

Understanding Chinese Bureaucracy: A Systematic Literature Review

Qiyi Huang

School of Public Administration, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610065, China

ABSTRACT

An in-depth study of Chinese bureaucracy and its characteristics is an inseparable research topic in the field of social science in contemporary China. The historical lineage of Chinese bureaucracy shows a development from monarchical bureaucracy to cadre system. The Chinese bureaucratic organization is regarded as a cadre system with Chinese characteristics, characterized by hierarchy, centralization, political loyalty, meritocracy, and personification; the pressure system, administrative contract system, and project system are its operation mechanisms. China's bureaucracy has been transformed from a revolutionary group to a modern bureaucracy, and has now entered a period of adjustment and improvement. Chinese officials pay attention to moral cultivation and character building, and their selection and appointment emphasizes the principles of both virtue and talent, and puts virtue first. The structure of Chinese officials represents a hierarchical pyramid structure, and relationship is the core concept in understanding the interpersonal network of Chinese officials. The emphasis on informatization, transparency, specialization, and innovation is the focus and trend of future research on Chinese bureaucracy.

KEYWORDS

Chinese Bureaucracy; Chinese Officials; Cadre System; Hierarchy.

1. INTRODUCTION

Since Max Weber formally proposed rational bureaucracy in the early 20th century, bureaucracy has become an inescapable thesis in public administration research. Accordingly, the study of Chinese bureaucracy and its behavior is a research topic that cannot be ignored in the field of contemporary Chinese social science [1]. As scholars say, "to understand China, we must understand the Chinese government; to understand the Chinese government, we must understand the hierarchical organization" [2]. Because the role of the government is "realized through the constantly refined and expanded capacity of hierarchical organization" [3].

There have been many studies on Chinese bureaucracy in China, for example, from the perspective of organization studies, focusing on and analyzing the form and characteristics of Chinese bureaucracy [4], or analyzing the behavior of government officials in bureaucracy [5], or discussing Chinese bureaucracy as a sub-theme of the discussion of "government" in a broader sense, and some scholars have There are also scholars who focus on the operation mechanism of China's hierarchical system and comment on it [6]. However, few researchers have systematically portrayed the Chinese bureaucracy and analyzed the bureaucratic organizations and officials in depth. Therefore, as a country with a long history and a unique political system, China's bureaucracy has distinctive characteristics, and it is of great importance to systematically portray it. The purpose of this paper is to sort out and understand the development history, organizational structure, operation mechanism,

as well as the characteristics, motives and goals of officials in bureaucratic organizations, in order to understand the current status and complete sample of research on Chinese bureaucracy.

2. TYPES OF MODERN CHINESE BUREAUCRATIC ORGANIZATIONS: CADRE SYSTEM OR POLITICAL BUREAUCRACY

On the soil with thousands of years of civilization history, the formation of Chinese bureaucracy and its characteristics are remarkable. Bureaucracy in China through a considerable period of time in the environment of feudal society, China has become the earliest and most perfect country in the world history of the establishment of bureaucracy, but also the world history of the bureaucratic tradition is the most profound, the most profound impact on the society of the country.

Ancient Chinese bureaucracy was very different from the modern rational bureaucracy proposed by Weber based on the analysis of Western society, and China's modern social revolution has made the shaping of bureaucratic organizations and their characteristics very different from what Weber called rational bureaucracy. Mo pointed out that the bureaucracy in socialist China is unprecedented, but the operation of human relations in China still plays a key role [7]. Although existing studies at home and abroad still regard China's hierarchical organization as a variant form of Weberian hierarchy, and theoretical works contain the expectation that China's hierarchical organization will eventually be rationalized, some scholars have pointed out that the analytical framework based on Weberian hierarchy does not fully explain China's hierarchical behaviors, and scholars have used new concepts such as cadres with Chinese characteristics [8], composite bureaucracy [9, 10], and politico-bureaucracy [11] and other new concepts. These concepts break through the limitations of the previous understanding of Chinese hierarchy and provide a new analytical framework for analyzing the behavior of Chinese hierarchy.

The most obvious characteristic of Chinese hierarchy that makes it different from Weberian hierarchy is that political factors or value rationality play a key role in the former. In Bo Rothstein's view, China's "cadre system" avoids the "delegation" problem of the Weberian system by establishing a set of effective incentives and constraints, so that the cadres are more loyal and enthusiastic than Weberian bureaucrats in carrying out policies. While the Weberian hierarchical system is characterized by "reliability, sustainability, efficiency, and flexibility in the application of different directives," the cadre system is "flexible in the achievement of goals, going beyond the norm, and responding to different realities. Based on the structure of the Chinese cadre system, policies can be better implemented. Of course, he also emphasizes the potential weaknesses of the cadre system, such as lack of professionalism, ambiguity of responsibility, disregard for rules, lack of competence, or paternalism.

Wei shu observes that in China's official context, "party cadres" and "national civil servants" are two frequently mentioned identifiers. Among them, "party cadre" is closer to the role of cadre in the "cadre system" described by Bo Rothstein, while "state civil servant" is closer to the Weberian definition of bureaucrat. This suggests that the "cadre system" may not be sufficient to give a complete picture of China's hierarchical system, and that Weber's concept of hierarchy has limited explanatory power when attempting to describe the organizational practices of the Chinese government. Fu Gaoyi is the first to point out that the organizational structure and actual operation of the Chinese state apparatus differs significantly from the typical Western hierarchical system, and proposes to use the concept of "political bureaucracy", as opposed to "rational bureaucracy", to describe the practice of Chinese governmental organization. In Fu's view, the fusion of politics and administrative services runs from the top to the bottom of the Chinese bureaucracy, and there is a tension between political loyalty and competence within this system [12].

3. THE ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURES OF CONTEMPORARY CHINESE BUREAUCRACIES

3.1. Structure and Features.

The modern Chinese bureaucratic organization is regarded as a variant form of the Weberian organization or a cadre system with Chinese characteristics, which has the following characteristics. First, hierarchy: Chinese bureaucratic organizations adopt a hierarchical management model, with a clear division of responsibilities and authority between the various levels. This hierarchical organizational structure allows officials at different levels to collaborate and cooperate with each other in their work, ensuring the stability and efficiency of the organization. Second, centralization: Chinese bureaucratic organizations have a centralized and unified leadership system, with decision-making and management powers concentrated in the central or higher authorities. Third, political loyalty: Chinese bureaucratic organizations emphasize loyalty and obedience to the party and the state, requiring cadres to have high political and moral qualities. Fourth, performance-oriented: Chinese bureaucratic organizations attach importance to performance appraisal and the mechanism of rewards and punishments, and motivate cadres to work actively and improve efficiency and quality through appraisal and evaluation. Fifth, depersonalization: unlike the depersonalization in the rational bureaucracy as Weber called it, the interference of human subjectivity can be excluded. The phenomenon of depersonalization in public administration, on the other hand, is an important feature of Chinese bureaucracy. The influence of depersonalization often transcends the organizational rules of the bureaucracy and works directly on the process of policy formulation and implementation, resulting in administrative work mixed with obvious personal will and personal outlook. From the perspective of high-level state politics, the influence of personalization is mainly manifested in the transcendence of the Party's charismatic leaders over the bureaucratic system; within the general bureaucratic organization, "personality tension" and various informal relationships dependent on personal authority are still the main manifestations of personalization [13].

3.2. Operational Mechanisms.

The ancient Chinese bureaucracy exhibits a duality of positive and negative characteristics. This transformation from a positive to a negative form is not only evident in its spiritual perspective but also in its internal structures and processes. The extant research on the actual operation of China's sectional system continues to exhibit a relatively pronounced fragmentation. Zhou Xueguang is one of a small number of scholars who have developed systematic theories based on China's local experience. Specifically, the most commonly used analytical frameworks in current research on the behavior of Chinese hierarchies are the "pressure-based system" [15, 16, 17], the "administrative contracting system" [18], the "project system" [19, 20, 21], the "promotion tournament" [22], and the "campaign governance" [23, 24]. Furthermore, the development of technological governance within China's hierarchical structure represents a significant area of investigation in this field of study.

3.3. Transformation and Change.

3.3.1. Transformation of the Bureaucracy

The transformation of China's bureaucracy from a revolutionary group to a modern bureaucracy occurred since the Reform and Opening Up, a system characterized by the coexistence of the old and the new, stemming from the great changes in the economic system and the relative stability of the original political system. China's bureaucratic system during the revolutionary period presented such characteristics as the absolute leadership of the party over cadres and the bureaucracy (the party-managed cadre system), a distinctive political ideology, cadres possessing the quality of political loyalty, and a personalized management style [25]. After the reform and opening up, the

establishment of the civil service system was a sign of China's efforts to move towards a modern bureaucracy. From the introduction of the Provisional Regulations on the Administration of State Civil Servants in 1993 to the promulgation and implementation of the Civil Servants Law of the People's Republic of China and other regulations in 2006, the nationalization of civil service management, the classified management of civil servants, the recruitment of civil servants on merit through public examinations, and the professionalization of civil servants' management were revealed. These new management systems and principles embody some of the characteristics of what Weber called rational bureaucracy, especially the bureaucratic system of Chinese characteristics that embodies a parallel system of national laws and regulations represented by the Civil Servants Law and a parallel system of two sets of rules for the management of civil servants in the name of the Party with relevant documents issued in the name of the Party, with the core characteristic of Party law and Party as the core. Some scholars refer to this bureaucratic system gradually formed by the Chinese government as "composite bureaucracy", which integrates value rationality and instrumental rationality, i.e., it should not only have the instrumental rationality like the Western modern bureaucracy, but also always adhere to the Party's purposes and values, rather than the Weberian Western modern bureaucracy based purely on jurisprudence [26].

3.3.2. Reform of the Bureaucratic Organization

Since the reform and opening up, China's state and party institutions have undergone nine large-scale reforms, and have now entered a period of adjustment and improvement [27]. According to Wang Dianli et al., in the process of profound reflection and positive change of the traditional bureaucratic system, there are two very different modes of government operation, namely, the holistic government and the large departmental system, and each of them puts forward its own unique concept of administrative reform, the pursuit of value and the development path. In China's successive institutional reforms, large ministries, holistic government reform is the mainstream, this holistic government means an impact on the distinctive hierarchy, professional division of labor bureaucratic structure, while the large departmental system is, to a certain extent, the continuation of professional management and division of labor and re-adjustment of the function [28].

In the successive institutional reforms, the values of "efficiency", "streamlining", "service" and "fairness" have been taken as the direction. In the past institutional reforms, values such as "efficiency", "streamlining", "service", and "fairness" have been used to reform the bloated bureaucracy of traditional public administration, in response to the needs of the state and the party for the benign interaction between the hierarchy, the market, and the social network under the framework of governance. Bureaucratic organization is not a superior authority, but only one of the many participating subjects under the multiple leadership mechanism, and through the change to adjust the structure and power orientation of the bureaucratic organization, to cultivate the trust between multiple subjects, and to carry out more effective collaboration and coordination [29]. Despite the significant progress made in the reform of government organizations, many studies have revealed that the number of organizations and personnel after the reform has gone through a cycle of "streamlining, then expanding, then streamlining, then expanding again". Similarly, the restructuring of functions has been characterized by a cycle of "expansion, then contraction, then expansion again, then contraction again". At the level of power restructuring, there is also a cyclical pattern of "decentralization followed by upward mobility, then decentralization followed by upward mobility". These phenomena indicate that the reform process is still facing certain challenges and repetitions. Thus, in the current administrative reform process, some scholars have advocated that emphasis should be placed on the profound transformation of administrative functions, while emphasizing the shaping of a holistic culture and values, as well as the continuous improvement of communication and coordination mechanisms, in order to better promote the in-depth development of institutional reform.

3.4. Communication of Information in Bureaucratic Organizations.

In most cases, organizational information communication occurs in formal, informal, and private modes. This is also true in the Chinese bureaucracy, where information communication manifests these three forms as well[30]. The first mode of communication is formal. The Chinese bureaucracy is characterized by a rigid hierarchical structure and a clearly delineated system of information transfer channels. Formal communication channels, such as meetings, documents, and reports, facilitate the conveyance of policy directives and decisions from higher-level organizations to lower-level organizations. Conversely, lower-level organizations utilize these channels to report the progress of work and any encountered problems to their respective higher-level organizations. Second, informal communication: In China's official culture, informal communication is equally prevalent. Third, private communication: In China, personal relationships play an important role in officialdom. Personal interactions between leaders and their subordinates and colleagues are conducive to the establishment of trust and the promotion of cooperation. However, an overreliance on private communication may also result in the pursuit of personal gain and corruption.

Moreover, some scholars have indicated that leaders may encounter the potential for complicity from their departments or subordinates due to competition. This often manifests as information sharing that is inaccurate or incomplete, which can influence the leader's decision-making and judgment. To forestall such complicity, a strategy worthy of consideration is the realignment of the interest structure between departments and subordinates[31].

3.5. Power in Bureaucratic Organizations: Control and Decision-making.

In China, the power of bureaucratic organizations is a relatively stable party hierarchical power framework. Although China's modern state organs have undergone nine major reforms, they have not shaken this hierarchical pyramid of power.

In the central and local bureaucracies, there is a clearer division of labor between the bars and the blocks. "Article" is from the top to the bottom of the departmental system, the central "Article" management, that is, a business from the central to local by the central implementation of a line of unified management, characterized by facilitating the overall arrangement, unified command, comprehensive control; "Block" is a local or local level, local "block" management, that is, a business by the local arrangements for the management of their own local conditions, characterized by local initiative, initiative, to take care of local characteristics. Block management has its own strengths, but at the intersection of a particular business block, if the business relationship is not handled appropriately, it can easily lead to the division of blocks, the intersection of blocks, and mutual conflict, as well as overlapping responsibilities, which is not conducive to the normal and effective conduct of administrative work. Thus, in practice, usually according to the different situations of various departments and industries, respectively, to take vertical leadership, dual leadership or business guidance and other forms of subordination to solve the problem of block relationship. Currently, China's dominant mode of cross-sectoral collaboration is still based on the authority of the hierarchical system of vertical collaboration. Whether it is based on job authority or organizational authority, its common feature is a high degree of dependence on authority and vertical transmission of information. This model of collaboration shows the typical mandatory coordination characteristics of bureaucracy [32].

The power structure of bureaucracy determines that its decision-making mechanism is often a decision-making system dominated by the chief executive. In the daily decision-making process of bureaucratic administration, the final decision-making power is in the hands of higher-level bureaucrats, but the policies formulated by the higher-levels, especially the top leaders, are generally macro, long-term and abstract principles and spirits. In order to be able to effectively implement the policies of their superiors, higher government departments and leaders must give their subordinates the power to further refine the policies and delegate authority to their subordinates. Traditional

bureaucracy, street bureaucrats and algorithmic bureaucracy framework of bureaucratic organizations under the authority pattern, organizational form, hierarchical system, power pattern are inconsistent, decision-making mechanisms are different, especially scholars have analyzed and compared the comparison of traditional bureaucracy and technocratism [33].

Informatization provides strong technical support and information guarantee for bureaucratic decision-making. Through informatization, the government can obtain and process various information more conveniently, and improve the efficiency and accuracy of decision-making. At the same time, informatization also promotes information exchange and interaction between the government, society and citizens, making the decision-making process more open and inclusive. The application of big data, artificial intelligence and other technologies also provides more accurate data analysis and prediction capabilities for government decision-making, which helps the government make more scientific and rational decisions [34]. Under the joint promotion of democratization and information technology, the bureaucratic decision-making model is gradually developing in the direction of more democratic, scientific and efficient [35].

In general, the main focus of the current academic community is on the important impact of China's democratization and informatization process on the decision-making mechanism and decision-making mode of bureaucratic organizations. First, China's democratization has promoted the transparency and increased participation in decision-making mode. With the acceleration of the democratic process, citizens' awareness of political participation has gradually increased, and they demand more rights to information and participation. This has prompted the bureaucracy to pay more attention to openness and transparency in the decision-making process, to consult widely with all parties and to increase the democratic and scientific nature of decisions. At the same time, democratization also promotes the improvement of governmental decision-making mechanisms, such as the establishment of a sound decision-making consulting system, hearing system, etc., to ensure that the decision-making is more in line with the public opinion and practical needs [36].

4. ROLE AND CHARACTERISTICS OF CHINESE OFFICIALS

The roles of officials are subject to change over time. At the outset of the reform and opening-up period, officials were more instrumental in driving economic growth and social transformation. In contrast, contemporary society has witnessed a shift in focus towards social governance and public services. An analysis of the environmental structure, motives, goals, characteristics, and behaviors of Chinese officials is conducive to an in-depth and accurate portrayal of officials under the bureaucratic system with Chinese characteristics, as well as a sketch of Chinese officials.

4.1. Structure of the Environment for Officials.

4.1.1. Hierarchical Framework for Officials

In Weber's view, hierarchy in modern large-scale administrative systems is one of the central features of bureaucracy. On the one hand, the objectivity of bureaucratic hierarchical relationships stems from the need for organizational collaboration; on the other hand, the objectivity of bureaucratic hierarchical relationships also stems from the need to resolve organizational conflicts [37]. The hierarchical framework of Chinese officials presents distinctive features. Officials are divided into different ranks according to their job levels, forming a complete system from the grassroots to the top. Each rank has corresponding duties and authorities. This hierarchical framework not only reflects the difference in authority and status of officials, but also provides a clear path for their promotion and development. Specifically, the current level of Chinese officials is divided into five administrative levels: national, provincial and ministerial, departmental and bureau, county and township, with each level divided into principal and deputy positions. Among them, the level of leadership positions is divided into: national level, deputy national level, provincial ministerial level, provincial ministerial

level, deputy provincial ministerial level, departmental bureau level, departmental bureau level, deputy departmental bureau level, county departmental level, county departmental level, county departmental level, township section level, township section level, and deputy township section level; and the level of non-leadership positions is divided into: inspector, deputy inspector, researcher, deputy researcher, chief officer, deputy chief officer, section, and clerk, which are distributed among the party organs according to the departmental levels, government, the National People's Congress, the Chinese People's Political Consultative Conference, the military, state-owned enterprises, courts, procuratorates, and supervisory commissions, with the central government and local governments generally "corresponding to each other" and matching the officials they need.

While maintaining the pyramid structure of the bureaucratic hierarchy, China's official structure shows unique characteristics and development trends of the times. First, the pyramid structure of the Chinese official structure is still clear, but the division of responsibilities between officials at all levels has become clearer, and the communication and coordination mechanism between levels has become more complete. Secondly, with the deepening of the reform of the cadre and personnel system, the selection and appointment of Chinese officials has become more focused on the principles of "moral integrity" and "moral first". Officials are no longer selected solely on the basis of academic qualifications and credentials, but more on the basis of practical work experience, professional competence and mass appeal. This change has resulted in a younger and more professionalized cadre of officials, as well as increased contact and interaction between officials and the public. In addition, the Chinese government has actively promoted the diversification of the official team. By introducing and cultivating talents with different professional backgrounds and work experiences, the official team has become more colorful and can better adapt to the complex and changing social environment and development needs.

4.1.2. Relationship Network of Officials

In Chinese social life, "relationship" is an extremely important concept, which is one of the basic mechanisms of Chinese political operation and one of the foundations of Chinese political order and stability. Feng Junqi's study of the bureaucratic organizations and their officials in China found that relationships make the whole Chinese political system full of vitality, acting as a bridge between the upper and lower levels of communication and movement, as a lubricant for the bureaucratic system, and as a useful supplement to institutionalization. He argues that a well-functioning political system necessarily depends on the harmonious symbiosis and intertwining of formal and informal relationships. Since 1978, the development of China's cadre system has shown two clear main lines: on the one hand, the advancement and accumulation of the system has been steadily proceeding; on the other hand, the role of relations in the cadre system has become increasingly prominent, which has become a unique phenomenon with Chinese characteristics. For example, relationships often play an unnoticeable role in the career path of cadres, sometimes even being a decisive underlying factor. This complex and delicate balance reflects both the characteristics of the Chinese political system and the complexity of the cadre selection and appointment mechanism [38].

The network of relationships derives both from natural blood relatives and from connecting ties of a social nature that need to be specifically woven and maintained. Taken together, the essence of this relationship is "social capital", including blood relatives, in-laws, relatives, fellow countrymen, classmates, comrades in arms, alumni, colleagues and so on. The way of connecting the relationship network is outside the scope of the system, such as drinking, playing cards and gifts, etc., and its operation occurs in the promotion, office and other situations, manifested in the promotion is "pull up and push down" mode [39].

4.2. Characteristics, Motivations and Objectives of Officials.

Unlike the typical bureaucrats in Weberian bureaucracy and Western officials, Chinese officials have distinctive Chinese characteristics. Ren Haochen et al. take "cadre system" as an "ideal type"

corresponding to Weberian "bureaucracy", and from the historical lineage of the CPC and cadre system, they discuss the three basic characteristics of Chinese cadres, namely, their positive political ideals and ethics, their ability to closely contact the masses, and their deep involvement in the social organization of the country. From the historical background of the CPC and the cadre system, it is discussed that Chinese cadres are characterized by positive political ideals and ethics, the ability to closely contact the masses, and the ability to deeply organize the society and the state, which are three basic traits that are mainly shaped by the long-term revolutionary practice under the leadership of the CPC [40]. China's cadre system has demonstrated the potential to overcome the shortcomings of traditional bureaucracy in many ways, such as the limitations of "expert administration", the rigidity of "conformity", and the alienation of "technical indifference", etc. This cadre system has become an integral part of China's bureaucracy. This cadre system has become an important part of China's institutional strengths, reflecting its unique value and significance. However, some scholars have argued that local cadres as agents are "agents" with a sense of autonomy and preference for their own interests [41].

In addition, the division of Chinese party and government officials into "bureaucrat" and "technocrat" has become a very common practice in the Western literature on China's high-level (provincial and above) politics [42]. The term "technocrat" has become a very common practice in the Western literature on Chinese high-level (provincial and above) politics [42]. There is no universally accepted definition of what a "technocrat" is, and some of the definitions are very broad. The reason why the definition of "technocrat" is so broad is, to a large extent, due to its own mixed characteristics of "red and specialized". "Red" means "revolutionary" or "political", while "specialized" means "intellectual", "intellectualization" and "specialization". The "revolutionaries" (revolutionary cadres) or "political bureaucrats" are the embodiment of "red", while the "intellectuals" are the embodiment of "specialization". The "intellectuals" are the representatives of "specialization" [43].

In terms of motivation, officials' motives are multi-layered and complex. On the one hand, as mentioned above, they hope to realize their personal political ambitions and position promotion, and gain higher status and power through their efforts and performance in the officialdom. On the other hand, they may also be driven by economic interests to obtain personal gains through power rent-seeking and corrupt practices. However, China's bureaucratic organization emphasizes the need for officials to be committed to promoting social progress and improving people's livelihood out of a sense of social responsibility and mission. Again, in terms of goals, officials' goals are equally diverse and complex. Their basic goal is to fulfill the duties and tasks entrusted to them by the Party and the Government and to maintain social stability and development. However, in practice, officials may face various conflicts of interest and dilemmas of choice. How to realize personal goals while taking into account public interests and social responsibilities, as well as evaluating their selection and appointment is a complex issue. Based on a quantitative textual analysis, Duan et al. constructed a Chinese bureaucratic connotation model of "taking charge" with Chinese local characteristics. They analyzed the individual level, organizational level, behavioral level, and outcome level, and concluded that political consciousness (willingness to act), professionalism (being able to act), the courage to take on the task (taking the initiative to act), and good performance (performing well) are the most important elements of "taking charge". (good performance) are at least four aspects of the concept of acting as a responsible person [44]. This indigenous concept embodies a synthesis of values and rigorous standards that go beyond the "technocratic" behavioral requirements of the Weberian bureaucratic model and encompasses the new bureaucratic concepts of recent years that embody the ethical norms of "direct responsiveness".

5. FUTURE PROSPECTS: RESEARCH HOT SPOTS AND TRENDS IN CHINESE BUREAUCRACY

The Chinese bureaucracy is currently experiencing a period of unprecedented development, driven by the dual forces of globalization and informatization. In light of the prevailing development trajectory and practical requirements, future research on Chinese bureaucracy will prioritize the following areas of investigation.

5.1. The Profound Integration of Bureaucracy and Informationization.

The rapid development of information technology, big data, cloud computing, artificial intelligence, and other cutting-edge technologies is profoundly influencing the manner and efficiency of government governance. In the future, research on Chinese bureaucracy will focus on two main areas. Firstly, it will examine the ways in which information technology can be integrated with bureaucracy. This will entail investigating how modern technology can be used to optimise administrative processes, improve service quality and enhance the scientific and precise decision-making that is required of bureaucratic systems. Secondly, research will explore the theoretical aspects of technocrats and algorithmic bureaucracy.

5.2. Transparency and Public Participation in Chinese Bureaucracy.

As Chinese citizens become increasingly aware of democratic principles, they are demanding greater transparency and participation in the bureaucratic process. It is crucial to investigate methods for establishing a more transparent and accessible bureaucracy, enhancing interactive communication between the government and all societal sectors, and elevating public participation and satisfaction. Concurrently, the question of how to reconcile the public's right to information with the government's right to privacy represents a significant area of future research.

5.3. Innovation and Change in Chinese Bureaucracy.

In order to meet the demands of a complex and evolving domestic and international environment, the bureaucracy must adopt a culture of continuous innovation and adaptation. One of the key areas of future research will be the promotion of innovation and change within the bureaucratic system. This will entail the exploration of novel modes of governance and management, as well as the enhancement of the government's resilience and capacity for innovation. Concurrently, the question of how to reconcile the stability and innovativeness of reform represents a significant area of investigation.

REFERENCES

- [1] Lv Z. Understanding sectional behavior in China:an analysis based on the established literature. *Socialist Studies*. (2019) No.2, p.69-78.
- [2] He Yanling,Wang Guanglong. Unwithdrawable negotiation: an explanation of the phenomenon of "effective governance" in Chinese hierarchical organizations. *Management World*. (2012) No.12,: p.61-72.
- [3] Zhou, X.G., Lian, H.. The governance model of the Chinese government: a theory of "control". *Sociological Research*. Vol.27 (2012) No.5, p.69-93+243.
- [4] Zhou Xueguang. *Institutional Logic of Chinese National Governance-An Organizational Study*. Sanlian Bookstore,2017,p.231.
- [5] Ni Xing,Wang Rui. From inviting credit to avoiding responsibility:A study of behavioral changes of grassroots government officials. *Political Science Research*. (2017),No.2,p.42-51+126.
- [6] Lai, Shipan. How China's sectional organizations accomplish their tasks: a research review. *Journal of Gansu Administrative College*. (2015) No. 2,p.15-30+125.
- [7] Wei, A. D.. *Neo-traditionalism in Communist Societies*. Oxford University Press.1996. p.25-29.

- [8] Bo Rothstein,Zang Leizhen. The Chinese Paradox of Economic Growth and Government Quality: The "Weberian" Hierarchy and the "Cadre System" with Chinese Characteristics. *Comparative Economic and Social Systems*. (2016) No.3,p.144-152.
- [9] Lenard J. Cohen/JaneP. Shapiro, *Communist Systems in Comparative Perspective*, Doubleday, 1974.
- [10] Zhang Zhang. *Composite Bureaucracy:The Microfoundations of Chinese Government Governance*. *Public Management and Policy Review*.Vol.4 (2015) No.4, p.6-14.
- [11] Ezra Vogel, "Political Bureaucracy:Communist China", in L. Cohen, J. Shapiro, eds.*Communist Systems in Comparative Perspective*,New York:Anchor Press,1969.
- [12] Wei Shu. Cadre or Section System in Chinese Government Organization. *Leadership Science*. (2018) No.6,p.20-21.
- [13] Liu, S.-C.. Bureaucratic Administration under Political Party Integration-An Analysis of the Organizational Behavior of Contemporary Chinese Public Administration. *Journal of Public Administration*. (2005) No.2,p.40-45+54-94.
- [14] Ji Jinduo. A Study of Bureaucratic Duality in Ancient China. *Journal of China Youth Politics Institute*. (1997) No.1,p.67-72.
- [15] Yue, D. Chang. Reflections on the "pressure system". *Comparison of economic and social systems*. (1997) No.6,p.26-27.
- [16] Yang Xuedong. Pressure-based institutions: a concise history of a concept. *Social Science*. (2012) No.11,p.4-12.
- [17] Rong Jingben. From pressure-based institutions to democratic cooperative institutions. *Central Compilation and Translation Press*, 1998, p.18.
- [18] Zhou Li'an. Administrative contracting system. *Society*.Vol.34(2014)No.6,p.1-38.
- [19] Folding Xiaoye,Chen Yingying. The hierarchical operation mechanism and governance logic of the project system: a sociological analysis of the case of "project into village". *China Social Science*. (2011) No.4,p.126-148+223.
- [20] Qu Jingdong. Project system: a new system of national governance. *Chinese Social Sciences*. (2012) No.5,p.113-130+207.
- [21] Zhou Li'an. A study on the promotion tournament model of local officials in China. *Economic Research*. (2007) No.7,p.36-50.
- [22] Tang, Huangfeng. Normal society and campaign governance: A study of the "Strike Hard" policy in China's social security governance. *Open Times*. (2007) No.3,p.115-129.
- [23] Zhou Xueguang. Campaign-based governance mechanism: Rethinking the institutional logic of Chinese state governance. *Open Times*. (2012) No.9,p.105-125.
- [24] Zhu Qianwei. Reconstruction of modern bureaucracy: review and prospect of China's cadre system reform. *Journal of Jiangsu Administrative College*. (2011) No.6,p.97-103.
- [25] Zhang Zhang. *Complex bureaucracy: The microfoundation of Chinese government governance*. *Public Management and Policy Review*.Vol.4(2015) No.4,p.6-14.
- [26] Zhu Qianwei. Institutional reform entering the period of adjustment and improvement: constructing a high-quality government. *Administrative Forum*.Vol.30(2015) No.3,p.64-71.
- [27] Wang Dianli,Lu Junping. Holistic government and large departmental system:A conceptual analysis of administrative reform. *China Admin*. (2010) No.1,p.105-109.
- [28] Tang Renwu, Ma Ning, Liu Yang. Reform of Chinese government organizations: Meta-issues, meta-dynamics and meta-governance. *Chinese Administration*. (2018) No.11,p.21-27.
- [29] Yuan Mingxu. Analysis of the causes of public policy conflicts under the vision of bureaucracy. *Journal of Yunnan University for Nationalities (Philosophy and Social Science Edition)*.Vol.26 (2009) No.6, p. 43-48.
- [30] Ge Luyuan, Lv Chengwen. An Analytical Study of Information Interaction in Bureaucratic Organizations. *Leadership Science*. (2016) No.2,p. 27-29.
- [31] ZHOU Zhinren,JIANG Minjuan. An Exploration of Cross-Departmental Collaboration Mechanisms in Chinese Government - A Narrative and Diagnostic Framework. *Public Administration Review*.Vol.6(2013) No.1,p.91-117+170.
- [32] Jiang Xiaohui. The Developmental Progression, Derivative Problems and Optimization Path of Bureaucratic Hierarchy Driven by Information Technology. *Overseas Social Science*. (2022) No.4,p.73-83+197-198.
- [33] Li ZY. From Bureaucracy to Democracy-A Trial on the Paradigm Shift of Contemporary Public Administration. *Changbai Journal*. (2001) No.5,p.31-34.
- [34] Wang, Shaoguang. *National Governance*. Renmin University of China Press,2014,p.379-389.
- [35] Jin Yongshu. The development history of western bureaucracy and post-bureaucratic reform--Another discussion on the reform and innovation of personnel system of contemporary Chinese government. *Journal of Southwest University for Nationalities (Humanities and Social Sciences Edition)*. (2004) No.10,p.349-353.

- [36] Liu Zuyun. The superior-subordinate relationship of government officials: the adjustment and dissolution of the "positional difference". *Social Science*. (2008) No.8,p.16-24+188.
- [37] Feng Junqi: Cadres in the middle counties. Doctoral dissertation, Peking University, China 2010. p.151-162.
- [38] Feng, Junqi: Zhongxian cadres. Doctoral dissertation, Peking University, China 2010. p. 165-167.
- [39] Ren Haochen, Ma Zhenhao. Beyond bureaucracy: the theoretical origins and functions of the "cadre system" in China. *Chinese Political Science*. (2023) No.4,p.95-115+166-167.
- [40] Zhou Xueguang. Complicity among grassroots governments: The institutional logic of government behavior. *Open Times*. (2009) No.12,p.40-55.
- [41] Duan Weihong. Genealogy", "Faction" and "Department" of Technocrats: A Review of Western "A Critical Reconstruction of the Western Literature on the Study of High-Level Politics in China. *Journal of Tsinghua University (Philosophy and Social Science Edition)*. Vol.27(2012) No.3,p.100-106+157.
- [42] Duan Zhezhe, Wang Tianfeng, Chen Jiayi. Beyond technocrats: the connotation of play-acting cadres in the new era-an exploratory study. *Journal of Guangxi Normal University (Philosophy and Social Science Edition)*. Vol.57(2021 No.5,p.83-96.