WEP Warwick Evans Publishing

Transactions on Computer Science and Intelligent Systems Research
ISSN: 2960-1800, eISSN: 2960-2238 | Volume 9
AIDML 2025

A Comprehensive Review of Stock Index Prediction Methods: From Traditional Econometrics to Deep Learning with Attention Mechanisms

Zihang Sun *

College of Engineering, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC 27695, United States

* Corresponding Author Email: Email: zsun34@ncsu.edu

Abstract. In the era of rapid digitalization and data-driven decision-making, financial forecasting has emerged as a critical area of research and application. Stock index prediction, in particular, plays a vital role in guiding investment strategies, managing risks, and shaping economic policies. With the increasing availability of high-frequency and high-dimensional financial data, selecting appropriate modeling techniques has become both more challenging and more essential. This paper provides a systematic and thorough review of the research of the stock index forecasting models, including traditional econometric models (ARIMA, GARCH, etc) and machine learning (LSTM, Attention mechanism model, etc). The article highlights the fact that traditional approaches are able to provide limited solutions for coping with nonlinear, nonstationary, and high-dimensional data while ML and DL models excel in capturing complex patterns in the data. Especially, attention-based models can be used to enhance the accuracy and interpretability of predictions. These are, however, sophisticated techniques that require intensive data and computation. Future research is encouraged to focus on integrating interdisciplinary perspectives, enhancing model transparency, and advancing the practical application of predictive models in real-world financial decision-making.

Keywords: Stock Index Prediction; Machine Learning Algorithms; Deep Learning with Attention Mechanisms; Financial Time Series Forecasting; Model Interpretability.

1. Introduction

As a consequence of the recent rapid change in the global capital market, the prediction of stock indices has become very important for investment, portfolio management etc., because to analyze an economic condition, it is so called to analyze the growth of stock indices. Market predictability is essential to individual investors as well as to policymakers and the financial industry that seek the prevention of systemic risks. This problem is faced by this field in the presence of three major factors: the strongly non-linear behavior of the market, abrupt dependence of the volatility appearing unannounced, and the multivariate nature of high-dimensional data involving numerous closely related factors. From the traditional classical econometric models (e.g. ARIMA) to state-of-the-art machine learning techniques and even deep learning with attention models, the computational methods for forecasting have come a long way. The original ARIMA models were powerful in handling linear time series phenomena, and they were developed as the time series foundation for a significant proportion of financial time series analysis based on which they evolved, but were less effective in grasping nonlinear information and sudden structural changes that may interrupt the financial data. As the markets get more sophisticated and people get more and more data, people found methods such as SVR and Random Forests are far ahead of the curve in pattern recognition. These models significantly improved forecasting by incorporating higher order patterns along with a fusion of econometrics to gain hybrid models with the strength of statistical accuracy coupled with an element of data driven learning. Attention based deep learning architectures have been a very popular research front in the past. These are highly scalable models on large and diverse financial datasets and the model transparency is enhanced by included interpretability features, so researchers and practitioners are better informed of how these models are making decisions. Improvement in the performance of modern SA methods (including predictive accuracy, robustness and generalization capability) on the dynamics of different market states is remarkable. Nevertheless, such advancements also pose novel challenges of mid-course feature selection, interpretation bottleneck w.r.t. base leanings, and the demands for computation and data of deep learning models. The contribution that this paper intends to make is trying to clear the development process for stock index prediction method under different classes, and into four broad classes traditional statistical model, machine learning model, attention mechanism model and hybrid model one by one to analysis each category method advantage and disadvantage to make the prediction accuracy has improved, and to excavate the complex market laws.

2. Traditional Prediction Methods

In the field of stock index forecasting, traditional econometric models such as ARIMA, VAR, and GARCH have long provided the theoretical backbone for analyzing financial time series. These models are effective in capturing linear trends, periodic components, and volatility clustering. For instance, Auto-Regressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) is suitable for modeling univariate linear patterns, Vector Auto-Regression (VAR) captures interactions among multiple variables, and Generalized Auto-Regressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity (GARCH) excels in modeling conditional volatility, making it widely adopted in risk management and asset allocation scenarios.

However, as financial markets have grown increasingly complex and influenced by high-frequency trading behaviors, these models have revealed significant limitations. Most notably, they rely on assumptions of data stationarity and linearity, which are often violated in real-world market conditions characterized by nonlinearity, regime shifts, and structural breaks [1]. Furthermore, traditional models struggle with high-dimensional data, exogenous variables such as investor sentiment or macroeconomic shocks, and the need for dynamic adaptability [2, 3].

2.1. Econometric Foundations and Empirical Applications

Despite these limitations, traditional models continue to offer interpretability and structural clarity in empirical research. For example, the integration of sentiment analysis with econometric modeling—commonly referred to as “sentiment econometrics”—enables qualitative textual information to be quantified and embedded into time series frameworks, enhancing forecasting accuracy. These models also remain effective in low-frequency, stable environments.

Nonetheless, when applied to high-frequency or structurally complex datasets such as limit order book (LOB) records, their modeling capacity is often inadequate. Recent studies highlight that deep learning models, particularly LSTM and CNN architectures, are significantly more capable of capturing non-linear dynamics and extracting latent features from such data [4, 5].

2.2. Limitations of Traditional Methods in Non-linear Modeling

One of the most critical weaknesses of traditional models lies in their inability to handle nonlinear, high-volatility market behaviors. Financial data often exhibit heavy tails, volatility bursts, and regime shifts—characteristics poorly accommodated by models assuming normally distributed errors. Moreover, the rise of high-dimensional inputs such as trading behavior, regulatory policies, and real-time news sentiment exacerbates the rigidity of traditional frameworks, which are constrained by strict parametric structures and limited scalability [6].

In contrast, machine learning models offer superior generalization and adaptive learning capabilities. Without requiring explicit structural assumptions, these models can autonomously detect hidden patterns and nonlinear dependencies, making them highly suitable for modern financial systems.

2.3. Comparative Analysis of Performance Metrics for Traditional Models

Empirical studies across global equity markets have shown that machine learning methods frequently outperform traditional benchmarks in terms of prediction accuracy and volatility modeling. Neural networks (ANNs), for instance, have demonstrated consistent superiority over ARIMA and GARCH in various forecasting tasks [7]. In addition, hybrid frameworks that integrate domain knowledge with deep learning architectures not only improve volatility recognition but also address the interpretability concerns of black-box models.

This has led to a paradigm shift toward hybrid, knowledge-informed, data-driven modeling approaches. These methods maintain the theoretical strengths of traditional econometrics while leveraging the adaptability of AI models, signaling a transition from static linear frameworks to dynamic nonlinear systems in financial forecasting [8].

3. Machine Learning Methods

Machine learning has become central to stock index forecasting due to its ability to address the complexity of financial data. Unlike traditional econometric models such as ARIMA or GARCH, which rely on linear assumptions, machine learning can identify nonlinear patterns by leveraging large datasets and advanced algorithms [9]. Techniques like Support Vector Regression (SVR), Random Forest, and XGBoost offer flexible, high-performing alternatives, though they also face challenges related to feature selection and interpretability. Given the volatility and regime shifts in financial markets, the adaptability of these algorithms remains under close scrutiny. As research evolves, combining machine learning with domain-specific knowledge offers promising avenues for improving forecast accuracy.

3.1. Introduction to Machine Learning Techniques: SVR, Random Forest, XGBoost

SVR, Random Forest, and XGBoost have gained widespread adoption in stock index modeling for their ability to handle complex, nonlinear, and high-dimensional data. SVR is effective in volatile environments due to its robustness in regression tasks, while Random Forest enhances stability and reduces overfitting through ensemble learning [10]. XGBoost, built on a gradient boosting framework, consistently delivers strong forecasting performance [11]. Comparative studies highlight the competitive edge of these models over classical approaches, reflecting a broader shift toward data-driven methodologies in financial prediction [12].

3.2. Advantages of Machine Learning in Time Series Data Modeling

Machine learning provides distinct advantages in time series analysis, especially for stock index forecasting. Models like Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks can capture nonlinear relationships and long-term dependencies that traditional models such as ARIMA often miss [13]. Ensemble techniques further improve robustness and mitigate overfitting risks [14]. Feature engineering tools, such as Variational Mode Decomposition, allow for deeper data decomposition and improved interpretability [15]. These capabilities make machine learning models better suited to adapt to market dynamics and enhance investor decision-making.

3.3. Challenges Faced: Feature Selection and Interpretability Issues

Despite their predictive strengths, machine learning models face significant challenges in feature selection and interpretability. Deep learning models using attention mechanisms can model complex relationships, but often operate as "black boxes" lacking transparency [16]. Tools like SHAP help clarify feature importance and increase model trustworthiness. Yet, including too many variables can introduce noise and obscure key signals. Balancing performance with interpretability remains a critical concern, requiring innovative frameworks capable of handling high-dimensional data while remaining transparent to end-users [17].

3.4. Performance Comparison of Machine Learning Models with Traditional Methods

Comparative research shows that machine learning models outperform traditional econometric models in accuracy and responsiveness, especially under volatile market conditions. While ARIMA and GARCH remain useful for linear trend modeling, they often fall short of capturing the nonlinear dynamics of stock movements. In contrast, models like LSTM and hybrid structures that integrate neural networks with econometrics demonstrate superior predictive power. Advanced models like Stock former leverage attention mechanisms to adapt across diverse market scenarios, reflecting the growing need to integrate modern machine learning with traditional insights [18].

4. Deep Learning and Attention Mechanisms

As financial markets evolve, the integration of deep learning and attention mechanisms has become increasingly essential in stock index forecasting. These methods effectively process large-scale, complex datasets—often incorporating unstructured data such as textual sentiment—to enhance predictive accuracy. Studies show that attention mechanisms help models focus on the most relevant elements of time series data, enabling a more refined understanding of market fluctuations. The dynamic nature of crises like the COVID-19 pandemic highlights the need for adaptable algorithms. While conventional techniques still offer foundational insights, deep learning models excel at modeling nonlinear structures and managing large volumes of data. This transition toward sophisticated predictive frameworks enhances market analysis and supports more informed investment strategies.

4.1. Overview of Deep Learning Techniques: LSTM and Attention Mechanisms

The combination of Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks and attention mechanisms has become a major breakthrough in stock index forecasting. LSTMs are especially effective in capturing temporal dependencies and nonlinear patterns in financial data, outperforming traditional econometric models. Attention mechanisms further improve model interpretability by enabling selective focus on key input segments [19]. Additionally, hybrid architectures that combine Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN) with LSTM have proven effective in extracting meaningful features from multi-dimensional data [20]. Together, these methods address data sparsity challenges and deliver improved forecasting performance under volatile conditions [21, 22].

4.2. Case Studies Utilizing Transformer-based Prediction Models

Recent advances in stock index prediction highlight the potential of Transformer-based models to capture complex market dynamics. A seminal study demonstrated that the Transformer architecture, through its multi-head attention mechanism, outperforms traditional models like LSTM and Prophet in modeling financial time series. Furthermore, integrating Transformer models with ensemble learning techniques has significantly improved accuracy by overcoming the limitations of standalone models [23]. Emerging applications such as document-level event extraction further enhance the relevance of Transformers in financial analytics. These innovations underscore the transformative potential of Transformer-based methods in delivering more robust and accurate forecasts.

4.3. Limitations of Deep Learning Approaches: High Training Costs and Data Requirements

Despite their accuracy, deep learning models pose challenges due to high training costs and strong data dependencies. Models such as LSTM and CNN require substantial computational resources, which can be financially burdensome [24]. Their effectiveness also hinges on the availability of large, high-quality datasets, limiting use in data-sparse environments. Moreover, studies reveal inconsistent generalization across different financial instruments and over time [25]. The opaque nature of these models further hinders interpretability, complicating their adoption in real-world financial decision-making [26].

4.4. Evaluation of Model Performance and Interpretability in Deep Learning

As financial markets grow increasingly complex, forecasting models must deliver both strong performance and interpretability. The Recurrent Neural Filter (RNF), for instance, improves forecast accuracy and supports uncertainty quantification—crucial for decision-making [27]. Simultaneously, explainable AI (XAI) aims to address the opacity of deep learning models by enhancing transparency [28]. Frameworks like FinBERT-XRC offer a multi-level interpretation of predictions, promoting accountability in financial analytics [29]. Additionally, tools such as SHAP enable granular analysis of feature contributions, fostering a better understanding of model outputs. Balancing performance with interpretability is thus essential for advancing the real-world applicability of deep learning in finance.

5. Conclusion

Stock index prediction is the art of traditional econometric models, machine learning algorithms, statistical methods and innovative deep learning architectures playing together. Conventional methods such as ARIMA and GARCH have solid theoretical underpinnings but are not usually able to cope with the non-linear and changing character of financial markets. Machine learning approaches revoke such strict assumptions and provide better capabilities in modeling complex patterns, but they struggle in selecting features and explaining models. At the same time, deep learning models, in particular LSTM networks and transformer-based architectures, exhibit state-of-the-art prediction performance by extracting long-term dependencies, and complex whims of the market. Nevertheless, they are practically challenging as they depend on large datasets and heavy computational resources.

Hybrid approaches appear to be a promising solution that combines the advantages of various methods for improving forecasting accuracy. Despite these developments, important fundamental limitations remain, such as the high quantum of response noise typically present in financial data, the inherent opacity of “black-box” algorithms, and the ethics of data privacy and algorithmic bias. Solving these problems is a task for the financial industry, computer science and ethicists. Future work deserves to put more resources into the interpretable model, efficient learning algorithm, and the immune system of deploying AI ethically. Further, the investigation of new hybrid architectures and alternative data (e.g., sentiment analysis, and macroeconomic indicators) is expected to enhance forecast performance.

Finally, while new methods are constantly developed in academic literature, the advancement of stock index prediction ultimately depends on a trade-off between methodological development and applicability in practice. Through interdisciplinary collaboration and ongoing improvement of predictive models, that is what researchers and practitioners can achieve: the opportunity for improved, transparent and responsible financial forecasting. The quest for reliable and accurate stock market predictions is far from over, but the combination of emerging technologies with ethical principles is setting a much stronger foundation for the future.

References

[1] Molina Muñoz, J. E. Four essays on quantitative economics applications to volatility analysis in Emerging Markets and renewable energy projects, 2023.

[2] Rodikov, G. Machine Learning Applications in Empirical Finance: Volatility Modeling and Forecasting, 2024.

[3] Algaba, A., Ardia, D., Bluteau, K., Borms, S., & Boudt, K. Econometrics meets sentiment: An overview of methodology and applications. Journal of Economic Surveys, 34 (3), 512 - 547, 2020.

[4] Ntakaris, A., Mirone, G., Kanniainen, J., Gabbouj, M., & Iosifidis, A. Feature engineering for mid-price prediction with deep learning. IEEE Access, 7, 82390 - 82412, 2019.

[5] Hoang, D., & Wiegratz, K. Machine learning methods in finance: Recent applications and prospects. European Financial Management, 29 (5), 1657 - 1701, 2023.

[6] Pratas, T. E., Ramos, F. R., & Rubio, L. Forecasting bitcoin volatility: exploring the potential of deep learning. Eurasian Economic Review, 13 (2), 285 - 305, 2023.

[7] Sahiner, M., McMillan, D. G., & Kambouroudis, D. Do artificial neural networks provide improved volatility forecasts: Evidence from Asian markets. Journal of Economics and Finance, 47 (3), 723 - 762, 2023.

[8] Nguyen, H. S. Financial time series forecasting with long short-term memory (LSTM): A comparative experiment between deep learning and econometrics, 2024.

[9] Suárez-Cetrulo, A. L., Quintana, D., & Cervantes, A. Machine learning for financial prediction under regime change using technical analysis: A systematic review, 2023.

[10] Alhomadi, A. Forecasting stock market prices: a machine learning approach, 2021.

[11] Hagan, J., & Henriksen, R. T. News Sentiment in Volatility predictions: Exploring the effect of news sentiment on stock volatility using machine learning regression models. (Master's thesis), 2022.

[12] Rico Bayu Wiranata, R. B. W., & Arif Djunaidy, A. D. The stock exchange prediction using machine learning techniques: a comprehensive and systematic literature review. Jurnal Ilmu Komputer dan Informasi, 14 (2), 91 - 112, 2021.

[13] D. H. Z. J. Enhanced LFTSformer: A Novel Long-Term Financial Time Series Prediction Model Using Advanced Feature Engineering and the DS Encoder Informer Architecture, 2024.

[14] Mozaffari, L. Stock Market Time Series Forecasting using Transformer Models (Master's thesis, Oslo Metropolitan University), 2024.

[15] Chandrasekaran, R., & Paramasivan, S. K. A State-of-the-Art Review of Time Series Forecasting Using Deep Learning Approaches. International Journal on Recent and Innovation Trends in Computing and Communication, 10 (12), 92 - 105, 2022.

[16] S. M. A Hierarchical Decision Model for Evaluating the Strategy Readiness of Quantitative Machine Learning/Data Science-Driven Investment Strategies. PDXScholar, 2024.

[17] Su, L., Zuo, X., Li, R., Wang, X., Zhao, H., & Huang, B. A systematic review for transformer-based long-term series forecasting. Artificial Intelligence Review, 58 (3), 80, 2025.

[18] Ma, B., Xue, Y., Lu, Y., & Chen, J. Stockformer: A price-volume factor stock selection model based on wavelet transform and multi-task self-attention networks. Expert Systems with Applications, 126803, 2025.

[19] White, E. Effectiveness of CNN-LSTM models used for Apple stock forecasting, 2024.

[20] GIWA, Y. A. Over the counter stocks data acquisition & analysis with time series prediction, 2020.

[21] Zhang, Z., Zohren, S., & Roberts, S. Deeplob: Deep convolutional neural networks for limit order books. IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, 67 (11), 3001 - 3012, 2019.

[22] Pham, H. V., Phu, L. H., Duy, L. N., Bao, P. T., & Trinh, T. D. An improved convolutional recurrent neural network for stock price forecasting. IAES International Journal of Artificial Intelligence, 13 (3), 3381 – 3394, 2024.

[23] Mozaffari, L. Stock Market Time Series Forecasting using Transformer Models (Master's thesis, Oslo Metropolitan University), 2024.

[24] Pham, L. Stocks portfolio optimization based on forecasting through the integration of the MVO method and LSTM model, 2023.

[25] El Majzoub, A., Rabhi, F. A., & Hussain, W. Evaluating interpretable machine learning predictions for cryptocurrencies. Intelligent Systems in Accounting, Finance and Management, 30 (3), 137 - 149, 2023.

[26] Shobayo, O., Adeyemi-Longe, S., Popoola, O., & Ogunleye, B. Innovative Sentiment Analysis and Prediction of Stock Price Using FinBERT, GPT-4 and Logistic Regression: A Data-Driven Approach. Big Data and Cognitive Computing, 8 (11), 143, 2024.

[27] Lim, B., Zohren, S., & Roberts, S. Recurrent neural filters: Learning independent Bayesian filtering steps for time series prediction. In 2020 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN) (pp. 1-8), IEEE, 2020.

[28] Arsenault, P. D. Étude de l'intelligence artificielle explicable appliquée à la prédiction de séries temporelles financières, 2024.

[29] Tan, X. W., & Kok, S. Explainable risk classification in financial reports. arXiv preprint arXiv: 2405.01881, 2024.