

Study on the Development and Influencing Factors of Psychological Empowerment

Shuai Yang^a, Eunjoo Oh^{*}

Department of Education, Kyungil University, Gyeongsan 38428, Republic of Korea

^{*}Corresponding author email: eoh1@kiu.ac.kr, ayangsuEdu@outlook.com

ABSTRACT

Psychological empowerment, as a core concept in contemporary management, has garnered significant attention in recent years within the fields of organizational behavior and human resource management. By enhancing employees' autonomy, competence, meaning, and impact, psychological empowerment effectively stimulates intrinsic motivation, thereby improving job satisfaction and organizational effectiveness. This study aims to systematically review the evolution of the concept of psychological empowerment, including its dimensions and measurement methods. It examines key antecedents affecting psychological empowerment, such as individual characteristics (e.g., personality traits, self-efficacy), job characteristics (e.g., task variety, job significance), and organizational features (e.g., organizational climate, empowerment culture), as well as outcomes like job satisfaction, job performance, and organizational commitment. Based on these findings, potential future research directions are proposed, providing valuable insights for the further development and practical application of psychological empowerment theory.

KEYWORDS

Psychological Empowerment; Organizational Management; Autonomy.

1. INTRODUCTION

In today's rapidly changing and highly competitive global business environment, organizational success increasingly depends on employee motivation, creativity, and job satisfaction. Traditional management models often emphasize control and command, neglecting employees' initiative and intrinsic motivation, which can limit an organization's capacity for innovation and adaptability. As management theories have evolved, psychological empowerment has emerged as a key concept that has garnered increasing attention. Psychological empowerment emphasizes enhancing employees' autonomy, competence, impact, and sense of meaning to stimulate intrinsic motivation, thereby improving job performance and organizational effectiveness [1].

The roots of psychological empowerment theory can be traced back to the 1970s and 1980s, when scholars began to recognize that empowerment was not merely the delegation of resources and authority but also had a profound impact on employees' psychological states. Kanter [2] first introduced the concept of empowerment, emphasizing that by providing employees with more resources and information, they could play a greater role within organizations. Subsequently, Conger and Kanungo [3] further developed the concept, highlighting that empowerment involves not only the distribution of external resources but also the enhancement of employees' self-efficacy. Thomas and Velthouse [4] proposed a cognitive model of empowerment, identifying four core dimensions of psychological empowerment: impact, competence, meaning, and choice. Building on these theoretical frameworks, Spreitzer [1] formally introduced the concept of psychological empowerment

and developed a psychological empowerment scale, laying the foundation for subsequent empirical research.

Although psychological empowerment has been widely studied and shown to significantly improve job satisfaction, job performance, and organizational commitment, its antecedents and mechanisms still require further exploration. Research by Seibert, Silver [5] suggests that psychological empowerment is not only an individual-level phenomenon but also extends to the team and organizational levels, influencing overall performance and employee job satisfaction. This indicates that the emergence of psychological empowerment depends not only on individual characteristics, such as personality traits and self-efficacy, but also on organizational context, leadership style, and job characteristics. Thus, this study aims to systematically review the concept, dimensions, measurement, influencing factors, and potential future research directions of psychological empowerment. Through this in-depth analysis, the research provides valuable insights that contribute to both the theoretical expansion and the practical application of psychological empowerment.

2. A REVIEW OF PSYCHOLOGICAL EMPOWERMENT

2.1. Conceptual Development, Dimension and Measurement of Psychological Empowerment

Psychological Empowerment, as a concept emerging from the evolution of empowerment theory, reflects a significant shift in management research from focusing on the delegation of material power to emphasizing employees' psychological experiences. In his pioneering work, Kanter [2] systematically explored the concept of empowerment and promoted its widespread application in organizational management. Kanter, from a social structural perspective, proposed that empowerment involves providing employees with more resources, information, and support within organizations, enabling them to respond more flexibly to environmental changes, rather than merely executing top-down directives. This perspective laid the theoretical foundation for empowerment research and encouraged scholars to recognize the importance of empowerment in organizational management.

As empowerment theory continued to develop, researchers gradually realized that empowerment is not merely an organizational-level management phenomenon but rather a complex, multi-level process involving individuals, organizations, and communities. Rappaport [6] further expanded the empowerment theory, suggesting that empowerment is a multi-level process encompassing the individual, organizational, and community levels. In 1987, he linked empowerment with preventive psychology, proposing a more comprehensive community psychology framework [7]. Swift and Levin [8] regarded empowerment as a new psychological health technique, highlighting its crucial role in the prevention and intervention of mental health issues. Wallerstein and Bernstein [9] further explored the relationship between empowerment and health, suggesting that community empowerment and participatory education have positive impacts on health outcomes.

As research progressed, the focus of empowerment studies gradually shifted from structural empowerment to psychological empowerment. Conger and Kanungo [3] played a crucial role in the development of psychological empowerment theory by challenging the limitations of traditional empowerment theories and, for the first time, emphasizing the psychological dimensions of the empowerment process. They argued that merely focusing on the distribution of material power is insufficient to stimulate employees' intrinsic motivation. Instead, they defined empowerment as a process of enhancing employees' self-efficacy to motivate them, emphasizing the importance of intrinsic motivation. Self-efficacy, which refers to an individual's belief in their ability to complete tasks [10], is considered a core dimension of psychological empowerment. This perspective broke away from traditional management models by closely linking employees' subjective experiences with their work motivation, providing a new lens for studying psychological empowerment.

Thomas and Velthouse [4] further deepened the theoretical foundation of psychological empowerment by proposing a four-dimensional cognitive model, which not only laid the groundwork for the theory but also provided a framework for subsequent empirical research. The four core dimensions—Impact, Competence, Meaning, and Choice—collectively shape employees' subjective perception of empowerment, directly influencing their work motivation and behavior. Although this model has yet to undergo extensive empirical validation, it has provided crucial theoretical support for psychological empowerment research and guided the development of subsequent studies.

Building on Thomas and Velthouse [4] cognitive model, Spreitzer [11] extended the concept of psychological empowerment by proposing her own four-dimensional model, comprising Meaning, Competence, Self-Determination, and Impact. She posited that these dimensions work synergistically to enhance employees' intrinsic motivation, thereby improving their job performance. On this basis, Spreitzer [1] formally introduced the concept of Psychological Empowerment and developed and validated a scale to measure it. This scale consists of 12 items, with three items for each dimension, using a 7-point Likert scale ranging from "1 = strongly disagree" to "7 = strongly agree." In the industrial sample, the Cronbach's alpha reliability coefficient for the psychological empowerment structure was 0.72, while in the insurance sample, it was 0.62. Spreitzer's research provided significant empirical support for the measurement of psychological empowerment. The scale she developed has become one of the most widely used tools for measuring psychological empowerment and has played a critical role in advancing psychological empowerment theory, significantly driving research progress in this field.

Around the same time, Zimmerman, Israel [12] proposed a more comprehensive framework for psychological empowerment, dividing it into three main components: intrapersonal, interactional, and behavioral. The intrapersonal component involves an individual's self-perception, including their perception of control, perceived competence, and self-efficacy. The interactional component focuses on the relationships and interactions between individuals and other members within the organization. The behavioral component pertains to an individual's actual behavior in the workplace [12].

As psychological empowerment research further evolved, Menon [13] redefined the concept of psychological empowerment from an employee cognitive perspective and, synthesizing previous research findings, proposed an integrated model of psychological empowerment consisting of three key dimensions: perceived control, perceived competence, and goal internalization. Menon viewed psychological empowerment as a cognitive state, emphasizing that it is not limited to an individual's perception of control over their work environment but also involves the internalization of organizational goals, wherein employees view organizational goals as their own. Menon [13] developed a psychological empowerment scale with three dimensions, each containing three items, totaling nine items. The reliability of this scale was empirically tested, with Cronbach's alpha coefficients for the three subscales being: perceived control ($\alpha = .83$), perceived competence ($\alpha = .80$), and goal internalization ($\alpha = .88$), demonstrating high internal consistency.

2.2. The Distinction between Empowerment and Psychological Empowerment

Psychological Empowerment is a key concept that emerged as empowerment theory evolved. Early research primarily focused on the organizational management level, particularly on how decision-making power could be decentralized from upper management to lower-level employees, and how increasing their access to information and resources could enhance overall organizational effectiveness [14]. This management strategy is typically referred to as empowerment or Structural Empowerment, which emphasizes the external distribution of power. Unlike Structural Empowerment, Psychological Empowerment places greater emphasis on employees' subjective experiences and intrinsic motivation, focusing on how they perceive their own competence, the meaning of their work, their impact, and their autonomy in the workplace [1], as well as how these psychological perceptions translate into positive work behaviors and attitudes. In other words,

Psychological Empowerment is not just about the actual distribution of power but also about how employees perceive their role within the organization and how this perception influences their work behavior and attitudes. This shift underscores the importance of future management research and practice to place greater emphasis on psychological empowerment, aiming to achieve higher organizational effectiveness and employee satisfaction.

3. PSYCHOLOGICAL EMPOWERMENT INFLUENCING FACTORS

3.1. Antecedents

The antecedents of psychological empowerment mainly include individual characteristics, job characteristics, and organizational characteristics.

3.1.1. Individual Characteristics

Individual characteristics significantly influence psychological empowerment and can be categorized into demographic variables and personality traits.

Demographic Variables: Age, educational level, and years of service are demographic variables that have been shown to significantly impact psychological empowerment. Spreitzer [15] found that as age increases, educational level rises, and years of service accumulate, employees' levels of psychological empowerment tend to increase. This may be because these variables enhance individuals' experience, skills, and confidence, thereby improving their sense of control and meaning in their work environment.

Personality Traits: Conger and Kanungo [3] explored the critical psychological mechanisms in the empowerment process in their theoretical research, emphasizing the central role of self-efficacy. They argued that empowerment is not merely a behavioral process where managers delegate power to employees but also a process that enhances employees' self-efficacy. By boosting self-efficacy, employees are more willing to accept new responsibilities and challenges, perceive greater autonomy and control, and thus develop a stronger sense of psychological empowerment.

Spreitzer [1] further identified locus of control and self-esteem as crucial personality traits influencing employees' perceptions of psychological empowerment. Specifically, individuals with an internal locus of control are inclined to believe that they can influence work outcomes through their efforts and decisions, making them more likely to experience psychological empowerment. In contrast, those with an external locus of control tend to perceive that external factors or others control their work outcomes, leading to a more passive perception of psychological empowerment. Additionally, self-esteem, as a general evaluation of an individual's self-worth, plays a critical role in the perception of psychological empowerment. Individuals with high self-esteem are more likely to recognize their abilities and the value of their work, which encourages them to engage more actively in decision-making and actions when faced with complex or challenging tasks [16]. Spreitzer [1] research further suggested that locus of control and self-esteem not only directly impact psychological empowerment perception but also interact with other organizational factors, such as organizational culture and leadership style, to either enhance or diminish this perception.

3.1.2. Job Characteristics

Job characteristics play a crucial role in influencing employees' perceptions of psychological empowerment. The cognitive model of psychological empowerment proposed by Thomas and Velthouse [4] provides a significant theoretical foundation for understanding this process. The model emphasizes that the design of work tasks and employees' perceptions of these tasks significantly impact their intrinsic motivation and psychological empowerment. Building on this theoretical framework, Spreitzer [1] conducted empirical research that further validated the influence of job characteristics on psychological empowerment, particularly regarding task variety and significance.

Her study found that rich and meaningful work tasks significantly enhance employees' perceptions of psychological empowerment, likely because these task characteristics increase employees' sense of autonomy and control over their work.

Liden, Wayne [17] expanded the understanding of psychological empowerment through a study involving 337 employees and their direct supervisors. The research revealed that the "meaning" and "competence" dimensions of psychological empowerment play a crucial mediating role between job characteristics and job satisfaction. Specifically, when employees perceive their tasks as meaningful and themselves as competent, they are more likely to experience job satisfaction. Additionally, the "meaning" dimension also mediates the relationship between job characteristics and organizational commitment, indicating that employees' perceptions of task meaning can strengthen their commitment to the organization.

3.1.3. Organizational Characteristics

Spreitzer [15] found that organizational characteristics such as role ambiguity, span of control, sociopolitical support, access to information, and a participative work unit climate are significantly related to employees' perceptions of psychological empowerment. These characteristics enhance employees' sense of control and support within the work environment, thereby increasing their levels of psychological empowerment. Seibert, Silver [5] highlighted that an empowerment culture at the organizational level is a critical environmental factor that supports individual and team psychological empowerment. This culture, through institutionalized support and incentive mechanisms, ensures that psychological empowerment extends beyond the individual level to permeate the entire organization, fostering an environment conducive to innovation, active participation, and high performance. Future research could further explore how to optimize organizational characteristics to maximize psychological empowerment and, in turn, improve organizational effectiveness.

4. OUTCOMES OF PSYCHOLOGICAL EMPOWERMENT

4.1. Work Attitude Variables

4.1.1. Job Satisfaction

Psychological empowerment has a significant positive impact on job satisfaction. Li, Shi [18], through a systematic review and meta-analysis, confirmed a significant positive correlation between psychological empowerment and job satisfaction. Mathew and Nair [19], in their meta-analysis, further demonstrated that the positive relationship between psychological empowerment and job satisfaction is strongly supported by statistical evidence, highlighting the important role of psychological empowerment in enhancing employees' job satisfaction. Additionally, Tetik [20] found that psychological empowerment not only increased tour guides' job satisfaction but also positively impacted their job performance. Fock, Chiang [21] indicated that collectivist orientation significantly moderates the relationship between psychological empowerment and job satisfaction. In collectivist cultures, employees are more likely to link personal success with the achievement of collective goals. Therefore, when employees feel psychologically empowered, especially when they can contribute to the team and organization, their job satisfaction significantly increases.

4.1.2. Organizational Commitment

Psychological empowerment is widely recognized in organizational behavior as a key psychological state that not only influences employees' job performance but also significantly affects their loyalty and emotional attachment to the organization, known as organizational commitment. Numerous empirical studies have supported the positive relationship between psychological empowerment and organizational commitment. Joo and Shim [22] found a significant positive correlation between psychological empowerment and organizational commitment, with this relationship being more pronounced under the moderating effect of an organizational learning culture. Choong, Wong [23]

demonstrated that psychological empowerment significantly enhances employees' organizational commitment by increasing their autonomy and identification with organizational goals. This process indicates that when employees feel they have more control and influence within the organization, they are more likely to maintain a strong emotional bond with the organization, thereby strengthening their loyalty and attachment. Najafi, Noruzy [24] further validated this relationship in their empirical study. By analyzing the relationships between organizational justice, psychological empowerment, job satisfaction, organizational commitment, and organizational citizenship behavior, they found that psychological empowerment not only directly influences organizational commitment but also indirectly enhances it by increasing job satisfaction. Nikpour [25] extended research in this area by finding that job satisfaction and organizational commitment mediate the relationship between psychological empowerment and organizational innovation.

4.1.3. Professional Identity

Psychological empowerment, as a critical organizational behavior variable, plays an important role in the formation and development of professional identity. Research has shown that psychological empowerment has a significant positive impact on professional identity. Ding and Xie [26] confirmed the positive influence of psychological empowerment on professional identity. Their study found that psychological empowerment enhances teachers' sense of professional identity, significantly reducing their job burnout. This suggests that psychological empowerment not only improves teachers' work experiences but also strengthens their professional identity, helping them better cope with work-related stress and challenges. Sun, Zhu [27] demonstrated that psychological empowerment serves as a multiple mediator between professional identity and job satisfaction. When teachers feel psychologically empowered, they are more likely to identify with their professional roles, thereby increasing their overall job satisfaction.

4.2. Work Environment Variables

4.2.1. Work Stress

Psychological empowerment, as an important concept in organizational behavior, has shown a significant positive impact on alleviating work stress. Chung and Kowalski [28] studied the relationships among work stress, mentoring, psychological empowerment, and job satisfaction among nursing faculty members, finding that psychological empowerment can effectively reduce perceived work stress by enhancing employees' autonomy and sense of control. This indicates that when employees feel a greater sense of control in their work, their perceived stress in facing tasks is significantly reduced, thereby lessening the psychological burden caused by uncertainty and lack of support.

Tripathi and Bharadwaja [29] further explored the relationship between psychological empowerment and work stress, with particular focus on the moderating roles of personality traits and power distance. Their research revealed that psychological empowerment helps employees more effectively cope with work stress by enhancing their intrinsic motivation. However, the study also found that the stress-relieving effects of psychological empowerment vary depending on employees' personality traits and power distance, suggesting that management practices should take individual differences into account when considering the impact of psychological empowerment.

4.2.2. Work Resources

Quiñones, Van den Broeck [30], through an empirical study of Chilean civil servants, explored the mediating role of psychological empowerment between work resources and work engagement. The study found that work resources, such as task autonomy, skill utilization, supervisor support, and coworker support, significantly enhance employees' perceptions of psychological empowerment, which in turn further increases their work engagement. These findings suggest that abundant work

resources not only directly influence employees' work performance but also promote their work motivation and engagement by enhancing their perceptions of psychological empowerment.

4.3. Work Behavior Variables

4.3.1. Work Engagement

Research indicates that psychological empowerment has a significant positive impact on enhancing employee work engagement. The study by Jose and Mampilly [31] pointed out that psychological empowerment is a strong predictor of employees' emotional and behavioral engagement, consistent with the intrinsic motivation activation mechanism in self-determination theory. Abdulrab, Zumrah [32] further elucidated how psychological empowerment, as a motivational factor, increases employees' work engagement by developing a conceptual framework. Psychological empowerment enhances employees' work engagement by granting them more decision-making power and a sense of control, which in turn makes them feel a greater sense of meaning and responsibility in their work. Nwachukwu, Vu [33] found a significant positive correlation between psychological empowerment and work engagement, with this relationship being mediated and moderated by factors such as job satisfaction and religious beliefs. This suggests that psychological empowerment not only directly influences work engagement but also indirectly strengthens employees' engagement through these factors. Joo, Lim [34] explored the relationship between psychological empowerment and work engagement, finding that psychological empowerment not only directly enhances employees' work engagement but also further strengthens this positive relationship through mediators such as psychological capital and authentic leadership. These findings are consistent with previous research, further emphasizing the positive role of psychological empowerment in enhancing work engagement across various environments and conditions.

4.3.2. Organizational Citizenship Behavior

Psychological empowerment plays a key role in enhancing organizational citizenship behavior (OCB). Studies have shown that when employees feel psychologically empowered, they are more likely to exhibit behaviors that go beyond job requirements, which not only positively impacts organizational performance but also enhances internal cooperation and trust. Lin [35], in a study of the life insurance industry, found a significant positive correlation between psychological empowerment and organizational citizenship behavior. The research indicated that psychological empowerment not only enhances employees' job satisfaction but also motivates them to engage in behaviors that are beneficial to the organization. Singh and Singh [36], in the context of the circular economy, examined the interaction between organizational justice, psychological empowerment, and organizational citizenship behavior. Their study found that psychological empowerment significantly promotes the development of organizational citizenship behavior, especially when employees perceive fairness within the organization. This suggests that when employees feel empowered and treated fairly, they are more willing to take on extra-role behaviors that benefit the organization. Additionally, Jha [37] explored the role of transformational leadership and psychological empowerment in promoting organizational citizenship behavior. He found that transformational leadership significantly enhances employees' psychological empowerment by granting them more autonomy and decision-making power, which in turn fosters the display of organizational citizenship behavior. This finding highlights the combined effect of leadership style and psychological empowerment on employee behavior, ultimately enhancing their contributions to the organization.

4.3.3. Organizational Culture Variables

Naderi and Safarzadeh [38] study delved into the significant impact of organizational justice, organizational health, and organizational climate on psychological empowerment. The research indicates that organizational justice enhances employees' trust in the organization, making them feel respected and valued, thereby improving their perception of psychological empowerment. Organizational health, by providing supportive resources, clear goals, and effective communication

channels, enhances employees' sense of competence and autonomy, which in turn strengthens their psychological empowerment. Employees who are highly engaged in their work are more likely to perceive the meaning and value in their tasks, further enhancing their sense of psychological empowerment. Additionally, a positive organizational climate can increase employees' self-efficacy and decision-making autonomy, leading them to feel more empowered.

4.4. Organizational Effectiveness Variables

4.4.1. Job Performance

Research indicates that psychological empowerment has a significant positive impact on employees' job performance. Kazlauskaite, Buciniene [39] explored the impact of psychological empowerment on job performance within the context of human resource management practices. Their study demonstrated that, as part of human resource management, psychological empowerment effectively enhances employees' job performance, particularly in terms of increasing their autonomy and sense of responsibility. Afzali, Motahari [40] conducted an empirical study that confirmed the positive impact of psychological empowerment on job performance. They found that perceived organizational support and psychological empowerment directly promote employees' job performance. Moreover, organizational learning, as a key moderating factor, further strengthens the positive relationship between psychological empowerment and job performance. This suggests that a supportive organizational environment and continuous learning opportunities can enhance the effectiveness of psychological empowerment, thereby improving job performance.

Sun [41] found a significant positive correlation between psychological empowerment and job performance, with this relationship being mediated by job satisfaction. When employees feel empowered with more autonomy and decision-making power, their job satisfaction increases significantly, and this positive emotional state further enhances their job performance. Ochoa Pacheco, Coello-Montecel [42] expanded on this area of research by examining the sequential mediation effects of self-efficacy and affective commitment. They found that psychological empowerment not only directly influences job performance but also indirectly promotes it by enhancing employees' self-efficacy and affective commitment. This finding is consistent with previous research, further emphasizing the role of psychological empowerment in boosting employee motivation and organizational commitment. Phairat and Potipiroon [43] examined the impact of high-performance work systems and innovative work behavior on the psychological empowerment of telecommunications employees and highlighted the significant role of organizational climate in this process.

4.4.2. Innovative Capability and Behavior

Ertürk [44] found that psychological empowerment is closely related to employees' innovative capabilities, with supervisory trust playing a key moderating role in this relationship. This finding suggests that when employees trust their supervisors and feel empowered, their innovative capabilities are significantly enhanced. Knol and Van Linge [45] demonstrated that both structural and psychological empowerment are statistically significant predictors of innovative behavior. Informal power and influence are among the most relevant determinants of innovative behavior, with the latter being particularly important. Helmy, Adawiyah [46] investigated the relationships between psychological empowerment, knowledge sharing, and employee innovative behavior, particularly in small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs). Their study revealed that psychological empowerment plays a crucial role in stimulating employee innovative behavior, with knowledge sharing acting as an important mediator in this process.

4.4.3. Social Support Variables

The study by Türe and Akkoç [47] indicates that organizational support and social support play a crucial role in enhancing the impact of psychological empowerment on job satisfaction. When

employees perceive support from the organization and receive sufficient social support, the positive effect of psychological empowerment on job satisfaction becomes more pronounced. The study further suggests that perceived organizational support and social support enhance employees' sense of psychological empowerment, making them feel more autonomous and valued in their work, which in turn significantly increases their job satisfaction. Christens and Lin [48] explored the significant influence of community and organizational participation, social support, and sense of community on psychological empowerment. Their research found that these factors enhance individuals' psychological empowerment by strengthening their social capital. Additionally, the study revealed that income moderates these relationships, indicating that the impact of social support and sense of community on psychological empowerment may vary across different income levels.

4.5. Psychological State Variables

4.5.1. Psychological Contract

Research indicates that psychological empowerment has a positive impact on psychological contracts. Sharma and Garg [49] found in their study of the IT industry that psychological empowerment is a key driver of employee engagement and psychological contracts. Psychological empowerment enhances employees' trust and identification with the organization, which in turn fosters a positive psychological contract. When employees feel that they have greater autonomy and influence in their work, they are more likely to perceive the organization's commitments as reliable, thereby strengthening the stability of the psychological contract. Studies by Abdhy, Kartini [50] and Sandhya and Sulphey [51] further demonstrate that psychological empowerment not only increases employee engagement but also reduces turnover intentions. These studies found that psychological empowerment strengthens the positive aspects of the psychological contract by influencing employees' perceptions of organizational commitment, which in turn reduces their turnover intentions. Sandhya and Sulphey [52] also supports this view, indicating that psychological empowerment not only directly affects employee engagement but also influences voluntary turnover intentions through the mediation of the psychological contract.

4.5.2. Psychological Capital

Choi and Chang [53] found that intrinsic motivation significantly enhances employees' perception of psychological empowerment through the mediation of positive psychological capital, which in turn promotes their creativity. Rezaei, Hoveida [54] showed that teachers' psychological capital significantly affects their perception of psychological empowerment. The various dimensions of psychological capital-self-efficacy, hope, resilience, and optimism-enhance teachers' positive psychological states, which in turn significantly increase their perception of psychological empowerment at work. Anggreni, Riana [55] further demonstrated that psychological empowerment not only directly influences employees' innovative work behaviors but also indirectly promotes innovation by enhancing employees' psychological capital. Psychological empowerment provides employees with autonomy and a sense of control, while psychological capital further strengthens their positive psychological states, jointly enhancing their innovative performance at work.

4.5.3. Job Burnout

Psychological empowerment, as a management strategy that enhances employees' autonomy and sense of control, has been shown to be effective in mitigating job burnout. Schermuly, Schermuly [56] found a significant association between psychological empowerment, job satisfaction, and job burnout among vice principals. The study suggests that by increasing individuals' sense of control over their work, psychological empowerment can effectively reduce burnout caused by feelings of helplessness and lack of autonomy. Livne and Rashkovits [57] explored the relationship between psychological empowerment and job burnout under different job demand conditions. Their study indicates that psychological empowerment has a particularly strong effect in mitigating job burnout in high-demand situations. Safari, Adelpah [58] further supported this finding, showing that

psychological empowerment indirectly reduces job burnout by enhancing employees' organizational commitment and creativity. When employees feel that the organization grants them more autonomy and decision-making power, they are more likely to remain highly engaged in their work, thereby reducing burnout caused by work pressure and repetitive tasks.

4.5.4. Subjective Well-being

Psychological empowerment plays an important role in enhancing employees' subjective well-being. Diener and Biswas-Diener [59] found that psychological empowerment enhances individuals' self-efficacy, autonomy, and sense of control over their lives, all of which are closely related to subjective well-being. Their research indicates that when employees feel they have greater autonomy in both work and life, their overall well-being increases significantly. Abinaya [60] study of employees in an automotive parts manufacturing company further confirmed this relationship, finding that psychological empowerment significantly enhances employees' subjective well-being by increasing their job satisfaction and sense of control over their lives. Pradhan, Hati [61] found that psychological empowerment has a significant impact on employees' well-being, with well-being playing an important mediating role in this process. Psychological empowerment enhances employees' sense of control and meaning at work, thereby increasing their overall well-being.

4.5.5. Psychological Well-being

Psychological empowerment plays a crucial role in promoting employees' psychological well-being. Posa [62] found that psychological empowerment, as an intrinsic motivation mechanism, is closely related to employees' psychological well-being. Ahmed and Malik [63] showed that psychological empowerment significantly enhances teachers' psychological well-being by increasing their autonomy and sense of control. This research suggests that when teachers feel empowered with greater autonomy and decision-making power, their psychological stress at work decreases significantly, leading to improved psychological well-being. Taştan [64] further explored the relationship between psychological empowerment and psychological well-being, emphasizing the important roles of self-efficacy and social support in this process. The study found that psychological empowerment enhances employees' self-efficacy, making them more confident and composed when facing work challenges, thereby reducing psychological stress and improving psychological well-being. Moreover, psychological empowerment also facilitates greater access to social support, providing employees with stronger psychological security. Iqbal, Naveed [65] analyzed the impact of psychological empowerment on psychological well-being from the perspective of leadership behavior. They found that self-sacrificial leadership effectively enhances employees' psychological empowerment by granting them more autonomy and trust, which in turn improves their psychological well-being.

5. THE MEDIATING ROLE OF PSYCHOLOGICAL EMPOWERMENT

Psychological empowerment is widely recognized in organizational behavior research as a critical mediating variable that links leadership behaviors, organizational culture, and employee attitudes and performance. Studies have shown that psychological empowerment plays a key mediating role between leadership behaviors and employee outcomes by enhancing employees' autonomy and sense of competence. Chang, Shih [66] explored the mediating role of psychological empowerment between job satisfaction and organizational commitment among school nurses. Their findings indicated that psychological empowerment enhanced nurses' job satisfaction by increasing their autonomy and sense of control, which in turn fostered greater organizational commitment. This study suggests that in high-stress and complex work environments, psychological empowerment can effectively increase employees' emotional engagement.

Afsar and Badir [67] also revealed the mediating role of psychological empowerment between person-organization fit (P-O Fit) and innovative work behavior. Their study found that when

employees perceive a high level of alignment with organizational values, psychological empowerment enhances their innovative behaviors. This finding supports motivational theories that suggest an increased sense of identification with the organization can stimulate creativity and innovation. Avolio, Zhu [68] examined the impact of transformational leadership on organizational commitment and found that psychological empowerment acted as a mediator in this relationship. Transformational leaders enhanced employees' sense of psychological empowerment by stimulating their intrinsic motivation and autonomy, thereby strengthening their organizational commitment. Similarly, Lan and Chong [69] supported this view by exploring the mediating role of psychological empowerment between transformational leadership and employee work attitudes. Their research demonstrated that transformational leadership increased employees' job satisfaction and work engagement through psychological empowerment, highlighting the bridging role of psychological empowerment between leaders and employees.

Saira, Mansoor [70] further validated the mediating role of psychological empowerment between transformational leadership and employee outcomes. Their findings showed that transformational leadership enhanced employee performance and reduced negative workplace behaviors through psychological empowerment. Stanescu, Zbucnea [71] investigated the mediating role of psychological empowerment between transformational leadership and innovative work behavior. Their study demonstrated that transformational leadership stimulated employees' innovative thinking and behaviors through psychological empowerment, thereby driving organizational innovation. Aggarwal, Chand [72] examined the mediating role of psychological empowerment between leader-member exchange (LMX), work engagement, and psychological withdrawal behaviors. The results indicated that high-quality leader-member exchange relationships enhanced employees' work engagement and reduced psychological withdrawal behaviors through psychological empowerment. This study underscores the importance of high-quality interpersonal relationships in the workplace and highlights the critical role of psychological empowerment in this process.

6. FUTURE DIRECTIONS FOR PSYCHOLOGICAL EMPOWERMENT RESEARCH

As a critical organizational management theory and practical tool, psychological empowerment has garnered substantial attention in both academic and practical fields. However, with the continuous evolution of organizational environments and employee needs, there remain numerous areas within psychological empowerment that warrant further exploration. Future research can delve deeper into theoretical expansion and methodological innovation to advance the development of psychological empowerment theory and enhance its practical effectiveness.

6.1. Theoretical Expansion in Cross-Cultural Contexts

One promising area for future research is the examination of psychological empowerment across different cultural contexts. The effectiveness of psychological empowerment may vary significantly depending on cultural factors, making it essential to integrate psychological empowerment with cultural theories. Exploring the applicability and impact of psychological empowerment in diverse cultural settings will provide valuable theoretical insights for cross-cultural management. In an increasingly globalized world, understanding how different cultures influence psychological empowerment can help organizations develop more effective management strategies tailored to diverse employee needs. For instance, research might explore how individualism versus collectivism affects employees' perceptions of empowerment and its subsequent impact on job performance.

6.2. Methodological Innovations for Dynamic Process Analysis

To gain a deeper understanding of the dynamic process of psychological empowerment and its role in various contexts, future research needs to adopt innovative methodological approaches. Currently, much of the research on psychological empowerment relies on cross-sectional data, which limits the ability to observe the dynamic changes in empowerment perceptions over time. Future studies should employ longitudinal research designs to track changes in employees' perceptions of psychological empowerment and their corresponding work behaviors over an extended period. Longitudinal studies will be instrumental in revealing the long-term effects of psychological empowerment and its role throughout employees' careers. This approach could also uncover the persistence of empowerment effects and how they evolve as employees progress through different career stages and organizational changes.

6.3. Expanding Empirical Research with Mixed Methods

Another avenue for future research is the application of mixed-methods approaches, combining quantitative and qualitative research. Such an approach would provide a more comprehensive understanding of psychological empowerment by capturing both the measurable outcomes and the nuanced, subjective experiences of employees. Mixed-methods research could explore the contextual factors that facilitate or hinder psychological empowerment, offering richer insights into how empowerment processes unfold in real-world settings. By integrating survey data with in-depth interviews or case studies, researchers could identify patterns and underlying mechanisms that are not easily observable through quantitative methods alone. By pursuing these future research directions, scholars can contribute to a more nuanced and contextually grounded understanding of psychological empowerment, ultimately enhancing its theoretical rigor and practical applicability in diverse organizational settings.

REFERENCES

- [1] Spreitzer, G.M., Psychological empowerment in the workplace: Dimensions, measurement, and validation. *Academy of management Journal*, 1995. 38(5): 1442-1465.
- [2] Kanter, R.M., Numbers: Minorities and majorities. *Men and women of the corporation*, 1977: 206-242.
- [3] Conger, J.A. and R.N. Kanungo, The empowerment process: Integrating theory and practice. *Academy of management review*, 1988. 13(3): 471-482.
- [4] Thomas, K.W. and B.A. Velthouse, Cognitive elements of empowerment: An "interpretive" model of intrinsic task motivation. *Academy of management review*, 1990. 15(4): 666-681.
- [5] Seibert, S.E., S.R. Silver, and W.A. Randolph, Taking empowerment to the next level: A multiple-level model of empowerment, performance, and satisfaction. *Academy of management Journal*, 2004. 47(3): 332-349.
- [6] Rappaport, J., Studies in empowerment: Introduction to the issue. *Prevention in human services*, 1984. 3(2-3): 1-7.
- [7] Rappaport, J., Terms of empowerment/exemplars of prevention: Toward a theory for community psychology. *American journal of community psychology*, 1987. 15(2): 121-148.
- [8] Swift, C. and G. Levin, Empowerment: An emerging mental health technology. *Journal of primary prevention*, 1987. 8: 71-94.
- [9] Wallerstein, N. and E. Bernstein, Introduction to community empowerment, participatory education, and health. 1994, Sage Publications Sage CA: Thousand Oaks, CA. 141-148.
- [10] Bandura, A., Self-efficacy: toward a unifying theory of behavioral change. *Psychological review*, 1977. 84(2): 191.
- [11] Spreitzer, G.M., When organizations dare: The dynamics of individual empowerment in the workplace. 1992: University of Michigan.
- [12] Zimmerman, M.A., et al., Further explorations in empowerment theory: An empirical analysis of psychological empowerment. *American journal of community psychology*, 1992. 20: 707-727.
- [13] Menon, S.T., Psychological empowerment: Definition, measurement, and validation. *Canadian Journal of Behavioural Science/Revue canadienne des sciences du comportement*, 1999. 31(3): 161.
- [14] Blau, J.R. and R.D. Alba, Empowering nets of participation. *Administrative science quarterly*, 1982: 363-379.

- [15] Spreitzer, G.M., Social structural characteristics of psychological empowerment. *Academy of management journal*, 1996. 39(2): 483-504.
- [16] Pierce, J.L. and D.G. Gardner, Self-esteem within the work and organizational context: A review of the organization-based self-esteem literature. *Journal of management*, 2004. 30(5): 591-622.
- [17] Liden, R.C., S.J. Wayne, and R.T. Sparrowe, An examination of the mediating role of psychological empowerment on the relations between the job, interpersonal relationships, and work outcomes. *Journal of applied psychology*, 2000. 85(3): 407.
- [18] Li, H., et al., Relationship between nurse psychological empowerment and job satisfaction: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of advanced nursing*, 2018. 74(6): 1264-1277.
- [19] Mathew, J. and S. Nair, Psychological empowerment and job satisfaction: A meta-analytic review. *Vision*, 2022. 26(4): 431-440.
- [20] Tetik, N., The effects of psychological empowerment on job satisfaction and job performance of tourist guides. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 2016. 6(2): 221-239.
- [21] Fock, H., et al., The moderating effect of collectivistic orientation in psychological empowerment and job satisfaction relationship. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 2011. 30(2): 319-328.
- [22] Joo, B.-K. and J.H. Shim, Psychological empowerment and organizational commitment: the moderating effect of organizational learning culture. *Human resource development international*, 2010. 13(4): 425-441.
- [23] Choong, Y.-O., K.-L. Wong, and T.-C. Lau, Psychological empowerment and organizational commitment in the Malaysian private higher education institutions: A review and research agenda. *Academic Research International*, 2011. 1(3): 236-245.
- [24] Najafi, S., et al., Investigating the relationship between organizational justice, psychological empowerment, job satisfaction, organizational commitment and organizational citizenship behavior: An empirical model. *African Journal of Business Management*, 2011. 5(13): 5241.
- [25] Nikpour, A., Psychological empowerment and organizational innovation: mediating role of job satisfaction and organizational commitment. *International Journal of Organizational Leadership*, 2018. 7: 106-119.
- [26] Ding, J. and Z. Xie, Psychological empowerment and work burnout among rural teachers: Professional identity as a mediator. *Social Behavior and Personality: an international journal*, 2021. 49(6): 1-9.
- [27] Sun, B., et al., How is professional identity associated with teacher career satisfaction? A cross-sectional design to test the multiple mediating roles of psychological empowerment and work engagement. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 2022. 19(15): 9009.
- [28] Chung, C.E. and S. Kowalski, Job stress, mentoring, psychological empowerment, and job satisfaction among nursing faculty. *Journal of Nursing Education*, 2012. 51(7): 381-388.
- [29] Tripathi, N. and M. Bharadwaja, Psychological empowerment and stress: role of personality and power distance. *Journal of Indian Business Research*, 2019. 11(3): 281-298.
- [30] Quiñones, M., A. Van den Broeck, and H. De Witte, Do job resources affect work engagement via psychological empowerment? A mediation analysis. *Revista de Psicología del Trabajo y de las Organizaciones*, 2013. 29(3): 127-134.
- [31] Jose, G. and S.R. Mampilly, Psychological empowerment as a predictor of employee engagement: An empirical attestation. *Global Business Review*, 2014. 15(1): 93-104.
- [32] Abdulrab, M., et al., The role of psychological empowerment on work engagement: The development of conceptual framework. *International Journal of Business Management and Economic Research*, 2017. 8(6): 1157-1163.
- [33] Nwachukwu, C., et al., Psychological empowerment and employee engagement: role of job satisfaction and religiosity in Nigeria. *Industrial and Commercial Training*, 2022. 54(4): 666-687.
- [34] Joo, B.-K., D.H. Lim, and S. Kim, Enhancing work engagement: The roles of psychological capital, authentic leadership, and work empowerment. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 2016. 37(8): 1117-1134.
- [35] Lin, L.-F., Effects of psychological empowerment on organizational citizenship behavior in life insurance industry. *The International Journal of Organizational Innovation*, 2013. 6(1): 119-127.
- [36] Singh, S.K. and A.P. Singh, Interplay of organizational justice, psychological empowerment, organizational citizenship behavior, and job satisfaction in the context of circular economy. *Management Decision*, 2018. 57(4): 937-952.
- [37] Jha, S., Transformational leadership and psychological empowerment: Determinants of organizational citizenship behavior. *South Asian Journal of Global Business Research*, 2014. 3(1): 18-35.
- [38] Naderi, F. and S. Safarzadeh, The relationship of organizational justice, organizational health, job engagement and organizational climate with psychological empowerment and organizational citizenship behavior. *Knowledge and Research in Applied Psychology*, 2014. 15(2): 56-68.

- [39] Kazlauskaitė, R., I. Buciuniene, and L. Turauskas, Organisational and psychological empowerment in the HRM-performance linkage. *Employee Relations*, 2011. 34(2): 138-158.
- [40] Afzali, A., A.A. Motahari, and L. Hatami-Shirkouhi, Investigating the influence of perceived organizational support, psychological empowerment and organizational learning on job performance: an empirical investigation. *Tehnicki Vjesnik-Technical Gazette*, 2014. 21(3): 623-629.
- [41] Sun, X., Psychological empowerment on job performance-mediating effect of job satisfaction. *Psychology*, 2016. 7(04): 584.
- [42] Ochoa Pacheco, P., D. Coello-Montecel, and M. Tello, Psychological empowerment and job performance: Examining serial mediation effects of self-efficacy and affective commitment. *Administrative Sciences*, 2023. 13(3): 76.
- [43] Phairat, P. and W. Potipiroon, High Performance Work Systems and Innovative Work Behavior Among Telecom Employees: The Roles of Organizational Climate for Innovation and Psychological Empowerment. *ABAC Journal*, 2022. 42(3): 214-231.
- [44] Ertürk, A., Linking psychological empowerment to innovation capability: Investigating the moderating effect of supervisory trust. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, 2012. 3(14).
- [45] Knol, J. and R. Van Linge, Innovative behaviour: The effect of structural and psychological empowerment on nurses. *Journal of advanced nursing*, 2009. 65(2): 359-370.
- [46] Helmy, I., W.R. Adawiyah, and A. Banani, Linking psychological empowerment, knowledge sharing, and employees' innovative behavior in SMEs. *The Journal of Behavioral Science*, 2019. 14(2): 66-79.
- [47] Türe, A. and İ. Akkoç, The mediating role of social support in the effect of perceived organizational support and psychological empowerment on career satisfaction in nurses. *Perspectives in Psychiatric Care*, 2020. 56(4).
- [48] Christens, B.D. and C.S. Lin, Influences of community and organizational participation, social support, and sense of community on psychological empowerment: Income as moderator. *Family and Consumer Sciences Research Journal*, 2014. 42(3): 211-223.
- [49] Sharma, N. and P. Garg, Psychological contract and psychological empowerment as employee engagement drivers in Indian IT sector. *International Journal of Applied Business and Economic Research*, 2017. 15(1).
- [50] Abdhy, A.A., D. Kartini, and J. dan Yunizar, Effect of empowerment and psychological contract on university employee engagement". *International Journal of Economics, Commerce and Management United Kingdom*, 2016. 4(8): 1-13.
- [51] Sandhya, S. and M. Sulphrey, An assessment of contribution of employee engagement, psychological contract and psychological empowerment towards turnover intentions of IT employees. *International Journal of Environment, Workplace and Employment*, 2019. 5(1): 22-31.
- [52] Sandhya, S. and M. Sulphrey, Influence of empowerment, psychological contract and employee engagement on voluntary turnover intentions. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, 2020. 70(2): 325-349.
- [53] Choi, I.-S. and Y.-C. Chang, Mediating effects of intrinsic motivation on the relationship between positive psychological capital, psychological empowerment and creativity. *Journal of the Korea Academia-Industrial Cooperation Society*, 2014. 15(6): 3571-3586.
- [54] Rezaei, M., R. Hoveida, and H. Samavatian, Concept of psychological empowerment and its relationship with psychological capital among teachers. *New Educational Approaches*, 2015. 10(1): 67-82.
- [55] Anggreni, K.A., et al., The effect of psychological empowerment on psychological capital and innovative work behavior. *International Journal of Business Management and Economic Review*, 2022. 5(4): 11-22.
- [56] Schermuly, C.C., R.A. Schermuly, and B. Meyer, Effects of vice-principals' psychological empowerment on job satisfaction and burnout. *International Journal of educational management*, 2011. 25(3): 252-264.
- [57] Livne, Y. and S. Rashkovits, Psychological empowerment and burnout: Different patterns of relationship with three types of job demands. *International Journal of Stress Management*, 2018. 25(1): 96.
- [58] Safari, A., et al., The effect of psychological empowerment on job burnout and competitive advantage: The mediating role of organizational commitment and creativity. *Management Research: Journal of the Iberoamerican Academy of Management*, 2020. 18(1): 47-71.
- [59] Diener, E. and R. Biswas-Diener, Psychological empowerment and subjective well-being. *Measuring empowerment: Cross-disciplinary perspectives*, 2005. 125.
- [60] Abinaya, K., Subjective well-being and psychological empowerment among the employees of an auto component manufacturing company. *Global Journal of Research in Management*, 2014. 4(2): 20.
- [61] Pradhan, R.K., L. Hati, and U. Kumar, Impact of employee wellbeing on psychological empowerment: mediating role of happiness. *International Journal of Manufacturing Technology and Management*, 2017. 31(6): 581-595.

- [62] Posa, J., Predictors of psychological well-being: Perceived organizational support, work engagement, and psychological empowerment. 2019: Capella University.
- [63] Ahmed, N. and B. Malik, Impact of psychological empowerment on job performance of teachers: Mediating role of psychological well-being. *Review of Economics and Development Studies*, 2019. 5(3): 451-460.
- [64] Taştan, S.B., The relationship between psychological empowerment and psychological well being: the role of self-efficacy perception and social support. *Öneri Dergisi*, 2013. 10(40): 139-154.
- [65] Iqbal, K., et al., When self-sacrificial leaders induce employees' citizenship behaviors? Uncovering the nexus of psychological empowerment and psychological well-being. *Sage Open*, 2022. 12(1): 21582440221085257.
- [66] Chang, L.-C., C.-H. Shih, and S.-M. Lin, The mediating role of psychological empowerment on job satisfaction and organizational commitment for school health nurses: A cross-sectional questionnaire survey. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 2010. 47(4): 427-433.
- [67] Afsar, B. and Y. Badir, The mediating role of psychological empowerment on the relationship between person-organization fit and innovative work behaviour. *Journal of Chinese Human Resource Management*, 2016. 7(1): 5-26.
- [68] Avolio, B.J., et al., Transformational leadership and organizational commitment: Mediating role of psychological empowerment and moderating role of structural distance. *Journal of Organizational Behavior: The International Journal of Industrial, Occupational and Organizational Psychology and Behavior*, 2004. 25(8): 951-968.
- [69] Lan, X.M. and W.Y. Chong, The mediating role of psychological empowerment between transformational leadership and employee work attitudes. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 2015. 172: 184-191.
- [70] Saira, S., S. Mansoor, and M. Ali, Transformational leadership and employee outcomes: the mediating role of psychological empowerment. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 2021. 42(1): 130-143.
- [71] Stanescu, D.F., A. Zbucea, and F. Pinzaru, Transformational leadership and innovative work behaviour: the mediating role of psychological empowerment. *Kybernetes*, 2021. 50(5): 1041-1057.
- [72] Aggarwal, A., et al., Leader-member exchange, work engagement, and psychological withdrawal behavior: the mediating role of psychological empowerment. *Frontiers in psychology*, 2020. 11: 423.