

Pragmatics as an inspiration for language teaching

Yingli Hu

Liaoning Communication University, Shenyang, China

965735064@qq.com

Abstract: This paper discusses the application value of pragmatics theory in language teaching practice. The article from the theory and practice of two levels of development. At the theoretical level, the basic principles of pragmatics, such as the cooperative principle and presupposition theory, have a profound impact on language understanding and production. At the practical level, the author advocates integrating pragmatic awareness into the classroom, improving students' pragmatic competence through case analysis, role playing and other interactive ways, so that they can flexibly use language in actual communication, instead of just mastering grammar rules and vocabulary knowledge. In addition, the paper also focuses on the role of cultural factors in pragmatic understanding, emphasizing that understanding and respecting cultural differences is the key to improving pragmatic competence in cross-cultural communication.

Keywords: pragmatics theory; language teaching; speech act theory; cooperation principal;

1. Introduction

Speech act theory, proposed by philosopher John Austin, is a landmark event in the field of pragmatics. It divides linguistic act into perlocutionary act, illocutionary act and locutionary act, emphasizing that the function of language in actual communication is far more than the literal meaning. In language teaching, understanding and applying this theory is of great significance to improving students' oral communication ability. The introductory section begins with a review of the core concepts of pragmatics, such as meaning and context, as well as its core theories, such as the theory of speech acts, the doctrine of conversational meaning, and the principle of cooperation. These theories provide a framework for understanding the communicative functions of language and the rules followed in communication. For example, J. Austin's speech act theory reveals how language produces action in actual communication, while H.P. Grice's conversational meaning and the principle of cooperation emphasise how speakers and listeners can achieve information transfer and understanding by following certain principles in dialogue.

The chapter will then discuss the specific application of pragmatics in English language teaching and how it can be used to enhance students' pragmatic awareness and competence through contextualised teaching strategies, such as text interpretation and role-playing. As we will see, the application of pragmatics is not only limited to the development of listening, reading and writing skills, but also extends to the teaching of translation, which emphasises the understanding of the original text's pragmatic meaning and conveying it accurately to the reader. Meanwhile, the introductory section will also explore the limitations of traditional teaching methods, especially in second language teaching, where too much emphasis is placed on grammar and vocabulary at the expense of pragmatic functions, which may result in limiting students' communicative competence.

The introduction will also mention the progress of pragmatics research in recent years and the impact of interdisciplinary perspectives on language education. Studies by scholars such as Kong Fancheng, Wang Qian, Chang Jingyu, Nishizhen Guangzheng, and Fang Yan have not only deepened our understanding of pragmatics theory, but also provided new insights into teaching practice. These studies have demonstrated the effectiveness of pragmatics in improving students' language literacy and verbal communication skills through empirical methods, such as on-site observation, the introduction of life situations, and classroom activities of contextual simulation.

This chapter will outline the structure and main arguments of this thesis, laying the groundwork for an in-depth exploration in the subsequent chapters. Through "The Inspiration of Pragmatics on Language Teaching", the author will systematically sort out the theory of pragmatics, demonstrate its practical application in teaching and explore its potential impact on the future of language education. Our goal is to promote innovation in teaching practice so that teachers can better develop students' linguistic communicative competence and intercultural communicative awareness to meet the language needs of the globalisation era.

2. Theoretical Foundations of Pragmatics in Language Teaching and Learning

2.1. Speech act theory and language teaching

Speech act theory, put forward by philosopher John Austin (J. Austin), is a milestone in the field of pragmatics, which divides speech acts into intra-speech acts, extra-speech acts and post-speech acts, and stresses the fact that the function of language in actual communication is much more than the literal meaning. In language teaching, understanding and applying this theory is of great significance in enhancing students' oral communication skills.

Intraverbal behaviour is what is expressed in the language itself, i.e. information in the literal sense, such as describing facts or stating an opinion. Extraverbal behaviours are intentions expressed through language that go beyond the text itself, such as requests, commands or promises. Post-speech behaviour refers to the actual effect of a speech act, such as completing an action or changing a relationship. By mastering these three types of acts, students will be better able to understand the versatility of language in real conversations, rather than just understanding vocabulary and sentence structure.

In teaching practice, teachers can use interactive activities such as role-playing and situational simulation to enable students to apply the theory of speech acts in real-life situations. For example, teachers can design a scenario in which students simulate everyday situations such as ordering food, shopping or dating, and ask them to express needs correctly as well as to be able to understand and respond to other people's extraverbal meanings, such as making requests politely or understanding each other's hints. In addition, teachers can guide students to analyse extra-linguistic behaviours in texts and parse the author's purpose and intention, so as to enhance their language interpretation skills.

Meanwhile, Grice's theory of conversational meaning and the principle of cooperation are also closely related to speech act theory. According to Grice, effective communication requires the speaker and the listener to follow four guidelines: qualitative guidelines (to ensure that what is said is true), quantitative guidelines (to provide just the right amount of information), relevance guidelines (to ensure that the content is relevant), and modality guidelines (to ensure that the expression is clear). These principles are also crucial in the classroom, and teachers should encourage students to follow them in their interactions to ensure that information is accurately conveyed and understood.

For example, teachers can design group discussion sessions in which students interact on a particular topic while reminding them to follow the principles of cooperation. When analysing students' performance, teachers can point out their successes or shortcomings in following the guidelines, e.g. some students may have given wrong information because they ignored the qualitative guidelines, or deviated from the discussion topic because they did not pay attention to the associative guidelines. In this way, students not only improve their language skills, but also increase their awareness of the need to follow the rules of discourse in real communication.

Speech act theory and the principle of co-operation provide in-depth guidance for language teaching and emphasise the practical use of language and communicative strategies. Teachers should incorporate these theories into the classroom and, through practical activities, help students learn how to use language in real-life situations and develop their oral communication skills and cultural sensitivity. In this way, students will not only master the structure of language, but also understand

and respect the rules of communication in different cultural contexts, so that they can use language better in the context of globalisation.

2.2. Application of the principle of co-operation in language teaching and learning

The principle of co-operation, proposed by philosopher H.P. Grice, is one of the core theories of pragmatics, which sets out a series of basic guidelines to be followed by speakers and listeners in communication to ensure that information is accurately conveyed and understood. In language teaching, the principle of co-operation provides teachers and students with a practical framework that guides them on how to communicate effectively in classroom interactions so as to improve their language communication skills.

In classroom dialogues, teachers should encourage students to follow qualitative guidelines, i.e. to ensure the truthfulness of what is said. For example, in a discussion class, teachers can ask students to provide evidence in support of their opinions to prevent them from expressing random opinions or providing unsubstantiated information. In this way, students will not only focus on factual accuracy, but will also learn how to find and evaluate information and develop their critical thinking.

The criterion of quantity requires speakers to provide just the right amount of information, neither too much nor too little. Teachers can design tasks in which students are asked to provide just the right amount of details according to the context in order to hone their information screening and organisation skills. For example, when describing an event or scene, have students train them to convey information effectively by providing only information that is directly related to the topic and avoiding redundant or irrelevant content.

The relevance criterion emphasises the relevance of the content, i.e. the topics in the communication should be consistent with the current discussion and avoid going off-topic. Teachers can organise thematic discussions where students take turns to ensure that their remarks are closely related to the topic. Through such exercises, students can learn to maintain focus in their communication and improve the flow of dialogue.

Modality guidelines require speakers to be clear and concise in order to avoid confusion or misunderstanding. Teachers can instruct students to practise clear and concise expressions and to avoid using long or complex sentences. In writing lessons, teachers can teach students how to organise paragraphs to ensure that arguments are clear and logically coherent. In addition, teachers can encourage students to use clear vocabulary and non-verbal signals, such as body language and facial expressions, in discussions to enhance communication.

In specific teaching practice, teachers can let students practice the principle of cooperation through various activities. For example, teachers can organise students to play a story solitaire game, in which each person must follow the clues in front of him or her to keep the story coherent, which requires them to apply the correlation criterion. Or in a debate class, teachers can ask students to provide only evidence to support their own views during the debate and observe the qualitative criterion. Through these activities, students can not only improve their language skills, but also develop their spirit of co-operation and critical thinking, so that they can make better use of language in actual communication.

The principle of co-operation provides a practical framework for language teaching and helps students learn how to communicate effectively in the classroom. Teachers encourage students to follow the principle of co-operation in practice by designing a variety of interactive activities, thereby enhancing their communicative language skills and their ability to adapt to different communicative situations. This not only helps students to succeed in an academic environment, but also to communicate effectively in their daily lives, especially in an increasingly globalised society.

3. The application of pragmatics in teaching practice

3.1. The role of pragmatic moderation in language teaching and learning

Pragmatic modulation, as an important concept in pragmatics, refers to how speakers and listeners adjust their speech behaviours according to specific contexts and communicative goals in the process of communication, so as to ensure that the message is accurately conveyed and understood. In language teaching, the cultivation of pragmatic adjustment ability is crucial to enhancing students' practical communicative competence. It covers a wide range of aspects such as understanding of context, use of politeness strategies, recognition of cultural differences and choice of communicative strategies, etc. These skills help students to use language flexibly in different communicative scenarios, avoid misunderstandings and achieve effective communication.

Linguistic adjustment emphasises a keen sense of context. In the classroom, teachers can design a variety of contextual simulation activities, such as situational dialogues and role-plays, so that students can practice how to adjust their linguistic expressions and attitudes according to the context in different situations. For example, in a simulated business negotiation scenario, students learn to use formal wording and polite expressions, which will help them behave decently in similar communicative situations in the future.

Discourse regulation involves the application of politeness principles. Teachers should guide students to understand and follow the principles of politeness proposed by Leech, such as generosity, humility, empathy and generosity, which are particularly important in intercultural communication. In the discussion, teachers can analyse expressions of politeness in different cultural contexts, so that students can understand which speech acts may be regarded as decent and which may be regarded as offensive in different cultures, thus enhancing their cultural sensitivity and adaptability.

Furthermore, pragmatic regulation involves recognising and respecting cultural differences. Understanding and respecting cultural differences is the key to improving pragmatic competence in practical interactions. Teachers can help students identify cultural differences by comparing and analysing communicative rules and habits in different cultures, and simulate cross-cultural dialogues in the classroom, so that students can try to adapt to and respect communicative strategies in different cultures in actual communication.

Discourse modulation skills also include the selection and use of appropriate communicative strategies. Teachers can teach students how to adjust their strategies according to the purpose of communication and the other party's response, such as how to clarify when facing misunderstandings, how to make requests when seeking help, and how to maintain modesty when expressing opinions. Through class discussions and group activities, students can practice these strategies, thus enhancing their communicative flexibility and problem-solving skills.

Taken together, the application of pragmatic regulation in language teaching helps students to use language in real-life situations, focusing not only on grammar and vocabulary knowledge, but also on the dynamic use of language and communication strategies. Teachers should integrate the training of pragmatic modulation into the teaching design, and through practical activities, let students experience and master the key elements of pragmatic modulation in the context, so as to improve their language use ability and lay a solid foundation for them to communicate effectively in the globalised environment.

3.2. The effect of linguistic strategies on learners' outputs

Discourse strategies play a decisive role in the process of language learners' output, and they directly influence how students use language to communicate effectively. From discourse construction to cultural adaptation, pragmatic strategies provide students with the tools to use language flexibly in actual communication, help them understand and follow the rules of communication, and thus improve communication effectiveness. This paper will explore the specific application of pragmatic

strategies in the development of language skills such as listening, speaking, reading and writing and their impact on students' output.

Discourse strategies are crucial in the development of listening skills. In listening comprehension, pragmatic strategies help students go beyond the literal meaning and infer the speaker's intention and attitude through context. For example, teachers can design listening comprehension tasks that guide students to look for hints in the listening material and determine the speaker's real intention through reasoning. Meanwhile, through activities such as role-playing, students can learn how to clarify information and minimise misunderstandings through communicative strategies such as questioning or confirming in real situations.

In oral expression, discourse strategies influence students' discourse construction and communicative effectiveness. Teachers can train students to use principles of politeness, such as generosity, humility, empathy and generosity as suggested by Leech, to ensure that their expressions are both appropriate and persuasive. This will not only help students build good interpersonal relationships during classroom interactions, but also help them communicate effectively in real life. In addition, teachers can teach students how to adapt their language style to the background and expectations of their audience in order to enhance the adaptability and relevance of their expressions.

In reading and writing, discourse strategies play a key role as well. In reading comprehension, students need to understand the deeper meaning of a text and identify what the author is saying. By analysing literary works or news reports, teachers can direct students' attention to such pragmatic factors as contextual clues and stylistic features to enhance their ability to interpret complex texts. In teaching writing, teachers emphasise the correct expression of discursive meanings and encourage students to take into account readers' expectations when writing to avoid discursive errors and to make their writing more presentable. For example, teachers can instruct students how to adjust the strategy and tone of their arguments according to the readers' positions and needs when writing expository texts to make them more persuasive.

The role of pragmatic strategies in intercultural communication cannot be ignored. Under the background of globalisation, understanding and respecting cultural differences is the key to improving pragmatic skills. Teachers help students identify cultural differences by comparing communicative rules and habits in different cultures, and simulate cross-cultural dialogues in the classroom, so that students can try to adapt and respect communicative strategies in different cultures in actual communication. This not only helps students to establish effective communication in an international environment, but also facilitates their development of intercultural communicative competence.

Pragmatic strategies play a decisive role in language learners' output, they help students understand the dynamic use of language, adapt to different communicative situations and follow appropriate communicative rules. Teachers should pay attention to the cultivation of pragmatic strategies in teaching, and through diversified teaching methods, such as situational simulation and case study, students can master pragmatic strategies in practice, so as to improve the quality of their language output and adapt to the language needs under globalisation. Such teaching strategies will not only help students to improve their language skills, but also help them to grow into global citizens with practical communication skills.

4. Conclusions

This paper reveals the great potential of pragmatics in enhancing students' communicative competence in language through an in-depth exploration of pragmatics theories and case studies of its application in teaching practice. The centrality of pragmatics in language teaching, the importance of integrating pragmatic awareness into the classroom should be reaffirmed. And it also provides suggestions for future teaching practice.

The principle of cooperation, the theory of speech acts and the theory of presuppositions are the key part of pragmatics. It also provides teachers with the key to understanding the value of the actual use of language. If teachers can interpret these theories into concrete teaching strategies, such as role-playing, situation simulation, they can largely enhance students' sensitivity to context and their ability to use communicative strategies. In this way, students can master the structure of the language and enhance their fluency in actual communication, thus laying a solid foundation for effective intercultural communication.

The development of pragmatic adjustment is another key aspect of language teaching, which emphasises the ability to use language flexibly in different contexts. Teachers should focus on guiding students to understand and apply the principle of politeness, the identification of cultural differences and communicative strategies through practice, so that they can improve their ability to adapt to the context in simulated dialogues and real communication. In this way, students can not only improve their language skills, but also develop their awareness of respecting and understanding different cultures, which is crucial for them to perform various roles in a globalised world.

Pragmatic strategies have a direct impact on the quality of learners' language output. Among all the teaching part including listening, reading and writing, teachers should pay attention to how students use pragmatic strategies to convey information effectively. In listening training, students should learn to infer information according to the context; in oral expression, they should learn to use appropriate politeness strategies; in reading, they should understand the deeper meaning of the text; and in writing, they should focus on the appropriate expression of linguistic meaning.

References

- [1] Xue Bing. Relying on Linguistics Teaching to Cultivate English Majors' Academic Innovation Ability--Taking Pragmatics Teaching as an Example[J]. Journal of Zhejiang Institute of Foreign Languages, 2023, No.3, 62-68, 7 pp.
- [2] Wang Huiru. Research on reading teaching strategies of high school language under the perspective of pragmatics[J]. Chinese loose-leaf anthology (high school edition), 2024, No. 1, 0041-0043, 3 pp.
- [3] Wang, Yuanhua. The pragmatic turn of linguistic instructional theory for teaching languages in primary and secondary schools[J]. Journal of Reedling Languages, 2015, No.1, 61-71, 11 pp.
- [4] Yang Ming. Pragmatics, Cross-Language Cultural Communication and English Teaching[J]. Journal of Shandong University of Technology (Social Science Edition), 2004, No. 1, 105-107, 3 pp.
- [5] Gu Xiaomin. Returning to language teaching from pragmatics[J]. Secondary School Language Teaching Reference (Teachers' Edition), 2010, No. 9, 4-6, 3 pp.