WEP
Warwick
Evans
Publishing

Transactions on Economics, Business and Management Research
ISSN: 2960-1789, eISSN: 2960-2254 | Volume 9
GBMS 2024

Comparative Analysis Study and Response Strategies in the Role of Biomass Energy in Redefining Global Influence: A Study on the United States and China

Enqi Dang

Faculty of French and Francophone Studies, Beijing Foreign Studies University, Beijing, 100089, China

20050012@bfsu.edu.cn

Abstract. This research delves into the comparative development of biomass energy strategies in the United States and China, emphasizing their critical role in global renewable energy transitions. It highlights the increasing importance of biomass energy amid the imperative shift towards sustainable energy sources driven by climate change concerns and geopolitical dynamics. Through a thorough analysis of policy frameworks, technological innovations, and market implementations, the study identifies key similarities and differences in the approaches of both countries. While both nations prioritize reducing dependence on fossil fuels and promoting environmental sustainability, they diverge in their policy incentives, technological research, and resource utilization strategies. The paper suggests avenues for enhancing biomass energy development, including strengthening policy support, fostering technological innovation, and promoting international cooperation. Ultimately, the research of this paper underscores the significance of biomass energy in achieving sustainable energy transitions and emphasizes the importance of collaborative efforts between nations in addressing global energy and environmental challenges.

Keywords: Biomass Energy; Comparative Study; Renewable Energy; Public Policy; US-China Relations.

1. Introduction

In the contemporary global landscape, the imperative shift towards sustainable energy sources, prompted by the pressing concerns of climate change and geopolitical dynamics, underscores the escalating significance of renewable energy within the realm of international politics. Among the myriad renewable resources, biomass energy emerges as a promising avenue due to its inherent potential for conversion from organic materials into usable energy forms. As such, the United States and China, as two pivotal players on the global stage, have strategically harnessed and advanced biomass energy technologies, thereby exerting considerable influence on their respective positions of leadership in the realm of renewable energy.

The United States and China, as the world's largest economies and energy consumers, have adopted divergent approaches towards incorporating biomass energy into their energy portfolios. The author uncovers not only the opportunities it presents but also the challenges that must be addressed to realize its full potential in the pursuit of a greener future. This paper delves into the comparative development of biomass energy in China and the United States, two of the world's largest energy consumers and producers. The United States, with its robust research and development infrastructure, has pursued a multifaceted strategy that integrates biomass energy technologies into its broader renewable energy initiatives. For instance, the cultivation of energy crops and the utilization of organic waste for biofuel production have become integral components of the US renewable energy agenda. This concerted effort not only bolsters the nation's energy security but also enhances its diplomatic leverage by showcasing a commitment to sustainable practices on the global stage.

Conversely, China, driven by its burgeoning energy demands and environmental imperatives, has embarked on an ambitious trajectory of biomass energy deployment to mitigate its carbon footprint

and diversify its energy sources. Through substantial investments in biomass power plants and biomass conversion technologies, China has positioned itself as a formidable force in the global renewable energy landscape. By leveraging biomass energy as a means to address both energy security concerns and environmental challenges, China not only enhances its domestic energy resilience but also elevates its stature as a proactive player in the international arena.

The contrasting approaches of the United States and China towards biomass energy underscore the nuances of their respective energy policies and environmental commitments, reflecting divergent response strategies to the imperatives of climate change mitigation and sustainable development. The strategic deployment of biomass energy technologies by these two global powerhouses not only underscores their leadership roles in renewable energy innovation but also exemplifies the intricate interplay between energy security, environmental stewardship, and international relations. As such, the divergent paths pursued by the United States and China in harnessing biomass energy technologies carry far-reaching implications for the global energy landscape, shaping the contours of international cooperation and environmental policy in an era defined by the imperatives of sustainability and climate resilience.

The objectives of this research are multifaceted. Primarily, it aims to provide a thorough comparison of the biomass energy sectors in the United States and China, focusing on their respective policies, technological innovations, market implementations, and the environmental and economic repercussions of their approaches. This comparison is not only crucial for understanding the current state of biomass energy but also for identifying potential pathways toward a more sustainable and secure energy future.

The importance of this study stems from the critical role of biomass energy in the global transition towards more sustainable energy systems. By examining the approaches of the United States and China, this paper seeks to highlight the potential of biomass energy in reducing dependency on fossil fuels, mitigating climate change, and promoting economic development within the constraints of environmental sustainability.

The author’s approach to addressing the research question involves a comprehensive review of existing literature, policy documents, and industry reports, complemented by a comparative analysis of the biomass energy sectors in both countries. Key variables such as biomass feedstocks, technological efficiency, policy incentives, and investment levels have been meticulously analyzed to understand the similarities and differences in the biomass energy development strategies of China and the United States.

The key findings reveal a dynamic landscape of biomass energy development characterized by significant investments in research and development, diverse technological applications, and a strong policy drive towards renewable energy sources. However, geographical disparities in resource distribution, varying levels of government support, and differences in policy implementation strategies pose challenges to the scalability and effectiveness of biomass energy solutions. These findings underscore the importance of international cooperation, technological innovation, and policy harmonization in advancing biomass energy development.

The implications of the author’s research are profound, suggesting that while biomass energy presents a promising avenue for sustainable development, its potential can only be fully realized through concerted global efforts. The experiences of the United States and China offer valuable lessons for other countries in promoting biomass energy, highlighting the need for strategic investments, supportive policies, and collaborative initiatives to overcome the challenges of transitioning to a sustainable energy future.

2. Description of Biomass Energy Strategies in the United States and China

2.1. United States

The United States regards biomass energy as one of the core contents of new energy development and utilization, aiming to increase employment, stimulate economic recovery, reduce dependence on foreign energy, and compete for the right to speak in the international climate field [1]. The US government has formed its bioenergy strategy by formulating a series of policies and regulations and taking various measures to enhance the strength of the United States and its influence on the world energy market [2]. In addition, the United States has taken important measures in the field of biomass resources research to continuously promote the research, development, and utilization of biomass resources.

2.2. China

In contrast, China started the development of biomass energy late, but it has developed rapidly in recent years. China attaches great importance to the development of the biomass energy industry and regards it as an important way to solve energy problems and ensure food security. Through the formulation of the Renewable Energy Law and the Biomass Energy Development Plan, the Chinese government has clearly put forward sustainability requirements and linked them to sustainability requirements [3]. At the same time, China has also made significant progress in the research and development of biomass energy technology. For example, the Guangxi cassava fuel ethanol project and the bio enzyme production of biodiesel are internationally advanced and even leading technology technologies [4].

However, compared with developed countries, China's biomass energy laws and regulations are backward in promulgation, too general in content, and lack of specific implementation rules; development planning policies lack foresight, and the goal of planning in the same period is lower than that of developed countries. This shows that China still has a lot of room for improvement in the formulation and implementation of biomass energy policies.

3. Strategic Significance of the Development of Biomass Energy in the United States and China

The significance of the United States and China in the development strategies of biomass energy and their impact on global influence and the study of coping strategies involve many aspects, including the environmental friendliness of biomass energy, renewables, policy support, industrial development, and the impact on global food security and energy security.

3.1. Chinese Strategic Significance

The main purpose of developing biomass energy in the United States is to protect the interests of farmers and promote the development of the biomass energy industry through government guidance and market regulation. The evolution process of the US biomass energy policy shows that the core goal is to achieve national energy independence and to promote industrial development through laws and regulations, fiscal and tax support, government procurement, and other means [5]. China's biomass energy development takes into account resource endowment, safety constraints, and path selection, and there are some shortcomings in biomass energy policy, such as backward promulgation of laws and regulations, lack of foresight in development planning, etc.

3.2. International Strategic Significance

From the perspective of global influence, the competition and cooperation between the United States and China in the field of biomass energy has had an important impact on the development trend and pattern of the global biomass energy industry. The United States has enhanced its voice in the global

climate field through the development of biomass energy, while China is facing the challenge of balancing the relationship between food security, energy security, and environmental security.

4. Comparative Analysis of Biomass Energy Strategies

4.1. Similarities in Biomass Energy Strategies

As the world's two largest economies, the United States and China have many similarities in their biomass energy policies. Both countries regard biomass energy as an important means to reduce dependence on fossil fuels, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and achieve energy diversification. This reflects the common commitment of both sides to sustainable development and environmental protection. At the same time, the United States and China have promoted the development and application of biomass energy through various policy support. For example, government subsidies, tax incentives, and financial investment are all used to stimulate relevant research, technology development, and commercialization processes. Both governments attach importance to the role of science and technology in promoting the development of the biomass energy industry. The United States conducts research and development of biomass energy technology through its National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) and other institutions; China supports the innovation and application of biomass energy technology through various major national science and technology projects and research and development plans. In addition, both countries use a large amount of surpluses generated by agriculture and forestry, such as crop straw and forest residues, as raw materials for biomass energy. This not only contributes to the recycling of waste but also reduces environmental pollution. Both the United States and China promote the application of biomass energy in the fields of electricity, heating, and transportation fuel. Promote the integration of biomass energy and traditional energy through policy guidance and market mechanisms to optimize the energy structure and maximize environmental benefits.

4.2. Differences in Implementation and Policy

The development of the United States in the field of biomass energy is mainly concentrated in the first generation of biofuels, such as corn-based ethanol and biodiesel. The US government supports the research, development, and application of biomass energy technology by providing financial subsidies, tax incentives, and other measures. In addition, the United States is also committed to developing second-generation biofuels, such as cellulose ethanol, in order to achieve broader sustainable use. China has adopted different strategies for the development of biomass energy. China uses its rich agricultural resources to focus on the development of biofuels based on crop waste, such as ethanol and biodiesel. China's biomass energy development strategy aims to reduce dependence on fossil fuels, improve energy self-sufficiency, and promote the development of rural areas and the increase of farmers' income. However, there are significant differences between the United States and China in the development of biomass energy, which are reflected in policy incentives, technology research and development, resource utilization, and other dimensions.

From the perspective of policy incentives, the development of biomass energy in the United States is mainly driven by the Renewable Fuel Standard (RFS), which requires that a certain proportion of transportation fuel must be renewable every year, including biofuels [6]. Although China has also implemented a series of subsidy policies to promote the development of biomass energy, compared with the United States, China's policies rely more on direct fiscal subsidies and tax incentives and lack a mandatory policy framework based on aggregate targets like the United States [7].

In terms of technology research and development, the United States is in a leading position in the research and development of biomass energy crops, especially in the fields of ethanol and cellulose ethanol in non-grain crops [8]. In contrast, although China has made some progress in the field of biomass energy research, it still lags behind the United States in some key technologies.

In terms of resource utilization, China is rich in biomass resources, especially in the development and utilization of agricultural waste and forestry waste [9]. The United States pays more attention to the cultivation and utilization of biomass energy crops and the integration of biomass energy with other industries, such as the development of biological refineries [10].

5. Suggestions

The United States and China have their own emphasis on the development of biomass energy. The United States pays more attention to protecting the interests of farmers and market regulation, while China focuses on a diversified energy structure and food security. In the face of the global energy crisis and environmental challenges, both countries need to strengthen policy support, technological innovation, and international cooperation further to achieve the sustainable development of biomass energy and exert greater influence on a global scale.

5.1. United States

The US government has set ambitious biofuel development goals and taken strong policy support measures, such as organizing and implementing biomass programs and taking cellulose ethanol as the focus of research, development, and demonstration [11]. In addition, the United States attaches importance to the planning analysis and inter-departmental coordination of biofuels. It vigorously promotes technology demonstration and commercialization at the forefront of basic research and applied research.

In terms of the energy market, the United States has not only increased employment and stimulated economic recovery through the development of biomass energy but also reduced its dependence on foreign energy and competed for a voice in the international climate field [12]. The US bioenergy strategy aims to enhance the strength of the United States and increase its influence on the world energy market [13].

However, in order to meet the challenges of global climate change, China and the United States should further strengthen cooperation to jointly promote the sustainable development of global biomass energy rather than excessive trade frictions. This includes but is not limited to sharing best practices, carrying out joint research and development projects, and coordinating positions on international platforms to promote global climate governance and energy transformation jointly.

5.2. China

In the realm of biomass energy advancement, China must direct its focus toward augmenting target management strategies and bolstering institutional capacity to elevate the overall efficiency and advancement status of the biomass energy sector. Concurrently, the Chinese government must undertake the formulation of comprehensive laws and regulations, delineate a clear developmental trajectory, extend subsidies to raw material producers, enhance financial backing, provide tax incentives to enterprises, and fortify scientific and technological innovation alongside other pertinent supporting measures [14]. These concerted efforts are crucial in propelling the research, development, and deployment of biomass energy technologies.

In the realm of sustainability, it is incumbent upon China to distinctly articulate sustainability requisites within the Renewable Energy Law and the Biomass Energy Development Plan. The policies governing the biomass industry should be intricately intertwined with sustainability imperatives to ensure long-term viability and environmental stewardship. Furthermore, in recent times, China has realized that emulation of the United States or other nations' models is insufficient, necessitating a unique and diversified approach to biomass energy development. To further advance along the trajectory of biomass energy evolution, the Chinese government should persist in escalating investments in biomass energy research and development to propel technological advancements and foster industrial upgrades [15].

In essence, by embracing a multifaceted strategy encompassing targeted management enhancements, institutional capacity augmentation, regulatory frameworks, financial incentives, technological innovation, and sustainability mandates, China can pave the way for a robust and sustainable biomass energy industry. This comprehensive approach, tailored to the unique needs and circumstances of the nation, will position China as a trailblazer in the global transition toward renewable energy sources.

6. Conclusion

The comparative analysis of biomass energy strategies in the United States and China reveals both similarities and differences in their approaches to harnessing renewable energy sources. While both countries prioritize biomass energy as a means to reduce dependence on fossil fuels and mitigate environmental degradation, their policy frameworks, technological focuses, and resource utilization strategies diverge significantly.

In terms of policy incentives, the United States relies on a mandatory framework like the Renewable Fuel Standard to drive biomass energy development, whereas China employs direct fiscal subsidies and tax incentives. Moreover, the United States emphasizes research and development in biomass energy crops and advanced biofuels, while China concentrates on utilizing agricultural and forestry waste for biofuel production. These differences reflect distinct national priorities and resource endowments.

Despite these disparities, both countries share a commitment to leveraging biomass energy for sustainable development. They emphasize technological innovation, market integration, and environmental stewardship in their respective strategies. However, challenges such as inadequate policy formulation, technological gaps, and resource distribution imbalances underscore the need for continuous improvement and international cooperation.

The findings of this study are expected to advance the understanding of the factors influencing the implementation of renewable energy and green economy strategies, help policymakers and stakeholders to evaluate and prioritize policy instruments to be adopted, and provide researchers with information about knowledge domains that remain unexplored in this area. Similarly, industry stakeholders may find our results useful for designing strategies to stimulate technological innovation, seek markets, and expand international collaboration.

One limitation of this study lies in its reliance on secondary data sources, which may restrict the depth of analysis and insights derived. Future studies could overcome this limitation by incorporating primary data through surveys, interviews, or case studies. By gathering firsthand perspectives from policymakers, industry experts, and stakeholders, researchers can gain a more nuanced understanding of the challenges and opportunities in biomass energy development.

Additionally, future research could explore the socio-economic impacts of biomass energy deployment, including its implications for rural development, job creation, and community resilience. By integrating interdisciplinary approaches and engaging diverse stakeholders, future studies can contribute to a more holistic understanding of the role of biomass energy in sustainable development agendas.

In conclusion, while this study offers valuable insights into biomass energy strategies in the United States and China, there remains ample room for further exploration and refinement. By addressing the limitations and building upon the findings of this research, future studies can contribute to the advancement of renewable energy solutions and pave the way for a more sustainable and resilient future.

References

[1] Xu Zhenwei. The implementation of bioenergy policy in the United States and its implications for China. Nankai Journal (Philosophy and Social Sciences), 2014, 238 (02): 18-27.

[2] Xu Zhenwei. The impact of American bioenergy strategy on American hegemony. Journal of Tianjin Normal University (Social Science Edition), 2013, 231 (06): 12-18.

[3] Chang Shiyan, Kang Liping. International biomass sustainable development policy and its implications for China. Transactions of the Chinese Society of Agricultural Engineering, 2017, 33 (11): 1-10.

[4] Yang Yan. Building Sustainable Energy - China at the forefront of biofuel technology Development - Interview with Professor Andy Lau, Director of the China-Pakistan Research Center for Climate Change and Energy Technology Innovation, 2011.

[5] Meng Gui, Zhang Chao, Wu Shuirong et al. Evolution and implications of forest biomass energy policy in the United States. World Forestry Research, 2020, 35 (03): 80-85.

[6] W. Tyner. Commentary: Comparison of the US and EU approaches to stimulating biofuels. 2010, 19 - 21.

[7] Su Shiwei, Mi Chunxiu. Analysis on the difference of biomass energy policies between China and foreign countries. China and Foreign Energy, 2016, 21 (11): 14-20.

[8] Su Wang. Inspirations from the Development of Bioenergy Crops in USA, 2015.

[9] Yi-Ning Niu and A. Korneev. “Present situation of biomass energy utilization-a comparison between China and the United States.” 2022 2nd International Conference on Intelligent Technologies (CONIT), 2022, 1-5.

[10] R. Perlack, L. Wright et al. Biomass as Feedstock for A Bioenergy and Bioproducts Industry: The Technical Feasibility of a Billion-Ton Annual Supply, 2005.

[11] Liu Runsheng. Policy and situation analysis of advanced biofuel technology in the United States. Chinese Journal of Bioengineering, 2010, 30 (01): 117-123.

[12] Xu Zhenwei. The implementation of bioenergy policy in the United States and its implications for China. Nankai Journal (Philosophy and Social Sciences), 2014, 238 (02): 18-27.

[13] Xu Zhenwei. The impact of American bioenergy strategy on American hegemony. Journal of Tianjin Normal University (Social Science Edition), 2013, 231 (06): 12-18.

[14] Luo Dong-shen. “Review on the Development of Bioenergy in China and the World, 2007.

[15] Zhang Zhe. Development status and Problems of biomass energy in China. Science and Technology Innovation and Application, 2016, 170 (22): 75.