based practices. The successful management of these complications not only improves patient outcomes but also extends the functional longevity of the reconstructed ACL, ultimately enhancing the quality of life for patients. Future research should focus on refining surgical techniques, optimizing rehabilitation protocols, and developing predictive models to identify patients at higher risk for complications, thereby further advancing the field of sports medicine and improving clinical outcomes for ACL reconstruction patients.

References

[1] Rao, J. N., Reddy, M. S. M., & Reddy, K. J. (2022). Postoperative complications following ACL reconstruction: Prevention and management. National Journal of Clinical Orthopedics', 6 (3), 17 – 22. https: //doi.org/10.33545/orthor.2022.v6. i3a. 369.

[2] Lien-Iversen, T., Morgan, D. B., Jensen, C., Risberg, M. A., Engebretsen, L., & Viberg, B. (2020). Does surgery reduce knee osteoarthritis, meniscal injury and subsequent complications compared with non-surgery after ACL

rupture with at least 10 years follow-up? A systematic review and meta-analysis. British journal of sports medicine, 54 (10), 592 – 598. https: //doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2019 - 100765.

[3] Eckenrode, B. J., Carey, J. L., Sennett, B. J., & Zgonis, M. H. (2017). Prevention and Management of Post-operative Complications Following ACL Reconstruction. Current reviews in musculoskeletal medicine, 10 (3), 315 – 321. https: //doi.org/10.1007/s12178 - 017 - 9427 - 2.

[4] van Grinsven, S., van Cingel, R. E., Holla, C. J., & van Loon, C. J. (2010). Evidence-based rehabilitation following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. Knee surgery, sports traumatology, arthroscopy: official journal of the ESSKA, 18 (8), 1128 – 1144. https: //doi.org/10.1007/s00167 - 009 - 1027 - 2.

[5] Cinque, M. E., Dornan, G. J., Chahla, J., Moatshe, G., & LaPrade, R. F. (2018). High Rates of Osteoarthritis Develop After Anterior Cruciate Ligament Surgery: An Analysis of 4108 Patients. The American journal of sports medicine, 46 (8), 2011 – 2019. https: //doi.org/10. 1177/0363546517730072.

[6] Agarwalla, A., Gowd, A. K., Liu, J. N., Garcia, G. H., Bohl, D. D., Verma, N. N., & Forsythe, B. (2019). Effect of Operative Time on Short-Term Adverse Events After Isolated Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction. Orthopaedic journal of sports medicine, 7 (2), 2325967118825453. https: //doi.org/10. 1177/2325967118825453.

[7] Charalambous, C. P. (2022). Complications in primary anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction surgery: Rates of occurrence, prevention, and management (PhD by Published Works, University of Central Lancashire).

[8] Azar, F. M., & Arthur, S. T. (2004). Complications of anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. Techniques in Knee Surgery, 3 (4), 238 – 250. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.

[9] Barker, J. U., Drakos, M. C., Maak, T. G., Warren, R. F., Williams, R. J., 3rd, & Allen, A. A. (2010). Effect of graft selection on the incidence of postoperative infection in anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. The American journal of sports medicine, 38 (2), 281 – 286. https: //doi.org/10.1177/0363546509346414.

[10] Rodríguez-Merchán, E. C., De la Corte-Rodríguez, H., Encinas-Ullán, C. A., & Gómez-Cardero, P. (2021). Complications of surgical reconstruction of multiligament injuries of the knee joint: diagnosis, prevention and treatment. EFORT open reviews, 6 (10), 973 – 981. https: //doi.org/10.1302/2058 - 5241. 6. 210057.

[11] Bliss J. P. (2017). Anterior Cruciate Ligament Injury, Reconstruction, and the Optimization of Outcome. Indian journal of orthopaedics, 51 (5), 606 – 613. https: //doi.org/10.4103/ortho.IJOrtho_237_17.

[12] Noyes, F. R., Mangine, R. E., & Barber, S. D. (1992). The early treatment of motion complications after reconstruction of the anterior cruciate ligament. Clinical orthopaedics and related research, (277), 217 – 228.

[13] Crawford, S. N., Waterman, B. R., & Lubowitz, J. H. (2013). Long-term failure of anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. Arthroscopy: the journal of arthroscopic & related surgery: official publication of the Arthroscopy Association of North America and the International Arthroscopy Association, 29 (9), 1566 – 1571. https: //doi.org/10.1016/j.arthro.2013. 04. 014.

[14] Komnos, G. A., Chalatsis, G., Mitrousias, V., & Hantes, M. E. (2022). Postoperative Infection after Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction: Prevention and Management. Microorganisms, 10 (12), 2349. https: //doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms10122349.

[15] Jameson, S. S., Dowen, D., James, P., Serrano-Pedraza, I., Reed, M. R., & Deehan, D. (2012). Complications following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction in the English NHS. The Knee, 19 (1), 14 – 19. https: //doi.org/10.1016/j.knee. 2010. 11. 011.

[16] Palazzolo, A., Rosso, F., Bonasia, D. E., Saccia, F., Rossi, R., & Knee Committee SIGASCOT (2018). Uncommon Complications after Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction. Joints, 6 (3), 188 – 203. https: //doi.org/10.1055/s-0038 - 1675799.

[17] Samuelsen, B. T., Webster, K. E., Johnson, N. R., Hewett, T. E., & Krych, A. J. (2017). Hamstring Autograft versus Patellar Tendon Autograft for ACL Reconstruction: Is There a Difference in Graft Failure Rate? A Meta-analysis of 47,613 Patients. Clinical orthopaedics and related research, 475 (10), 2459 – 2468. https: //doi.org/10.1007/s11999 - 017 - 5278 - 9.

[18] Sanders, T. L., Kremers, H. M., Bryan, A. J., Kremers, W. K., Stuart, M. J., & Krych, A. J. (2017). Procedural intervention for arthrofibrosis after ACL reconstruction: trends over two decades. Knee surgery, sports traumatology, arthroscopy: official journal of the ESSKA, 25 (2), 532 – 537. https: //doi.org/10.1007/s00167 - 015 - 3799 - x.

[19] Marx, R. G., Hsu, J., Fink, C., Eriksson, K., Vincent, A., & van der Merwe, W. M. (2023). Graft choices for paediatric anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: State of the art. Journal of ISAKOS: joint disorders & orthopaedic sports medicine, 8 (3), 145 – 152. https: //doi.org/10.1016/j.jisako.2023. 01. 001.

[20] Rushdi, I., Sharifudin, S., & Shukur, A. (2019). Arthrofibrosis Following Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction. Malaysian orthopaedic journal, 13 (3), 34 – 38. https: //doi.org/10. 5704/MOJ. 1911. 006.

[21] Cassano, G. D., Moretti, L., Vicenti, G., Buono, C., Albano, F., Ladogana, T., Rausa, I., Notarnicola, A., & Solarino, G. (2024). Infection after Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction: A Narrative Review of the Literature. Healthcare (Basel, Switzerland), 12 (9), 894. https: //doi.org/10.3390/healthcare12090894.

[22] Zhao, D., Liang, G. H., Pan, J. K., Zeng, L. F., Luo, M. H., Huang, H. T., Han, Y. H., Lin, F. Z., Xu, N. J., Yang, W. Y., & Liu, J. (2023). Risk factors for postoperative surgical site infections after anterior cruciate ligament

reconstruction: a systematic review and meta-analysis. British journal of sports medicine, 57 (2), 118 – 128. https: //doi.org/10.1136/bjsports - 2022 - 105448.

[23] Wei Min, Liu Yujie, Li Zhongli, et al. Treatment strategies for reactive synovitis after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction [J]. Journal of Medical College for PLA, 2009, 30 (5): 606 - 607.